Poultry Improvement Commission

AUGMENTATION OF THE POULTRY TESTING PROJECT FUND

ITEM 47 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 97 Budget line No. 31

FOR SUPPORT OF AUGMENTATION OF POULTRY TESTING PROJECT FUND FROM THE FAIR AND EXPOSITION FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$46,745 29,858
Increase (56.6 percent)	\$16,887
RECOMMENDATIONS Amount budgeted Legislative Auditor's recommendation	\$46,745 46,745
Reduction	None

ANALYSIS

This item of appropriation augments the Poultry Testing Project Fund which supports the activities of the Poultry Improvement Commission whose function was described in the previous item. The reason for the large increase in this item for the budget year is due to the fact that the turkey testing project will be full-time in the budget year, whereas it will have operated only two months in the current fiscal.

We recommend approval of the item as requested.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

GENERAL ANALYSIS

The Department of Corrections has the responsibility of program development and supervision of the adult correctional activities of the State

Included in these general activities are the operations of eight separate institutional facilities for adult male felons, one for women felons, various prison camps, and other related duties.

The accompanying table opposite reflects a range in per capita costs

from a low of \$1,221 at Folsom to a high of \$2,306 at Deuel.

Other things being equal, generally a variation in per capita cost is explainable on the simple grounds of a material differential in the size of the facilities being compared. However, this does not necessarily hold true as can be noted from the above table. For example, California Men's Colony, the Medical Facility, and Deuel are all budgeted at generally comparable populations of 1,175, 1,350, and 1,200 inmates respectively. The per capita costs vary much more substantially, being \$1,396, \$1,786, and \$2,306 in the order named. These differences largely result from the differences in the nature and scope of the programs and purposes served by each of these facilities. These latter differences account in part for the substantial variation in the total levels of service inherent in the respective institutions. The net effect on the custodial level of service is much less marked, however, and generally this latter measure finds less support for the indicated variants for this factor exemplified in the accompanying table.

	Compara	tive Facto	rs—Departm	nent of Cor	rections Fac	cilities	California		Prisc
1954-55 Population	Deuel 1,194	Medical Facility 880	Tehachapi 62	Corona 533	Chino 1,870	Soledad 2,180	Men's Colony 402	San Quentin 4,668	Folsom
Per capita cost Total personnel † Level of service * Custodial personnel † Level of custodial service *	\$2,033 298.7 444 193.4	\$1,753 243.1 490.6 173.9 351	\$3,107 24 687 16.1 461	\$1,399 97.8 326 58.3 194	\$1,405 340.8 324 234.7 223	\$1,166 320.7 262 226.7 185	\$1,691 91.5 404 64.1 283	\$963 500.4 190 335.8 128	\$1,045 In stitution 234 tution 252.4 stitution 271 stitution 252.4 stitution 2
1955-56 Population Per capita cost Total personnel †	\$2,240	1,082 \$2,044 326.5	456 \$1,708 96.5	574 \$1,569 113	1,797 \$1,567 351.7	2,100 \$1,365 348.2	941 \$1,458 176	3,894 \$1,228 535.8	2,485 mm \$1,193 ry 356 C
Level of service * Custodial personnel † Level of custodial service *	_ 481 _ 196	535.9 225 369	376 72 280	350 66 204	348 238 235	294 240 203	332 125 236	244 343 156	254 on the contract of the con
1956-57 Population	1,200	1,350	500	622	1,972	2,057	1,175	3,450	2,510
Per capita cost Total personnel † Level of service * Custodial personnel † Level of custodial service *	\$2,306 \$23.3 \$478 \$196	\$1,786 331.5 436.1 227 299		\$1,600 131.3 375 80 228	\$1,522 367.1 331 238 214	\$1,420 352.2 304 245 211	\$1,396 205 310 144 218	\$1,371 553 285 346 178	\$1,221 370.2 262 261 175

^{*} Level of service in terms of total annual paid employee-hours per inmate. † Exclusive of camp personnel and reception guidance centers.

State Prisons and Institutions Summary-Continued

The level of service for custody runs from a low of 178 hours at San

Quentin to a high of 299 hours at the Medical Facility.

Even comparing generally approximate inmate populations of 1,200 to 1,300, we find a range of 218 hours to 299 hours for custodial level of service, a differential of 81 hours or 37 percent.

Department of Corrections-Consolidated per Capita Costs

	Total	$Total \ average$	$Consolidated \ per\ capita$	Incr over pr	ease ior year
$Fiscal\ yea$	ar $expenditures$	population	cost	amount	percent
1945-46	\$3,470,075	6,709	\$517		
1946-47	5,060,705	7,950	637	\$120	23.2
1947-48	7,338,377	9,136	803	166	26.1
1948-49	10,109,073	10,137	997	194	24.2
1949-50	10,333,870	11,009	939	—58	5.8
1950-51	11,673,061	11,591	1,007	68	7.2
1951-52	13,619,114	12,055	1,130	123	12.2
1952-53	15,951,134	13,216	1,207	77	6.8
1953-54	18,426,278	14,171	1,300	93	7.7
1954-55	20,414,691	15,337	1,331	31	2.4
1955-56	24,336,247	15,448	1,575	244	18.3
1956-57	25,727,101	15,893	1,619	44	2.8

From the foregoing table it is to be noted that the consolidated per capita cost has shown a consistent increase each year since 1945-46, with the exception of 1949-50. This reflects increased levels of service resulting from adding employees and expanding programs at a rate in excess of the increases in inmate population.

The unusually large increase of \$244, or 18.3 percent, in per capita

cost for the revised 1955-56 figure is traceable to several factors.

First, total departmental expenditures increased from \$20,414,691 in 1954-55 to \$24,836,247, an added cost of \$3,921,556, or 19.2 percent. This increase of almost \$4,000,000 took place while total inmate population increased only 111 inmates, or 0.72 percent.

A sizable portion of the increase results from now showing in the various institutional support budgets, educational costs formerly paid from school funds on an a.d.a. basis to local school districts. These were formerly "hidden costs" as far as these particular budgets were concerned.

An equally important fiscal impact is now being felt by virtue of the dispersal and relocation of a relatively static total population into new and expanding facilities. This results in a reduction of inmate population at the older institutions, without not only equivalent reductions in staffing but coupled with increased staffing requests for these same facilities.

To compound this impact of population shift, we are simultaneously adding substantial staffing increments to the new or expanding units to care for the increases taking place at these points.

The combination of the above factors is illustrated in the following table:

State Prisons and Institutions Summary—Continued Comparative Change Population—Employees 1955-56—1954-55

	Population change	
Facility	1955-56:1954-55 to	$otal\ employees*$
Deuel	6	+23
Chino	73	+11
Soledad		+28
Folsom	—137	+14
San Quention		+35
Subtotal		+111
Corona	+41	+15
Medical Facility		+83
Tehachapi	+432	+72
Men's Colony	+539	+84
Subtotal	+1,214	+254
Combined total	+144	+365

* Exclusive of camps and reception guidance centers.

From the foregoing data we find that while five institutions experienced a combined reduction in population to the extent that an average of 1,070 fewer inmates would be confined therein, nevertheless 111 more positions were budgeted.

Four facilities had a combined average population increase of 1,214 more inmates for which were budgeted an additional 254 positions.

The net result is an increase of 365 positions for an institutional population total change of only 144 inmates. A modified continuation of the same general pattern as exemplified above is contemplated for the 1956-57 budget as compared to the 1955-56 budget, and should be considered in evaluating the propriety of further augmentations of staff at this time.

It should also be emphasized that all of the above increases were based on budgetary considerations that forecast for 1955-56 a total departmental average daily population of 16,450 inmates and a fiscal year end population of 17,000 inmates. The revised figures for 1955-56 are now projected at a daily average of only 15,893 with a year end population of only 16,170.

Unfortunately, no corresponding revision of employee totals is contemplated to reflect a decline in total positions.

The following table reflects the comparison in change of inmate population to new positions requested, by facility, for the current and budget years:

State Prisons and Institutions Summary-Continued * Comparative Change Population—Employees 1956-57-1955-56

	1000 0110	00 00	
Facility		Population change 1956-57:1955-56	New positions requested
Soledad		—43	+7
San Quentin			+22
Subtotal			$-{+29}$
Deuel		+12	± 3
Folsom		÷25	+11
Folsom		+44	+10
Corona		+48	+18
Chino		· 175	+14
Men's Colony	·	+234	+30
Medical Facility		+268	+5
Subtotal		+806	
Combined total			+120

* Institutions only, exclusive of camps.

