# Summary of Increases

	Summary	of Increases			
		INCREAS	E DUE TO		
	Total	Work load or	New	Budget	Line
	increase	salary adjustments	services	page	No.
Salaries and Wages		\$172,653	\$378,804	544	42
Operating Expenses	14,820	-10,655	$25,\!475$	544	43
Equipment Reimbúrsements	6,505	5,770	$12,\!275$	544	44
Reimbúrsements	—3,020	-3,020		544	<b>4</b> 8
Total Increase	\$569,762	\$153,208	\$416,554		
RECOMMENDATIONS					
Amount budgeted				\$3,29	8,732
Legislative Audito	r's Recommend	lation		3,26′	7,652
Reduction				\$3:	1,080
of recommended redu analysis above.  Reclassify 1 dental 1 Coordinator of in 1 Medical record li 1 Industries manag 1 Chief engineer, g 1 Stationary engine 1 Assistant laundry 2 Intermediate ster 1 Senior librarian 1 Groundsman and	hygienist to den dustrial therapy brarian er rade 1 supervisor cographers—doc	tist (in lieu of ser	3:1	\$1,320 3,216 3,060 3,540 3,540 3,216 2,772 4,800 3,216 2,400	our
Total recomme				\$31,080	
	ADJUIAN	IT GENERAL			
ITEM 179 of the Budget B	ill			page 552 line No. 4	18
For Support of the Office	e of Adjutant	General From th	e General F	und	
Amount requested	•		\$2	190 246	
Amount requested . Estimated to be exp	ended in 1949-50	Fiscal Year		189,465	
Estimated to be out	2010 00	2 10001 2 0002			
Increase				\$781	
	Summary	of Increases			
		INCREASE	DUE TO		
	Total	Work load or	New	Budget	Line
	increase	salary adjustments	services	page	No.
Salaries and Wages	\$101,580	\$101,580		552	64
Operating Expenses Equipment	14,566	14,566		552	65
Equipment	72,233	72,233		552	66
Less: Reimbursements	—14,000	14,000		552	71
Total Increase	\$781	\$781			

#### RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted	\$2,190,246
Legislative Auditor's Recommendation	1,690,246
Reduction	\$500,000

#### **ANALYSIS**

The most distinguishing feature of the budget request of the Adjutant General is that it cannot be justified on the basis of past expenditures, demonstrated requirements, or accurate estimates. A comparison of the amounts actually expended against amounts budgeted show the widest discrepancies. An analysis of the manner in which expenditures were made indicates a lack of customary controls, indifference to sound accounting methods, and a failure to carry out such accounting procedures as have been put into effect. The budget estimates for 1950-51 are based neither on prior expenditure experience nor expenditure programs arrived at from clearly enunciated policies.

## Prior Budget Requests Excessive

An examination of the amounts actually expended or encumbered in the 1948-49 Fiscal Year compared with appropriations made to the Adjutant General indicates that this agency was consistently and greatly overbudgeted. For example, in 1948-49, the last year in which actual expenditure figures are available, the Adjutant General requested \$2,719,200 for support. The amount of \$2,522,701 was appropriated by the Legislature and the encumbrance ledger shows a total allotment of \$2,555,432.26. Of this amount, which was to provide for the functions of the Adjutant General's office, Headquarters Staff, maintenance and operation of installations, field organization, National Guard and the California Cadet Corps, there was left unexpended and uncommitted as of the end of that fiscal year, or June 30, 1949, \$525,582. In other words, the amount by which this agency failed to accurately estimate its financial requirements exceeded one-half million dollars. This fact is illustrated by the following table which shows a breakdown of allotments and unencumbered (i.e., unexpended and not committed) balances by function and object:

