RECREATION COMMISSION

ITEM 261 of the Budget B

Budget page 745 Budget line No. 26

For Support of the Recreation Amount requested Estimated to be expended in				\$88,502 87,910	
Increase (0.7 percent)	·			\$592	
· St	ımmary (of Increases			
		INCREASE	DUE TO		
	Total icrease	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line No.
Salaries and Wages \$2	2,260	\$2,080	\$180	745	43
Operating Expenses	2,312	-2,312		745	44
Equipment	644	644		745	45
Total Increase	\$592	\$412	\$180		

RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted	\$88,502
Legislative Auditor's Recommendation	None
Reduction	\$88,502

ANALYSIS

We recommend the elimination of the Recreation Commission as it is not an essential state service. We further recommend that the records and files of the commission be transferred to the Bureau of Physical and Health Education and Recreation of the Department of Education.

Various departments of the State have personnel assigned to the promotion and correlation of recreational activities. Some of the expenditures for recreational purposes of these departments are as follows:

	Proposed
•	expenditure
Department of Education	1950-51
Bureau of Physical and Health Education and Recreation.	\$45,124
Youth Authority	
Bureau of Delinquency Prevention conducts delinquency sur	-
veys at request of local authorities	18,000
Natural Resources	
Division of Beaches and Parks	
Park Recreation	19,500
Outdoor Recreation Publications	4,220
Fish and Game Division	•
Bureau of Conservation Education and Public Information	105,995
	\$192.839

These state expenditures for recreation are adequate, and a separate agency for the promotion of recreation is not needed.

GENERAL SUMMARY

The Recreation Commission was created by Chapter 1239, Statutes of 1947, to study the problems of recreation in California, to formulate a state recreation policy and to aid and encourage public recreation activities. The commission is comprised of seven nonsalaried members appointed by the Governor. The Director of Recreation is appointed by the Governor and is responsible for the execution of the duties authorized by the act under policies established by the commission.

We believe that the essential purposes of the Recreation Commission can be accomplished more effectively and economically by the various state activities and agencies enumerated above.

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

ITEM 262 of the Budget Bill		Budget page 747 Budget line No. 33		3	
For Support of the Department Amount requested Estimated to be expended i				ral Fund \$245,894 239,418	
Increase (2.7 percent)				\$6,476	
S	ummary	of Increases			
		INCREASE I	OUE TO		
i	Total ncrease	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line No.
Salaries and Wages \$	7,111	\$7,111		747	47
Operating Expenses	1,150	1,150		747	48
Equipment	515	515		747	49

\$6,476

RECOMMENDATIONS

Total Increase _____

Amount budgeted	\$245,894
Legislative Auditor's Recommendation	244,574
Reduction	\$1,320

\$6,476

ANALYSIS

Salaries and Wages

This category of expenditure reflects only normal salary increases, which amount to \$7,111 or 3.6 percent over the sum of \$197,033 estimated to be expended for this purpose in the 1949-50 Fiscal Year.

Operating Expenses

These expenditures are proposed to be decreased by \$1,150 or 2.37 percent from \$48,450 estimated to be expended in 1949-50 to \$47,300 estimated to be expended in 1950-51. While this decrease is a step in the right direction, we suggest that an additional saving can be realized and the following are recommended:

a. The proposed expenditure for telephone and telegraph for the three divisions of Administration, Educational Assistance, and Service and Coordination is \$5,200. A reduction of 10 percent, or \$520, is recommended as being within the realm of reasonable accomplishment. A close control of all toll calls and the limitation of local service for strictly

business purposes should be able to achieve this saving.

b. Travel in the Division of Administration is proposed to cost \$7,400, the same amount as is estimated for the 1949-50 Fiscal Year. We suggest that this is an over-estimation due to the fact that actual expenditure for the 1948-49 Fiscal Year for this purpose was \$6,425. Since the bulk of this travel expenditure is occasioned by members of the Veterans Board, we can see no reason to anticipate a substantially greater expense for this purpose in the current Fiscal Year and in the 1950-51 Fiscal Year as compared with the 1948-49 Fiscal Year. Consequently we recommend a reduction to \$7,000 for this item, a saving of \$400.