From the foregoing table it is noted that two institutions having a total population decline of 487 inmates are nevertheless requesting 29 new positions. These same two facilities, Soledad and San Quentin, were also in the same category in the table immediately prior to the one here discussed.

The net effect, departmental wide, is that an institutional increase of 319 inmates results in 120 new positions being requested in institutional operations only.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate

Fiscal	Total		$Level\ of$		se over year
year	employees	Population	service *	Amount	Percent
1947-48\$	1,413.8	9,136	275	·	
1948-49	1,634.8	10,137	286	\$11	4.0
1949-50	1,713.8	11,009	276	—10	-3.5
1950-51	1,759.3	11,591	270	6	2.2
1951-52	2,145.2	12,055	316	46	17.0
1952-53	2,209.0	13,216	297	19	6.0
1953-54	2,418.1	14,171	303	6	2.0
1954-55	2,680.2	15,337	310	7	2.3
1955-56†	3,096.7	15,44 8	356	46	14.8
1956-57‡	3,253.0	15,893	364	- 8	2.2

Expressed in terms of total annual paid employee-hours per inmate.

‡ Budget request.

The above table reflects in terms of level of service the same general impact and trend set forth in relation to per capita costs and comparisons of new positions related to population changes which were reviewed in the preceding text.

The total departmental level of service for 1956-57 will be 364 hours per inmate, an increase of eight hours, or 2.2 percent, above the 1955-56 figure of 356 hours.

Incidentally, it should be noted that the total level of service now stands at 89 hours, or 32.4 percent, above the level of 275 hours which prevailed in 1947-48, the year that the 40-hour week became effective.

Forty-hour week became effective. Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

State Prisons and Institutions Summary—Continued

This represents a substantial enrichment of the entire program devoted to the custodial and rehabilitative objectives of the agency. Unfortunately, insufficient progress has been made to establish necessary controls to evaluate the impact of all of this added service in terms of accomplishing the basic agency goals. With the exception of a few pilot projects recently inaugurated and referred to elsewhere in this analysis, the program and the costs thereof have been bought largely on faith. Much yet remains to be done in the way of both special and continuing studies designed to produce proof of valid results commensurate with the added services and costs which have accrued to implement this program over the past 11 years.

Evaluating the Impact of the California Penal Institutional Program

We believe it necessary that management give careful consideration to developing a statistical program balance sheet that will reflect an analytical compilation of factors that will permit a year-to-year comparative evaluation of the *results* emanating from the institutional

penal programs over a period of years.

We can see no other alternative by which there can be determined on a continuing basis the answer to the basic question: Is the entire program productive of any greater degree of success in rehabilitation in 1956-57 than it was in 1945-46 or any other interim fiscal period? It is apparent that a consistent, logical approach to the question can be productive of some fundamental yardsticks from which firm conclusions can be drawn.

Since we are dealing with rehabilitation as a treatment process, it follows that

1. The more effective the "treatment" the longer the "patient" (inmate) will be enabled to proceed on a normal social course of conduct before requiring another period of treatment.

2. Collaterally, the more effective the treatment processes, progressively fewer in number will become the patients requiring addi-

tional periods of treatment.

We believe it to be not unduly difficult to set up criteria and secure data susceptible of determining annual continuing trends in relation

to concepts one and two as above stated.

As a suggested partial content of a monthly statistical program balance sheet, consideration might be given to including data *only* on commitments having had *prior* California prison terms to reflect and develop trends on items including, but not necessarily limited to, the following:

- Total monthly commitments having served prior California prison terms.
- 2. Item 1 broken down numerically and by percentage to show:
 - A. Institution of last release, grouped by institution of last release.
 - B. Fiscal year of last release, grouped by fiscal year of last release.

State Prisons and Institutions Summary—Continued

3. Items 2A and 2B separately broken down numerically and by

percentage to show:

A. Number of years and months of "free" time on the outside prior to current recommitment, grouped in stated time intervals of one month, three months, six months, nine months, one year and then in additional six-month periods as required.

4. Item 3A broken down numerically and by percentage to show the "free" time in months immediately prior to the last recommit-

ment, as:

A. On parole.

B. After release from parole.

C. After direct discharge.

5. Rate of recommitments in terms of a percentage of total releases by fiscal year of last release.

6. Item 5 broken down by institution of last release.

7. Numerical and percentage breakdown of recommitments who have had prior *California* prison experience in terms of

A. One prior.

B. Two priors.C. Three or more priors.

8. Items 7A, 7B, and 7C separately broken down numerically and by percentage by prior institution from which last released, grouped by institution of release.

9. Items 7A, 7B, and 7C separately broken down numerically and by percentage to show fiscal year of last release, grouped by fiscal

vear of last release.

10. Items 7A, 7B, and 7C separately broken down numerically and by percentage to show:

A. Number of years and months of "free" time on the outside prior to current recommitment, grouped in stated time intervals as suggested in Item 3A above.

11. Item 10A broken down numerically and by percentage to show

the "free" time in months as indicated in Item 4 above.

12. Items 10A and 11 separately broken down numerically and by percentage by fiscal year of last release.

The foregoing items constitute primarily basic data from which could be established trend interpretations coupled with other available information to indicate whether the prison program in effect up to any given fiscal period of release was generally any more effective than that in any other stated period covered.

It follows, of course, that each of these items is susceptible of further analysis by category of initial and last crime, age, race groups, educational attainment standard, and other factors currently considered.

We believe that the proper analysis of such a device as herein exemplified would provide management with a total measurement of progress or the lack of it, without which it lacks the material substantive proof to more clearly delineate progress.

We have not attempted to explore all of the facets of the problem in this presentation but submit the suggestions and recommendations

State Prisons and Institutions Summary-Continued

merely as a focal point to be considered in initiating the development of criteria considered essential to properly appraise the penal program.

We recommend that the Department of Corrections and the Department of Finance, in cooperation with the Bureau of Criminal Statistics of the Department of Justice, develop the format and mechanics and implement procedures as necessary to start the collection and evaluation of pertinent data related primarily to a continuing measurement of the total relative effectiveness of the rehabilitative results of the program of the Department of Corrections.

Department of Corrections-Total Proposed New Positions, by Facility, 1956-57

	Authorized	Proposed n	ew positions	Percent in-
Facility	positions	Number	Cost	crease in staff
Departmental administration	73.0	2.0	\$10,860	2.7
Medical facility		5.0	25,462	$\overline{1.5}$
Chino			, .	
Institution	351.7	15.4	44,998	4.3
Reception center	33.0			'
Camp supervision	2.0			
Road camp		1.0	3,996	16.7
State forestry camps	20.0	4.0	15,984	20.0
Federal forestry camps				
Tehachapi	$_{}$ 96.5	10.5	44,621	10.9
California Men's Colony	$_{}$ 175.0	30.0	125,383	17.1
Folsom			*	
Institution	358.0	11.3	46,343	3.2
Camp supervision	3.0			
State forestry camps		6.0	24,594	40.0
Federal forestry camps	7.5			
San Quentin				
Institution	$_{}$ 530.8	22.2	$95,\!105$	4.2
Reception center	32.0		·	
Camp supervision	6.0			
Road camps	10.0	2.0	7,992	20.0
State forestry camps	10.0	8.0	26,781	80.0
Federal forestry camps	1.3		·	
Soledad				
Institution	$_{}$ 347.2	6.8	27,255	2.0
Forestry camp supervision	3.8			
State forestry camps		3.0	11,988	20.0
Federal forestry camps	5.0		·	
Deuel				
Institution		2.7	10,475	0.8
Reception center				
Institution for Women		17.8	$55,\!192$	15.6
Adult Authority		19.0	66,553	9.7
Board of Trustees	12.0	2.0	9,423	16.7
Totals	3,085.3	167.7	\$653,005	5.4

The total departmental request embodies 167.7 proposed new positions at an added cost of \$653,005, representing a 5.4 percent increase in staff.