# Period July 1, 1948, Through September 30, 1949 Allotments Cover From July 1, 1948, Through June 30, 1949 \*

			llotments made		***		
			utant General's (	Jince		ncumbered balan	ces
		Salaries	Operating		Salaries	Operating	
	Location	and wages	expense	Equipment	and wages	expense	Equipment
(1)	Adjutant General's						
·-,	Office	\$14.033.00	\$11,625,00	\$2.625.00		\$4.019.61	\$348.94
(2)	Headquarters	12-,	<b>4/</b>	,.,		,	*
<b>(-7</b>	Staff	350.546.02	60,568,00	9.174.37	\$6,531.79cr	7.927.24cr	1.221.12
(3)	Maintenance and	,	,		1 - ,	.,	
(-,	operation of						
	installations	387.951.44	606.055.00	74.000.00	12.855.42cr	248.933.09	16.936.78cr
(4)	Field organization						
• •	National Guard_	246,273.90	506,222.00	106,138.63	31,344.70	224,857.69	37,006.93
(5)	California	•		•			
	Cadet Corps	44,660.00	98,010.00	37,550.00	5,161.00cr	25,023.72	2,238.73
(6)	Totals\$	1,043,464.36	\$1,282,480.00	\$229,488.00	\$6,796.49	\$494,906.87	\$23,878.94
• • •	Grand Total Allotment					ent \$9	.555.432.36
	Grand Total Unencumb	ered Ralonce f	or Salaries and I	Wages Operati	ing Evnence a		525.582.30
	the state of the s						020,002.00
	NOTE: Credit balance	es maicate eith	er an overexpend	ilture or an ove	erencumbrance	e, or noth.	

<sup>\*</sup> Allotment for period July 1, 1948 to June 30, 1949. Expenditures run through September to give all expenditure vouchers time to clear.

#### Savings No Indication of Economical Expenditure

The fact that the Adjutant General did not expend the entire amounts appropriated to the National Guard is no indication that this resulted from a policy of economy in operations. A critical examination of the expenditure controls and financial policies employed reveals startling deficiencies such that it is impossible to determine whether the agency was even in a position to know whether expenditures were being made with any degree of economy. Although it would be impossible in the short space of time allotted for analysis of this budget request to carefully analyze each major item of expenditure and the system controls which should be established to guarantee strict accountability and sound management, even a relatively limited examination of this agency's expenditures reveals numerous deficiencies.

It should be pointed out for example that the State of California has, as a result of these deficiencies, been able to secure only a fraction of the federal reimbursements to which it is entitled, and that it is possible if not probable, that this negligence may prevent the State from ever recovering thousands of dollars rightfully due. In the preparation of these contracts inadequate investigation was made to determine accurate estimates of costs of operation and maintenance chargeable against the Federal Government, with resultant confusion and failure to provide an adequate basis for eventual recovery of these legitimate reimbursements. These conditions have made billing difficult and costly to the extent that when claims were first submitted they were returned due to failure to contain essential information and when finally resubmitted were refused by the contracting federal officer as being too late because funds budgeted for that purpose had already been exhausted. In prior years the Legislative Auditor recommended that certain of the most costly programs of the National Guard, such as provisions for aircraft units and related facilities, be not approved on the basis that such expenditure programs are over and above the State's legitimate requirements to protect the internal security of the State. At that time, the Adjutant General stated that he had been assured that the Federal Government would reimburse the State to the extent of 75 percent of these expenditures, and that he would guarantee that every effort would be made to assure the full receipt of these reimbursements. Nevertheless, it has not been until very recently that the failure to receive these federal funds has prompted the agency to take any real steps to comply with the conditions established by the Federal Government as requirements for eligibility for receipt of these funds.

#### Present Basis of Budget Inadequate

The failure of the National Guard to spend the amounts previously appropriated has not brought about a reduction in the budget request for 1949-50, nor for 1950-51. This is illustrated in the following table which shows that this agency requested in 1949-50 either the same amount for many expenditure items as in 1948-49, or a liberal increase, and only in some items of expenditure is there decrease for 1950-51, in spite of the fact that the Adjutant General was not able to spend the amounts appro-

priated in the Fiscal Year 1948-49, and had unencumbered balances as of the end of the 1948-49 Fiscal Year amounting to \$525,582.30.

The following table of budget requests and actual expenditures will serve as an illustration:

### Actual Expenditures and Budget Requests-Selected Operating Expenses

		n Item	Authorized budget 1948-49	Encumber expended Sept. 19	as of	Unencum balance Sept. 19	as of	Budget request 1949-50	Budget request 1950-51	
	(1)	t General's Office Traveling		\$1,949		\$1,050		\$2,750	\$2,750	
		Decorations, flags, trophies Dues, subscriptions,	5,000	997	65	4,002	35	5,000	4,000	
		and publications arters Staff		2,629	50				3,250	
	(4)	Traveling Telephone and tele-	16,000	15,024	78	975	22	16,800	16,800	
	(6)	graphAutomobile operation		11,977 11,095		$2,\overline{945}$	65 cr	$12,500 \\ 12,375$	$12,500 \\ 12,000$	
	(7) (8)	Freight, cartage, and express Recruiting	600 500	152 461		347 38		500 750	500 750	
		Recruiting aides, additional						1,000	450	
	Installa	Postage tions—Maintenance	5,000	6,355	74	1,355	74 cr	6,500	6,500	
	(11)	peration Office		1,641		641		1,500	10,753	
	(12) $(13)$	Printing Telephone and tele- graph		204 4,714		204 815	98 cr 51	750 10,500	1,000 6.250	
	(14) (15)	Traveling Light, heat, power,	5,000	1,442		3,557	31	5,800	8,000	
	(16)	and water Care and maintenance	128,000	90,070 99,123		37,929 106,576		$188,560 \\ 256,855$	$144,460 \\ 215,000$	
		Repairs to structures and facilities Repairs to federal	100,000	7,488	62	92,511	38	100,000	50,000	<del></del>
		equipment issued for state use		122				2,000	3,000	
	Field Or Guard	Postage ganization, National	750	616	40	133	60	1,000	1;170	
	(20)	Office Printing		959 5,204		43,560 7,927		45,870 12,594	22,480 7,320	
	(22)	Telephone and tele- graph		51,049		4,210	42	60,030	64,680	
•	(23)	Freight, cartage, and express Traveling	19,150 15,000	2,276 6,997	21	16,873 8,002		18,700 16,500	3,500 9,000	
	(25)	Automobile mileage _ Automobile operation	8.75	50 1,849	19	824 1,850	81	1,000 2,640	100 2,000	
	(27)	Subscriptions and publications	5.090	2,933	03	2,156	97	5,250	4,000	
	(28) $(29)$	Field exercises Athletic supplies	•	3,681		16,318		20,000	20,000	
	(30)	and equipment Expendable stores athletic equipment _	•	24,558 20,488		25,441	90	10,000	20,000	
	(32)	Recruiting Medical examinations	35,000	17,777 14,005	11	17,222 $5,994$		35,000 10,000	18,000 15,000	
	- 1 1.	Rifle and pistol teams Repairs to federal	5,000	90	50	4,909	50	5,000	3,000	·
		equipment, state use Repairs to office	5,000	71	20	4,928	79	5,000		
	(36) (37)	equipment Uniform allowances _ Unit maintenance	$9,540 \\ 173,250$	1,475 104,476		8,064 68,773		9,100 146,000	5,490 145,000	
		service Postage		9,897 $20,675$		32,882 13,749		35,258	21,000	