The budget proposed for 1956-57 embodies three program proposals, each of which requires augmentations principally in staff coupled with some related expenditure in other categories.

One of the programs, group counseling, may be considered as a new program from the legislative viewpoint. Another, the intensive treatment program, may be considered as an extension coupled with a varState Prisons and Institutions Summary—Continued

iation of the program initiated at the Deuel Vocational Institution in 1955-56.

In connection with the budget presentation on the intensive treat-

ment program, we note what appears to be an error.

On budget page 100, lines 46 to 53, inclusive, in the general analysis section, a total of 25 positions including 12 at the Institution for Men, Chino, are indicated to be needed.

However, on page 121, lines 44 to 46, inclusive, in the Chino institution budget general analysis section, a total of only 11 positions are

requested.

Similarly, on page 125, lines 29 to 35, inclusive, in the institutional budget detail only 11 positions are set forth as proposed new ones.

The agency and the Department of Finance should clarify the dis-

crepancy.

The third, the Special Intensive Parole Unit, is the program initiated on July 1, 1953, in the Adult Authority and which is now sought to be expanded.

We are in accord with all of the general concepts, objectives, and

motives forming the bases for these programs.

They are all experimental in nature, founded in a basic concept of research, governed by pilot control methods that should produce evaluations capable of measurement as to their relative efficiency.

Finally, they are each predicated on the ultimate proposition that they either prove themselves individually in terms of demonstrated fiscal or social results or both, or they fail. Failing, they should not

receive further fiscal support.

We believe it to be a beneficial trend indeed that the department is applying a portion of its resources to attempt pilot exploratory controlled programs, seeking better, quicker or more reliable answers to the 15,000-plus problems it has in its custody. The philosophy of attempting measurement of the results of any program is one to which we have consistently subscribed and earnestly advocated throughout past fiscal periods.

The added budgeted gross costs for salaries and wages for programs

in 1956-57 total \$163.809, allocated as follows:

Intensive treatment program Group counseling	\$85,203 29,712
Expanded Special Intensive Parole Unit	
Total	\$163,809

If we add to the foregoing, the presently budgeted positions for the Special Intensive Parole Unit of \$132,876, we arrive at a total of \$296,685 as the salary and wage expenditure for these programs during 1956-57. To arrive at the total budgeted cost including operating expenses, equipment and related costs, we must add another \$99,985, bringing the entire gross cost of these programs to \$396,670.

In terms of per capita cost, this means the agency is spending on the average \$24.95 per inmate on research programs for fiscal 1956-57.

In terms of a total departmental expenditure program of \$25,727,-101, gross research costs for these programs represent 1.54 percent of total expenditures. This is a reasonable sum for the purpose.

State Prisons and Institutions Summary-Continued

In our prior comments, we have emphasized the word gross in connection with the stated cost figures. Actually, \$252,321 of the \$396,670 or 63.6 percent of the gross cost for all three programs is for the Special Intensive Parole Unit. Preliminary cost data that has been evaluated to date indicates that the total savings generated by this latter program may exceed its actual cost and ultimately result in a net savings to the State. Some of these savings are already in evidence since this operation has contributed toward a reduction in total inmate population throughout the department.

Similar projected savings and results are anticipated for the intensive treatment program during its trial period. The savings are to be achieved through an earlier release program saving on the average six months of institutional time and the related costs incidental thereto. The direct savings on a four-year projection are estimated to be

\$135,000.

When consideration is given to these fiscal offsets in those programs, coupled with the potential long-term social gain, we conclude that these augmentations in the current level of service to implement these activities would merit approval at this time.

Education Program—Sabbatical Leave

The sum of \$18,750 is requested to be allocated to the various institutions in accordance with the schedule outlined on budget page 101, line 33, in the general analysis section of the budget. These funds are to provide for employment of relief instructors for approximately one-fourth of the 122 vocational instruction positions transferred to institutional support budgets from the local school district pay rolls. This is in line with prior legislative authority pursuant to Chapter 1865, Statutes of 1955.

Approval is recommended.

Fifth Correctional Officer-State Forestry and Highway Road Camps

16.5 Correctional officers (Budget page 101, line 58)_____ \$64,911

The proposal here requested would require a total of 16.5 additional correctional officer positions at an added cost for salaries and wages in the amount of \$64,911.

These positions would be established at the various institutions operating camps as per the following schedule:

Facility	State forestry camps	Highway road camps	Totals
Institution for Men-Chino	4	1	5
State Prison at Folsom	3.5	of the second second	3.5
State Prison at San Quentin	3	$^{\circ}$ $^{\circ}$	5
State Prison at Soledad	3		3
	. —	· · —	· ·
Totals	13.5	3	16.5

The present staffing provides for one camp supervisor and four correctional officers. This complement permits one person to be in charge of the camp at all times, including the camp supervisor on a regular shift basis. In addition, it provides a total of 355 hours per year of unallocated time or the equivalent of approximately one hour per day shift.

State Prisons and Institutions Summary—Continued

We recommend deletion of the requested 16.5 camp officers, reducing

salaries and wages in the amount of \$64,911.

These positions have been requested by the agency each year over the past few years. They were last deleted by the Legislature when it considered the 1953-54 Budget. Subsequently these positions have been deleted initially by the Department of Finance. These particular positions are deemed to be of low priority in terms of other agency requests which have been allowed in the proposed budget under consideration.

The allowance of these positions at this time constitutes an increase in the level of service and falls within the general policy of this *Analysis* in recommending deferring such requests for consideration in a budget year, unless coupled with urgent and compelling reasons.

We fail to find any significant substance to agency statements contemplated to bring this request within the exception to this policy. For example, the justification set forth in the budget on page 101, lines 73

to 76, inclusive, states:

"The fifth camp officer will enable the prison camp supervisor to perform his intended administrative function and will provide for two free people during the day shift (the prison camp supervisor and one officer), permitting one person to leave the camp and pick up the mail, do local purchasing of supplies, care for emergency medical cases and do many other things of this kind required in the normal operation of a camp."

We point out that all of the duties alluded to in the above statement are now being accomplished within the structure of the present staffing complements, during the years those camps have been operating.

Further, these camps are operating in conjunction with other state agencies and a mutual pooling of local resources is contemplated in the interest of efficiency and economy. A token offset in the amount of \$5,000 budgeted for payment of overtime is offered in lieu of \$64,911

budgeted for the additional salaries and wages.

We cannot rationalize the equity or fiscal advisability of buying actually \$78,210 worth of added service (the ultimate salary cost exclusive of retirement contribution) to save \$5,000 in overtime payments. The overtime factor provides additional income for present personnel and poses no real inconvenience to affected employees, since the custody personnel at these camps usually work shifts of two weeks on duty and two weeks off, due to the distance of these camps from metropolitan centers, and the travel costs and time that would otherwise be involved.

In view of all the foregoing factors, we cannot concur in the neces-

sity of the request at this time.

Additional Medical Technical Assistant Coverage

11 Medical technical assistants (Budget page 101, line 5)...... \$43,956

The above 11 additional positions are requested to augment the existing level of service for hospital coverage at three institutions. These

positions would be distributed as follows:

State Prisons and Institutions Summary-Continued

			Percentage increase in service	*Pop	ulation
Facility	Added p Number	ositions Cost	$for\ M.T.A.$ $coverage$	Actual 1954-55	Projected 1956-57
Folsom	4	\$15,984	80.0	2.795	2,750
San Quentin	. 2	7,992	25.0	4,909	3,769
Soledad	- 5	19,980	71.0	2,356	$2,\!215$
Totals	_ 11	\$43,956		10,060	8,734
* Includes camps.					

We recommend deletion of the requested 11 medical technical assistants, reducing salaries and wages in the amount of \$43,956.

From the above table it is clear that the allowance of the requested positions would provide for a substantial increase in the level of staffing provided by these position classifications. Folsom would advance 80 percent; San Quentin, 25 percent, and Soledad, 71 percent, in terms of present medical technical assistant staffing.

The increase in service would be further compounded in terms of the average populations actually serviced in 1954-55 when compared to projected populations at these same facilities for 1956-57.

Population declines are budgeted as follows: Folsom, down 45 inmates or 1.6 percent; San Quentin down 1,140 inmates or 23 percent; Soledad down 141 inmates or 5.9 percent.