For example, this table shows that the Adjutant General's office budgeted \$3,000 for traveling in 1948-49 but spent less than \$2,000. Nevertheless, \$2,750 was budgeted for the current year and is again

requested for 1950-51. The amount requested for decorations, flags and trophies was \$5,000 for 1948-49 although approximately \$1,000 was spent during that fiscal year. Five thousand dollars was requested again for the 1949-50 Fiscal Year and \$4,000 is budgeted for 1950-51. The amount of \$205,700 was budgeted for care and maintenance of installations for the 1948-49 Fiscal Year and only \$99,123.33 was expended, leaving an unencumbered balance of \$106,576.67. Yet \$256,855 was budgeted for the 1949-50 Fiscal Year and \$215,000 has been requested for the 1950-51 Fiscal Year. An examination of other items of expenditure reveals the same absence of any logical relationship between the amounts budgeted for 1948-49 and the amounts expended with the amounts budgeted in 1949-50 and again in 1950-51. It is obvious that for the most part, the amount budgeted for 1950-51 was influenced primarily by the amount budgeted in the prior year rather than experience from prior expenditures.

A study of the accounts of the Adjutant General's office discloses that budgetary controls have been very weak and in some cases lacking entirely, for example, the item of postage. The following table shows the amounts alloted, expended, encumbered, and the unencumbered balances:

Period July 1, 1948, Through September 30, 1949 \*

Adjutant General's	Allot ments	Expenditures	Encumbrances	$Unencumbered\ balance$
Office Headquarters staff Maintenance and opera-	\$5,000.00	\$6,355.74		\$1,355.74cr.
tions of installations Field organization	750.00	616.40		133,60
National Guard California Cadet	34,425.00	20,675.51		13,749.49
Corps	1,200.00	1,284.44		84.44cr.
	\$41,375.00	\$28,932.09		\$12,442.91

<sup>\*</sup> Allotment for period July 1, 1948, to June 30, 1949. Expenditures run through September to give all expenditure vouchers time to clear.

The above schedule shows total allotments for postage amounting to \$41,375. Overexpenditures have occurred in the headquarters staff and the Cadet Corps. The unencumbered balance is \$12,442.91. No evidence of any attempt to control the issuance of stamps to the various units could be found nor any apparent attempt to determine what quantities or denominations were necessary. It appears that any request, at any time, for any amount was issued without question.

The number of times requests were made during a year from various units ranged from 12 to 1, and the amounts requested from \$1,000 to \$1. Taking into consideration that three letters are interchanged for each request, considerable money could be saved if the number of requests

were minimized.

The following table shows the Budget request for postage for the 1949-50 Fiscal Year:

Bu	dget request
	amount
Adjutant General's Office	
Headquarters staff	
Maintenance and operation of institutions	
Field organization, National Guard	35,258
·	\$49.758

Taking into consideration the fact that the actual expenditures for 1948-49 were only \$28,932.09 without any apparent basis of control, this increase of \$13,825.91 in the 1949-50 budget request appears to be excessive.

Allotment of \$173,250 was made for uniform allowances for the Fiscal Year 1948-49. The amount of \$104,476.86 was expended on the basis of claims presented. The amount of \$68,773.14 was unexpended and unencumbered. There was no evidence, however, to justify the amount of the allotment. No records were available to show the number of officers who had served a year and were entitled to the \$100 allowance; the number who had served more than a year and would be entitled to receive \$50 per year allowance; the number who had served less than a year showing the dates eligibility would be established to receive the \$100 first year's allowance. The proposed budget request for uniform allowance for the 1949-50 Fiscal Year was \$146,000. Yet the agency was unable to show any medium whereby it could estimate with any degree of accuracy the amount needed. As of January 26, 1950, there was in progress, not yet complete, a search of records to determine what officers had received allowances and the amount, how many were entitled to receive allowances, and what amount, and how many and the dates other officers would become eligible for allowances. The proposed budget request for the 1950-51 Fiscal Year is for \$145,000. This estimate was also made without benefit of the aforementioned necessary information which would enable the agency to determine what amount would be needed.

A cursory examination of the accounts indicate that it is possible that the total of unencumbered balances as of June 30, 1949, may exceed by a substantial margin even the amount of \$525,582.30 reflected on the books. This could be determined only by a thorough audit. We have pointed out above that the absence of proper management and accounting controls would give sufficient reason to account for this agency's inability to properly gauge its expenditure requirements. A careful analysis of the schedules forming the basis for the requests contained in the 1950-51 Budget likewise indicates an inability to justify the individual amounts on the basis of prior expenditures or of clearly established policy.