Therefore, from the standpoint of prior performance in terms of work load accomplished compared to projected workload, as well as from the policy standpoint of deferring consideration of increases during a budget session, we cannot concur in the agency request. The ultimate annual cost of the requested positions would be \$52,140 exclusive of contributions to the retirement fund.

Classification and Parole

3	positions	(Budget page	101.	line 16)\$15,888
J	positions	Duage page	$_{101}$	10100 10	/

The budget on page 102, lines 14-29, inclusive, sets forth the distribution and realignment of personnel required for classification and pre-parole interviewing ostensibly in line with a work load formula developed to measure staffing needs for this function.

Due to population shifts some institutions are scheduled to lose positions and others gain positions. The gains offset the losses with the net result that three additional positions are deemed necessary to carry the total work load in all the facilities. The changes, by clerical and professional positions are shown in the following table:

	Clerical positions	Professional positions	Total
Men's Colony	2°	1	3
Institution for Men, Tehachapi Branch	1	2	3
Folsom	. 1	1	. 2 .
San Quentin	— 5	<u>1</u>	6
Soledad	1		—1
Institution for Women.	1	1	2
	_	· —	
Totals	1	4	- 3

The proposed changes by position classification and by institution are shown in the following table, together with population changes:

State Prisons and Institutions Summary—Continued

	Classifi						
	parole	positio	ns		Popule	ation	
4.4			nber	Per			Popu-
	and the second of the second of the	In-	De-	1955-56	Per 1956	-57 G.B.	lation
Facility	Title	crease	crease	Gov. Bud.	1955-56	1956-57	change
Men's Colony	_ Correctional classifi	-					
	cation officer	. 1		900	941	1,175	+234
	Intermediate						
	typist-clerk	. 2					
Tehachapi			+3				
Branch	Correctional classifi			~ 00	450		
	cation officer	. 1		500	456	500	+44
	Prison records officer	1	100				
	Intermediate stenog						
*	rapher-clerk				\$ 1	*	
	i f			A 21			
Folsom	Correctional classifi		100	0.505	0.000	0 ===0	
	cation officer Intermediate	. 1		2,765	2,686	2,750	+64
	typist-clerk	1					
12 San 1 San	• •						
San Quentin			_				
	cation officer		1	4,750	4,124	3,769	355
	Intermediate	100	E				
	typist-clerk		5				
Soledad	_Intermediate			1,000		22	
	typist-clerk	·	1	2,375	2,260	2,215	45
Corona	_Correctional classifi-						
	cation officer	1		540	574	622	+48
	Intermediate	,		11			
	file clerk	1					
m -4-1		1.10		11.000	11.041	11.00=	. —
Totals		+10	7	11,830	11,041	11,031	—10

While we are aware that the foregoing staffing requirements were to have been determined in accordance with a work load formula developed in 1953, the details of the present computation are not available in the justification data furnished to us for review. Neither have we been furnished with the actual figures resulting from prior estimated work load requirements for the function.

We also understand that the formula contemplates the use of estimated numbers of transactions in certain specified categories for which standard time elements were developed and that population figures per se are not directly related to the application of the formula.

Nevertheless, an examination of the foregoing table raises certain questions in connection with the apparent application of the formula that we desire to explore before giving our unqualified recommendation in approval of the requested positions, three additional positions for the classification and parole function, at this time.

We therefore recommend the three additional parole and classification positions for inclusion in the respective institutional budgets as designated, subject to a complete review by the agency, and the Department of Finance of the statistical basis utilized in developing the needs for the positions requested in the 1956-57 Budget and the positions allowed in the 1955-56 Budget, said three positions not to be filled until such review and approval is concurred in by the designated agencies.

State Prisons and Institutions Summary-Continued

It is further recommended that a full report of the findings after review and the basic work load data utilized be submitted to the Legislative Budget Committee.

Standardized Inmate Clothing Ration

Funds in the amount of \$385,388 are requested to extend the application of a standardized inmate clothing ration to three more institutions. This clothing ration was first applied last year to the San Quentin, Soledad, and Deuel institutions.

The present proposal seeks now to extend it to the institutions and in

the amounts reflected in the following table.

	Funds r	equired	$Added\ cost$
	Present basis	Ration basis	of ration
Medical facility	\$52,969	\$79,425	\$26,456
Institution for men	120,405	177,007	56,602
State Prison at Folsom	92,402	128,956	$36,\!554$
Totals	\$265,776	\$385,388	\$119,612

We recommend deletion of the added cost of \$119,612 involved in establishing the increased clothing rations at this time for the above three institutions.

In the first place the establishment of the ration quantities represent an improvement in the existing level of service at the three institutions involved since the individual rations per se include larger quantities of clothing than heretofore acquired.

Therefore on the basis of the general policy to defer considerations of improved levels of service in a budget session we cannot recommend

the increased cost.

Secondly, there is some indication that the ration quantity of clothing that has been set up may be in some instances in excess of that capable of being constructively and economically utilized.

Finally, it should be noted that the justification as set forth in the budget on page 102, lines 45 to 49, inclusive, states in part: "The use of a clothing ration will permit more economical and complete distribution of clothing. * * * *"

We seriously doubt that any economy will result from the utilization of an increased individual complement of clothing which costs more

money.

We are in accord with the general concept of a standard complement of clothing issue and the use of an appropriate inventory control, which was claimed to be utilized in connection with the clothing ration when it was introduced into the budget in 1955-56.

However, we now understand that an actual count inventory will only be taken once each year. In the interim the inventory is not on a perpetual record basis, so that any abuses, misuses, or loss of clothing will not be discovered from any inventory control until the expiration of a full year will have elapsed between inventory dates. This can hardly be said to accomplish any material benefit. Clothing purchases are on a quarterly basis and it would seem appropriate to inventory on a like schedule.

Since claims of economy are and have been made for the "system" now in vogue at San Quentin, Soledad and Deuel, we believe it only

State Prisons and Institutions Summary-Continued

equitable and reasonable to defer establishing the ration "control" at the other three facilities as requested. This will provide the opportunity to measure and evaluate the resulting per capita costs for clothing at three institutions under the ration plan, as against three institutions budgeting on the basis of actual prior expenditures for clothing.

We recommend the deletion of the extra \$119,612 herein requested.

It should be noted that this \$119,612 represents a 45 percent added cost at this time over the prior method of budgeting.

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS Departmental Administration

ITEM 48 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 103 Budget line No. 7

FOR SUPPORT OF DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$500,564 472,066
Increase (6.0 percent)	\$28,498

Summary of Increase

		INCREASE D	UE TO		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line No.
Salaries and wages	\$19,575	\$12,903	\$6,672	106	51
Operating expense	9,557	9,557		106	52
Equipment	-634	-3,634	3,000	106	53
Total increase	\$28,498	\$18,826	\$9,672	106	55
DECOMMENDATIONS					

RECOMMENDATIONS

Legislative Auditor's recommendation		\$500,564 497,564
Logiciative /taution o recommendation	-	
Reduction		 \$3,000

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$3,000 consists of the following amounts in the categories indicated:

						Buc	lget
Equipment				1.5	Amount	Page	Line
Electronic security sy	stem—e:	xperimen	tal		\$3,000	105	50

•	Per Capita (Costs for Admi	nistration	* * .	
Fiscal	$Total\\ nonulation$	$Total \\ administration$	$Per \ capita$	Increas prior	se over year
year	all facilities		cost	Amount	Percent
1946-47	7,950	\$97,545	\$12.27		
1947-48	9,136	161,398	17.68	\$5.40	44.0
1948-49	10,137	191 925	18.93	1.26	7.1
1949-50	11,009	216,278	19.65	.72	3.8
1950-51	11,591	236,230	20.38	.73	3.7
1951-52	12,055	271,599	22.53	2.15	10.5
1952-53	13,216	*372,645	28.20	5.67	25.2
1953-54	14,171	*408,521	28.83	.63	2.2
1954-55	15,337	*418,203	27.27	93	-3.2
1955-56	15,448	*500,566	32.40	5.13	18.81
1956-57	15,893	*530,564	33.38	.98	3.0

^{*} Includes contributions to State Employees' Retirement Fund.

Departmental Administration—Continued

As indicated in the foregoing table, the total expenditures for support of this function are scheduled to increase \$29,998 or 6.0 percent over the 1955-56 level.

Population at all facilities for 1956-57 is estimated to average 15,893 inmates, an increase of 445 or 2.9 percent.

This results in the per capita cost for departmental administration services going from \$32.40 to \$33.38, an increase of \$0.98 or 3 percent.

The relative proportions of administrative costs may be appraised from the aspect of the percentage relationship of such costs to the total expenditure program serviced by the centralized departmental function. This is shown in the following table:

Comparative Administrative Costs—Department of Corrections

· .	Total	Total	Percentage
Fiscal	support	administrative	administrative
year	budget *	cost	cost
1949-50	\$9,957,400	\$216,278	2.2
1950-51	11,356,371	236,230	2.1
1951-52	13,236,309	271,599	2.1
†1952-53	15,594,142	372,645	2.4
1953-54	18,090,602	$408,\!521$	2.3
1954-55	20,034,434	418,203	2.1
1955-56	23,525,862	500,566	2.1
1956-57	25,426,376	530,564	2.1

* Exclusive of other current expenses.

The above table indicates that the percentage of total administrative costs to the total support budget for the entire agency in 1956-57 is projected at 2.1 percent. The percentage figure is scheduled to remain constant since 1953-54.

Total annual departmental expenses have increased \$15,468,976 or 160.4 percent since 1949-50. However, total administrative costs have reflected only a minor fraction of a percentage decline in relationship to these expenditures. This is not consistent with an expected normal sound fiscal trend.

The following table presents a measurement of the level of service extended from departmental administration:

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate for Departmental Administration

Fiscal	Total		Level of	Increa prior	
year	employees	Population	service ‡	Amount	Percent
1946-47	_ 19.8	7,950	5.4		
1947-48*	_ 33.3	9,136	6.4	1	18.5
1948-49	39.6	10,137	6.8	0.4	6.3
1949-50	42.1	11,009	6.7	0.1	—1.5
1950-51	46.8	11,591	7.1	0.4	6
1951-52	_ 51	12,055	7.5	0.4	5.6
1952-53	_ 59	13,216	7.9	0.4	5.3
1953-54	_ 64	14,171	8.0	0.1	1.3
1954-55	65.2	15,337	7.6	-0.4	5.0
1955-56§	- 73	15,448	8.4	0.8	10.5
1956-57†	. 74	15,893	8.3	-0.1	1.2

40-hour week became effective.

Budget request.

[†] Includes contributions to State Employees' Retirement Fund for this and each subsequent year.

Estimate as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Level of service in terms of total annual paid employee-hours per inmate.

Departmental Administration—Continued

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 8.3 hours per inmate.

This is 0.1 hours, or 1.2 percent, below the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 2.9 hours, or 46.3 percent, above the minimum level of service of 5.4 hours, experienced in 1946-47 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$420,410. This represents an increase of \$12,903, or 3.2 percent over the total of \$407,507 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 73 established positionsA total of 2.2 proposed new positions costingA change in estimated salary savings of	10,860
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$19,575

A total of 73 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional 2.2 proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 3 percent in staff, as compared to a 2.9 percent increase in total inmate population at all facilities.

The 2.2 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

		Bu	udget	
Functions and Positions	Amount	Page	Line	
Administration				
Records office:				
1 Intermediate clerk	\$2,988	105	23	
Classification:				
1 Correctional classification officer IV	6,672	105	25	
Special Study Commission:	7			
0.2 Temporary help (special consultant)	1,200	106	19	
				
2.2 Totals	\$10,860	100		

The intermediate clerk position is related to a projected increased work load in the records office, warranting slightly in excess of a half time position.

A correctional classification officer IV is budgeted to supervise the

intensive treatment program.

A special consultant in statistics is scheduled for utilization by the Special Study Commission on Correctional Services and Facilities. These services are budgeted as 0.2 of a position for temporary help in the sum of \$1,200.

We recommend approval of the three positions as requested.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$65,835 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$9,557 or 17 percent over the amount of \$56,278 estimated to be expended in 1955-56.

Countries of the first designation of the countries of th

Departmental Administration-Continued

The major items of increase and the amounts of the increase therein are as follows:

Printing _	:		<u> </u>	 55.700
Relocation	of the	intercommunication state	system	3,000 860
Liurening,	out or	5000		
Total	<u>:</u>			 89.560

The substantial increase in printing costs is attributable to the printing of the biennial report of the department and the printing of reports by the Special Study Commission

ports by the Special Study Commission.

Relocation of the intercommunications system is necessitated by the fact that some offices of the agency are to be consolidated. The amount appears ample for the purpose and probably will not be entirely expended.

Out-of-state travel is increased by virtue of the transfer of expenditures for this item for all institutions to departmental administration plus provision for travel for the deputy director position, which was not utilized in 1955-56.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$7,647 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$634 under the amount of \$8,281 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$7,647 for equipment, the sum of \$1,316 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$6,331 is for additional equipment.

The level of expenditure for the purpose appears reasonable and

ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Included in the equipment request is the sum of \$3,000 for an "experimental electronic security system," according to the budget statement.

Our understanding of the nature of this request is such that the budget statement appears to be in error as to the purpose for which the \$3,000 is requested.

The agency does not have in mind, nor does it contemplate at this time, the purchase of any specific "electronic security system" as such

on an experimental basis.

What is really being requested here is a "contingency fund" to be used for the purchase, on a limited basis, for experimentation or trial, of such electronic devices as may become available on the market and which may have practical application potentialities for institutional use for security purposes.

We recommend deletion of the amount requested for electronic secu-

rity equipment.

Normally, no material problem is encountered in inducing the manufacturer or distributor of any reliable device to make a practical demonstration of the capabilities or feasibility of the device in question without charge.

The Department of Corrections should continually explore to the fullest extent practicable developments in the electronic field for pos-

Departmental Administration—Continued

sible application to correctional use. It is to be commended for establishing a departmental committee having this as one of its purposes.

We do not concur in the premise that substantial funds should be appropriated or expended to buy items on an experimental basis for the purposes stated.

We believe that such devices should be either initially tried at the risk and expense of the manufacturer or distributor making the claims of accomplishment for the device, or that the experience of the other users of the device be determined, or preferably both.

Based on such trials and/or experiences, the department should then present its budget request for acquisition of the items accompanied by a full justification outlining the problem sought to be corrected, how the device will alleviate or eliminate the conditions resulting in the problem, the cost of such corrective measures, and any savings resulting from the application thereof.

Deletion of the funds for the purposes sought appears in order also on the grounds of indefiniteness.

Department of Corrections TRANSPORTATION OF PRISONERS

ITEM 49 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 103 Budget line No. 20

FOR TRANSPORTATION OF PRISONERS FROM THE GENERAL Amount requested Estimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$59,100 \$6,800
Increase (4.0 percent)	\$2,300
RECOMMENDATIONS	
Amount budgeted	\$59,100 59,100
Reduction	None

ANALYSIS

Expenditures in 1956-57 for the transportation of prisoners, including the return of parole violators to prison, are estimated at \$59,100. This represents an increase of \$2,300, or 4 percent, over the amount now estimated for the current fiscal period.

The expenditure is limited by the terms of the appropriation, and the

projected estimated increase is nominal.

The amount now budgeted reflects a decline of \$20,300 or 25.6 percent, below the amount of \$79,400 originally budgeted for 1955-56, and appears to be a more realistic figure in the light of recent past experience on this item.

We recommend approval of the request.

Department of Corrections

RETURNING FUGITIVES FROM JUSTICE FROM	OUTSIDE	THE STAT	E
---------------------------------------	---------	----------	---

ITEM 50 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 103 Budget line No. 24

FOR RETURNING FUGITIVES FROM JUSTICE FROM OUTSIDE THE STATE FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$200,000 200,000
Increase	None

Amount budgeted	\$200,000 200,000
Reduction	None

ANALYSIS

Expenditures are limited by the terms of the appropriation. We recommend approval of the amount requested.

Department of Corrections

TRIALS OF INMATES CHARGED WITH COMMISSION OF A CRIME WHILE CONFINED IN A STATE INSTITUTION

ITEM 51 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 103 Budget line No. 31

FOR SUPPORT OF TRIALS OF INMATES CHARGED WITH COMMISSION OF A CRIME WHILE CONFINED IN A STATE INSTITUTION FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$25,000 25,000
Increase	None
RECOMMENDATIONS	
Amount budgeted	\$25,000 25,000
Reduction	None

This is purely a contingency item. The amount may or may not be expended either in whole or in part, and conceivably could require

We recommend approval as requested.