As a result of these combined factors, we are frankly unable to formulate any precise body of recommended reductions. By the same token, we do not believe for the reasons stated above that there is any budget figure that can, at the present time, be justified by the Adjutant

General. However, on the basis of prior expenditure patterns and taking into account economies which should reasonably be expected from the establishment of sound management controls and practices, we believe that it is not improbable that the budget of the Adjutant General could be reduced by up to \$500,000 without impairing any of the essential functions of the agency.

We are therefore recommending that the item for support in the amount of \$2,190,246 of the Office of the Adjutant General be reduced by

\$500,000 or 29.5 percent.

## GENERAL SUMMARY

The Office of the Adjutant General was created by Chapter 114,

Statutes of 1946, First Extra Session.

His duties are prescribed in the Military and Veterans Code, and additional duties may be prescribed by the Governor consistent with the regulations and customs of the United States Army.

The organization is composed of five functional parts:

1. Adjutant General's Office

2. Headquarters staff

3. Maintenance and operation of installations

4. Field organization—National Guard

5. California Cadet Corps

There is a large group of employees not appearing in the Budget that are performing certain functions for the National Guard Office. These comprise a total of 1,139 consisting of the following classes:

Unit caretakers for each unit

Administrative assistant

Workmen

Clerks

Air technicians for all air units

These employees are paid from federal funds. A property disbursement administrator is appointed by the Adjutant General's Office, subject to approval of the National Guard branch of the United States Army, whose salary is paid by the Federal Government. He selects the necessary personnel, who are paid from federal funds, to perform the duties of his office. The personnel records of these employees are maintained at San Luis Obispo.

Section 432 of Military and Veterans Code stipulates that revenues resulting from use of state armories by persons and organizations, including those not connected with the National Guard, shall be used by the Adjutant General for military purposes. We recommend that all revenues resulting from the use of these facilities should go to the General Fund, and that the Department of Finance establish a standard schedule of rentals for the various installations to be used by the armory board.

# Adjutant General CALIFORNIA CADET CORPS

ITEM 180 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 558 Budget line No. 5

For Support of the California Cadet Corps From the General Fund				
Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1949-50 Fiscal Year	\$202,587 204,944			
Decrease (1.2 percent)	\$2,357			

## Summary of Increases

		INCREASE	DUE TO		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line No.
Salaries and Wages	-\$1,374	-\$1,374		558	17
Operating Expenses	10,550	10,550		558	18
Equipment	$-11,\!533$	11,533		558	19
Total Decrease	\$2,357	-\$2,357			
RECOMMENDATIONS					
Amount budgeted				_ \$202	2,587
Legislative Auditor's R	ecommen	dation		_ 202	2,587
Reduction				_ ]	None

#### **ANALYSIS**

The reduction in salaries and wages is due to the elimination of one

position.

The total increase of \$10,550 for operating expense is for increased printing, publications, training aids, and uniforms. Legislation in 1949 increased the allowance for uniforms from \$5 to \$7.50 per cadet. This increase amounts to \$5,500.

Equipment costs have been reduced \$11,533. The major item of expenditure is \$10,000 for additional rifles.

We recommend approval of this Budget.

#### GENERAL SUMMARY

The California Cadet Corps was created in 1911. It is a military training organization of approximately 85 high school units with membership of approximately 6,000.

Instructors are either qualified members of the faculty of the school

or local reserve or guard officers employed by local school boards.

A two-week encampment is provided for students at Camp San Luis

Obispo during the summer vacation.

The Cadet Corps does not overlap the R.O.T.C. program since the Cadet Corps is not permitted to operate in those cities in which R.O.T.C. units are located. Only schools with 100 or more students in the program are eligible for R.O.T.C.