Department of Corrections MEDICAL FACILITY

ITEM 52 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 108 Budget line No. 7

FOR SUPPORT OF MEDICAL FACILITY FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$2,295,054
Increase (10.5 percent)	\$219,018

Medical Facility-Continued

Summary of Increase

<u>-</u>		INCREASE	DUE TO		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budge page	t Line No.
Salaries and wages	\$81,590	\$75,594	\$5,996		9
Operating expense	155,740	129,284	26,456	113	10
Equipment	5,132	-5,132		113	11
Inmate pay work projects	160	160		113	12
Increased reimbursements	13,340	13,340		113	22
Total increases	\$219,018	\$186,566	\$32,452	113	25
RECOMMENDATIONS					
Amount budgeted Legislative Auditor's recommend				\$2,295 2,264	
Reduction			- -	\$30	,452
The recommended reduction amounts in the categories indicates		,452 consists	of the	follow	ing
		_	7	Rudaet	

		Bu	aget.
Salaries and wages	Amount	Page	Line
1 Correctional officer	\$3,996	111	54
1 position, reducing salaries and wages by	\$3,996		

It should be noted that even with the recommended deletion of the above position, the agency will still receive the benefit of a total of 4.6 new positions involving an increase of \$21,466 in salaries and wages.

		Buc	dget	
Operating expenses	Amount	Page	Line	
Defer establishment of additional clothing inventory for increased ration complement	\$26,456	110	40	
Reduction in operating expenses	\$26,456			
Total recommended reduction	\$30,452	•		

ANALYSIS

This facility provides security confinement, and care and treatment for prison inmates who are mentally ill. In addition, inmates afflicted with chronic diseases such as tuberculosis, which render them less capable of adapting to the regular prison programs, are also assigned there.

	Per C	apita Costs		•
Fiscal	Institution	Per capita	Increase ov	er prior year
year	population	cost	Amount	Percent
1950-51	540	\$1,370		
1951-52	932	1,228	\$142	— 10.9
1952-53	1,004	1,315	87	7.1
1953-54	1,088	1,356	41	3.1
1954-55	880	1,753	397	29.3
1955-56 †	1,082	2,044	291	16.6
1956-57 ‡	1,350	1,786	258	12.6
+ Estimate as shown in 1956-	57 Rudget			*.

The total support expenditure for this facility is scheduled to increase \$224,811, or 10.3 percent.

[‡] Budget request.

Medical Facility—Continued

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 1,350 inmates, an increase of 268, or 24.8 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$2,044 to \$1,786, a decrease of \$258, or 12.6 percent.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$1,629,197. This represents an increase of \$81,590, or 5.3 percent, over the total of \$1,547,607 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 326.5 established positionsA total of 5.6 proposed new positions costingA change in estimated salary savings of	
Total increase in salaries and wases	\$81 590

A total of 326.5 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional 5.6 proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 1.7 percent in staff, as compared to a 24.8 percent increase in population at this facility.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 53.6 additional inmate increase in institu-

tional population.

The present level of staffing is one position for each 3.3 inmates. The ratio of requested new positions to change in institutional population represents a decline in the level of service. This will result in a total ratio of one position for each 4.1 inmates. This percentage decline in level of service, however, is fallacious when considered from the sole viewpoint of the 1956-57 budgeted figures. The comparison is brought into proper focus when recognition is given to the factors inherent in the 1955-56 Governor's Budget.

At that time this institution was budgeted for an average population of 1,185, with staffing geared to a year-end population of 1,350. It is therefore clear that on this latter basis there is no real increase in population upon which to predicate requests for added personnel since the average population now forecast for 1956-57, namely 1,350, is the same as the year-end population provided for in the previous budget. A total of 45.5 additional new positions was allowed this facility in the 1955-56 Budget.

Viewing the population comparison on an average basis, we find that the present 1955-56 figure is now estimated at only 1,082 instead of the 1,185 at which the facility was budgeted. Here again we find that the real difference even in budgeted average populations between 1955-56 and 1956-57 is only 165 inmates, or 13.9 percent.

We emphasize this lack of any real difference in work load factors between 1955-56 and 1956-57, since much was made of the point last year by both the agency and the Department of Finance, that the position requests were geared to the year-end population of 1,350.

Medical Facility-Continued

The necessity of emphasis on this point at this time becomes more apparent when we note that now the 1956-57 budget attempts to exploit the failure of the institution to achieve its prior budgeted population. We refer, for example, to the budget statement on page 108, line 69, that "work load in both functions is expected to increase substantially due to a 22 percent increase in population * * * " (emphasis added).

Incidentally, we are unable to reconcile the 22 percent figure used in this quoted statement with the 24.8 percent figure used on line 42 of the same page of the budget. It would appear that one or the other of these budget figures is in error in terms of the budgeted figures, and that both are in error when used to state or justify work load in terms of prior budgeted positions and population forecasts.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate

Fiscal	Total	Total annual	į.	Level of	Increa prior	se over year
year	employees	man-hours	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1950-51	143.5	254,856	540	472		
1951-52	190	337,440	932	362	\$110	23.3
1952-53	200	355,200	1,004	354	·—8	-2.2
1953-54	206.6	366,922	1,088	337	17	-4.8
1954-55	243.1	431,745	880	490.6	153.6	45.6
1955-56†	326.5	579,864	1,082	535.9	45.3	9.2
1956-57‡	331.5	588,744	1,350	436.1	99.8	18.6
† Estimate as s	shown in 1956-57	Budget.				

[†] Estimate as snown in 1956-57 Budget.

Budget requests.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 436.1 hours per inmate.

This is 99.8 hours, or 18.6 percent, below the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 99.1 hours, or 29.4 percent, above the minimum level of service of 337 hours, experienced in 1953-54 during the period of seven fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The 5.6 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

		Budget	
Functions and Positions	Amount	Page	Line
Care and welfare:			
Custodial and personal care:			
1 Medical technical assistant	\$3,996	111	53
*1 Correctional officer	3,996	111	54
Medical and psychiatric care:			
0.4 Overtime (group counseling)	2,000	111	56
Education and religion:	•		
1 Instructor in electronics	4,740	111	58
1 Instructor in mechanical drawing	4,740	111	59
1 Instructor in agriculture	4,740	111	. 60
0.2 Sabbatical leave	1,250	111	61
			
5.6 Totals	\$25.462		

^{*} Recommended for deletion.

Medical Facility—Continued

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

			Incre	ase			Incre	ase
				Per-	$Per\ cap$	ita cost	1000	Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	t $cent$
Administration Support and	\$84,018	\$85,365	\$1,347	1.6	\$78	\$63	-\$15	19.2
subsistence	60,910	62,329	1,419	2.3	56	46	—10	17.8
Care and welfare Maintenance and operation of	1,313,159	1,389,330	76,171	5.8	1,213	1,029	<i>—184</i>	15.2
plant	89,520	92,173	2,653	3.0	83	68	<u>—15</u>	18
Totals	\$1,547,607	\$1,629,197	\$81,590		\$1,430	\$1,206	-\$224 -	—15.7

The per capita cost for salaries and wages is scheduled at \$1,206 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$224, or 15.7 percent under the amount of \$1,430 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

The following table presents a measurement of the level of service devoted to custody at this facility:

Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate for Custody

	Total custodial positions	Total annual man-hours	Average population	Custodial level of service		se over year Percent
1950-51	_ 92	163,392	540	303	·	
1951-52	_ 132	234,432	932	252	51	-16.8
1952-53	_ 140.8	250,061	1,004	249	°3	1.2
1953-54	_ 144.4	$256,\!454$	1,088	236	13	5.2
1954-55	173.9	308,846	880	351	115	48.7
1955-56†	_ 225	399,600	1,082	369	18	5.1
1956-57‡	_ 227	403,152	1,350	299	70	19.0

† Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget. ‡ Budget request.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 299 hours per inmate.

This is 70 hours, or 19. percent, below the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 63 hours, or 26.7 percent, above the minimum level of service of 236 hours, experienced in 1953-54 during the period of seven fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

1 Correctional officer (Budget page 111, line 54)_____\$3,996

The above position is requested to provide coverage for the four vocational and six maintenance shops located adjacent to the institution proper. The buildings are estimated to be completed during the third quarter of the current year.

The agency states that, this post to be covered five days per week is required to give over-all supervision to the area, make frequent inspections and shakedowns of inmates, and to prevent contraband from being made or getting out of the shop area into the main institution.

We recommend deletion of the position.

This same position was requested in the 1955-56 budget and deleted by the Legislature.

Medical Facility-Continued

Under the proposed budget, the allowance of the requested positions will again place this institution far out in front of other correctional facilities. This is exemplified in the following table.

Comparative Levels of Service * for Custody-1956-57

Medical Facility	299	Chino 2	214
Deuel	290	Soledad 2	211
Tehachapi	266	Folsom 1	185
Corona	228	San Quentin 1	178
California Men's Colony			

^{*} Expressed in annual custodial employee man-hours available per inmate.

We again point out that general supervision over the working inmates in the maintenance and vocational shop area is already available from the following 12 positions in the budget and shown as follows:

	Bu	dget
Position title	Page	Line
Chief of institution maintenance II	112	21
Electrician foreman	112	23
Carpenter foreman		24
Plumber foreman	112	25
Painter foreman		26
Locksmith	112	27
Automotive maintenance foreman	$_{-}$ 112	39
Instructor in machine shop practice	. 112	31
Instructor in mill and cabinet work		38
Instructor in sheet metal work	_ 111	39
Instructor in electronics	_ 111	59
Instructor in mechanical drawing	. 111	60

The problem of inspections and shakedowns of inmates entering and leaving the maintenance and vocational shops area should be adequately controlled by the correctional officer assigned to post 118. This post is charged with the responsibility of controlling the inmate movement to and from the area embracing the vocational and maintenance shops according to the justification submitted by the agency at the time this post 118 was approved in the 1955-56 Budget.

Incidentally, an examination of the post assignment schedule submitted with the 1956-57 Budget shows that post 118 is no longer being utilized for the purposes for which it was authorized based upon the justification submitted for it at the time this post was allowed in the 1955-56 Budget. Utilizing an authorized coverage for a purpose totally different from that originally justified to secure the position would seem to violate the concept of the infallibility of the post assignment theory. Collaterally we find further support for our contention that "level of service" is perhaps a more equitable measure of the amount of manpower the Legislature should authorize to operate a given function. This would permit management to exercise its prerogative to deploy that manpower in the best interests of accomplishing the objective.

The problem of proper observance of the inmate in the performance of assigned duties while in the maintenance and vocational shop areas is a part of the responsibility of the foremen or instructors in charge of those respective areas and the activities therein. A proper and reasonable discharging of this responsibility should substantially preclude the probability of the manufacture of contraband within the area.

Medical Facility-Continued

We also note from an examination of the post assignment schedule submitted with the 1956-57 Budget that three officers are available on the second watch, which is the activity period, for the purpose of escort, search, and contraband. The statement of duties being performed by these officers includes the following recital: "Searches cells, wards, shops, offices, inmates, and all buildings for contraband. . . . (emphasis added). Three officers are used on this function on the second watch and one officer on the third watch."

From the above statement it is clear that the present complement of custodial positions includes some manpower available to devote to the purposes for which this new position is now being requested to further supplement the supervision of the instructors and shop foremen in the area under discussion.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$769,587 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$155,740, or 25.4 percent, over the amount of \$613,847 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

	.0 11 •		Incre	Increase Per capita costs		Increase		
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	Per-	1055 56	1956-57	1	Per-
				cent			Amount	cent
Administration _ Support and	\$16,430	\$15,095	\$1,335	8.1	\$15	\$11	\$4 -	-26.7
subsistence Care and	336,557	438,722	102,165	30.4	311	325	14	4.5
welfare Maintenance and operation of	86,885	137,795	50,910	58.5	80	102	22	27.5
plant	173,795	177,975 ———	4,180	2.4	161	132	<u>29</u>	-18.0
Totals	\$613,667	\$769,587	\$155,920	25.4	\$567	\$570	\$3	0.5

The per capita cost for operating expenses is scheduled at \$570 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$3, or 0.5 percent, over the amount of \$567 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

The increase in operating expenses is primarily attributable to increased costs related to the population increase plus direct budgeting of costs for academic education rather than through the a.d.a. program, and the inauguration of the clothing ration plan.

The latter item, scheduled to cost an additional \$26,456, is recommended for deletion in line with our discussion of this item in the Departmental Summary Section of this analysis.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$3,250 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$5,132 under the amount of \$8,382 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$3,250 for equipment, the sum of \$1,850 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$1,400 is for additional equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$4,900 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$3,250, a

Corrections

Medical Facility-Continued

reduction of \$1,650, or 33.7 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Due to the fact that this is a new institution and original equipment is financed out of capital outlay funds, only very nominal requests for such items result at this time.

Department of Corrections MEDICAL FACILITY

ITEM 53 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 108 Budget line No. 30

FOR EXPENSE OF MOVING RECEPTION-GUIDANCE CENTER FROM SAN QUENTIN TO VACAVILLE FROM THE GENERAL FUND Amount requested __ \$5,000 Estimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year_____ \$5,000 RECOMMENDATIONS Amount budgeted ______ \$5,000 Legislative Auditor's recommendation_____ 5,000 None

ANALYSIS.

This amount is scheduled as operating expense to cover the cost of moving reception-guidance center employees and their belongings from San Quentin to Vacaville coincidental with moving the function.

We recommend approval.

Department of Corrections TEMPORARY FACILITY—CALIFORNIA MEN'S COLONY

ITEM 54 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 114 Budget line No. 6

FOR SUPPORT OF TEMPORARY FACILITY—CALIFORNIA COLONY FROM THE GENERAL FUND	MEN'S
Amount requested	\$1.

.573,388 Estimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year 1,280,737 Increase (22.9 percent)______

Summary of Increase

		INCREASE			
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	
Salaries and wages	\$174,069	\$123,610	\$50,459	119	38
Operating expenses	117,201	117,201		119	39
Equipment	4,081	4,081		119	40
Less: increased reimbursements	-2,700	-2,700		119	46
Total increase	\$292,651	\$242,192	\$50,459	119	48
RECOMMENDATIONS					

Amount budgeted Legislative Auditor's recommenda		
205 1010110 7 (84110) 0 10001111101144	 	 2,020,000
Reduction	 	 \$46,422

Temporary Facility-California Men's Colony-Continued

The California Men's Colony is a temporary facility utilizing a portion of the barracks area at a military installation at Camp San Luis Obispo. The initial inmate population was received in October, 1954. This facility is utilized to house the older, chronically infirm segment of the inmate population of the Department of Corrections. As of October, 1955, approximately 92 percent of the inmates were over 45 years of age, with 76 percent of the total inmate population being 50 years of age or older.

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$46,422 consists of the following amounts in the categories indicated:

		Buc	lget
Salaries and Wages	Amount	Page	Line
3 Supervising cook I (effective September 1, 1956) 1 Correctional sergeant		116 117	81 73
8 Correctional officer		117	75
Positions, reducing salaries and wages by	\$46,422		

It should be noted that even with the recommended deletions of the above 12 positions, the agency will still receive the benefit of a total of 18.4 new positions involving an increase of \$78,961 in salaries and wages.

Per Capita Costs

Fiscal	Institution	Per capita		ase over. r year
year	population	cost	Amount	Percent
1954-55	402	\$1,691		·
1955-56*	941	1,458	\$233	<i>—7.9</i>
1956-57†	1,175	1,396	62	4.3
* 17-44 as absent 4- 1050	ET D. J			

^{*} Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

The total expenditure for support of this facility is scheduled to increase \$301,651, or 22.5 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 1,175 inmates,

an increase of 234, or 24.9 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$1,458 to \$1,396, a decrease of \$62, or 4.3 percent.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$955,053. This represents an increase of \$174,069, or 22.3 percent over the total of \$780,984 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 175 established positions	\$37,314 125,383 11,372

Total increase in salaries and wages______\$174,069

A total of 175 positions is presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional 30.4 proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 17.4 percent in staff, as compared to a 24.9 percent increase in population at this facility.

[†] Budget request.

Recommended for deletion.

Temporary Facility-California Men's Colony-Continued

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 7.6 additional inmate increase in institutional population.

The present level of staffing is one position for each 5.3 inmates. The added positions will result in a total ratio of one position for each 5.7 inmates.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate

Fiscal	Total	Total annual		Level of	Increa prior	se over year
year	employees	man-hours	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1954-55	91.5	162,504	402	404		
1955-56*	176	312,576	941	332	\$72	17.8
1956-57†	205	364,080	1,175	310	22	6.6
* Estimated as shown	in 1956-57 B	udget.		•		· ·

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 310 hours per inmate.

This is 22 hours, or 6.6 percent, below the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

The 30.4 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

	•	Bu	dget
Functions and Positions	Amount	Page	Line
Administration			
Business and accounting office:			
1 Storekeeper I	\$3,810	116	29
Support and subsistence:			
Feeding:			
*3 Supervising cook I (effective September 1,	0.040	440	04
1956)	9,942	116	81
Care and welfare:			
Custodial and personal care:			
1 Associate prison warden (effective Septem-	7.450	117	72
ber 1, 1956)*2 Correctional sergeant (delete 1)	9,024	117	73
*13 Correctional officer (delete 8) (5 effective	9,024	111	ίο
September 1, 1956)	48,538	117	75
1 Intermediate typist clerk	2,988	118	7
1 Intermediate clerk (effective September 1,	-,		
1956)	2,478	118	8
Medical care:	•		
1 Physician and surgeon II (effective Septem-			
ber 1, 1956)	9,500	118	11
1 Medical technical assistant (effective Sep-			
tember 1, 1956)	3,314	118	13
Education and religion:			
1 Supervisor of prison education	6,672	118	16
Classification and parole:	5.50	r	
1 Correctional classification officer II	4,980	118	19
2 Intermediate typist-clerk	6,276	118	20
0.4 Overtime (group counseling)	1,675	118	21
Maintenance and operation of plant	* .		
Maintenance of grounds:	4 740	440	-0
1 Instructor in landscape gardening 1 Correctional officer (truck driver)	$\frac{4,740}{3,996}$	118 118	73
T Correctional officer (cruck driver)	5,880	119	74
30.4 Totals	\$125,383		. 1

Temporary Facility—California Men's Colony—Continued Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

		-	Incre	ase	Increa	se over	Incre	ase
-				Per-	prior	$\cdot year$		Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Administration	\$63,628	\$72,759	\$9,130	14.3	\$67.62	\$61.93	\$5.69	<u>8.4</u>
Support and Subsistence	35,156	47,460	12,304	35.0	37.36	40.39	3.03	8.1
Care and welfare	633,739	775,478	141,739	22.4	673.47	659.98	—13.49	2.0
Maintenance and opera-	•							
of plant	48,460	59,356	10,896	22.5	51.14	50.51	0.63	0.1
Totals	\$780,984	\$955,053	\$174,069	22.3	\$829.59	\$812.81	_\$16.78	2.0

The per capita cost for salaries and wages is scheduled at \$813 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$17, or 2 percent under the amount of

\$830 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Before considering the requests for new positions and our recommendations thereon, we believe it desirable to bring the present budget request into proper focus and perspective in relation to the prior 1955-56 Budget and the staffing provided at that time. The basis for considering such staffing should be particularly noted.

The budget proposed for this facility in 1955-56 requested a total of 66.5 new positions. The population at the facility was estimated to reach 1,000 by January 1, 1956, and to continue at this level for the

last six months of that fiscal year.

Staffing was therefore geared to this 1,000 population level since the facility would be operating at that level for one-half of the effec-

tive period covered by that budget.

The population is now scheduled to average 1,175 inmates, a real gain of only 175 inmates or 17.5 percent above the level previously

provided and budgeted.

The evaluation of the need for new positions should therefore be predicated only upon such additional work load as may be generated by the additional 175 inmate average population increase over the final population previously provided for in the current budget in order to maintain the same level of comparative service.

3 Supervising cook I (effective September 1, 1956)

(Budget page 116, line 81) \$9,942

The agency states that *one* of these positions is needed to "provide coverage on the 10 a.m. to 6 p.m. shift for the additional dining area to be opened due to increased population, and will supervise the preparation and serving of the noon and evening meals and lay out breakfast."

It is further stated that the other *two* positions are needed "for relief of the above position, and for *existing* feeding staff on a post assignment basis."

The full annual ultimate cost of the three positions will be \$14,220. We recommend deletion of three supervising cooks I, reducing salaries and wages by \$9,942.

Temporary Facility-California Men's Colony-Continued

One additional supervising cook position was requested in this budget last year on the premise that it was necessary in order to provide sevenday coverage in the kitchen during the hours 4 a.m. to 7 p.m. daily.

The statement was also made by the agency at that time that the

increase in population necessitates operating another mess hall.

Pursuant to our analysis of this request and our recommendations thereon in last year's report, the position was deleted by the Legislature. The budget last year provided for augmenting the food service personnel by adding three new positions: a food manager, a baker, and a butcher-meatcutter.

No new or additional compelling factors have been presented in connection with this one position that warrant a reconsideration of the request at this time.

We therefore recommend its deletion, reducing salaries and wages

by \$3,314.

An increase in population in and of itself does not necessarily warrant an increase in supervising cook staffing. Increased population means preparation of increased quantities of food by the inmate crews and may necessitate increasing the size of the inmate complement performing this work under the supervision of existing personnel. Similarly, the mess hall activity time to accomplish the actual feeding may have to be either lengthened or additional areas devoted to the mess function.

No showing has been made by the agency why the feeding time required cannot be increased by 17 percent in the existing feeding facilities in order to accommodate the nominal 17 percent increase in population. Such an arrangement would obviate the necessity of activating additional feeding areas.

As pointed out in our prior analysis last year, the California Men's Colony is primarily utilized to "house older and chronically infirm inmates." It would, therefore, appear reasonable to expect certain

economies in staffing.

Because of the older age and chronically infirm condition of some of the inmates housed at this facility, there is not the same need for emphasis on formalized academic or vocational training as is found in most of the other penal institutions. Consequently, there is not the urgency to time the daily program on the same standards as would otherwise be necessary to provide adequate opportunity for a complete institutional activity in this regard.

Increasing the actual serving and feeding time by 17 percent should, therefore, have no material adverse effect upon these other aspects of

the program at this facility.

As a practical matter, the agency is now operating feeding facilities for a present population of 1,000 inmates. The time required, we are informed, is about 80 minutes to complete this feeding. If we assume that the agency will ultimately achieve the 17 percent gain in population, this would only require an extension in feeding time of an additional 13 minutes, utilizing the same personnel and facilities as now exist.

Temporary Facility-California Men's Colony-Continued

We believe that the ultimate potential expenditure in excess of \$14,220 per year is not warranted to save 13 minutes in feeding time at this particular facility.

The following table presents a measurement of the level of service

devoted to custody at this facility:

Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate for Custody

Fiscal	Total	$Total \\ annual$	Average	Tenel of	Level of prior		
year	positions	man-hours	population	service	Amount	Percent	
1954-55 1955-56* 1956-57†	64.1 125 144	$\begin{array}{c} 113,842 \\ 222,000 \\ 255,744 \end{array}$	402 941 1,175	283 236 218	47 18	—16.6 —7.6	

^{*} Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget. † Budget request.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 218 hours per inmate.

This is 18 hours, or 7.6 percent, below the level now scheduled for

1955-56.

\$57,562

A total of 18 additional custody positions, including the associate warden and one medical technical assistant and a correctional officer (truck driver), are being requested based upon a presently budgeted

increase in population of only 200 inmates or 20 percent.

In addition, custody is being strengthened by requests for other positions for functions now being performed by custodial officers, and which will permit the availability of additional custodial time out of the existing complement of custodial officers. Three of the new position requests falling in the latter category are:

- 1 Intermediate typist-clerk (switchboard and teletype) to assist presently authorized sergeant position now assigned to this function
- 1 Intermediate clerk (mail censoring) to assist presently authorized correctional sergeant now assigned to this function
- 1 Instructor in landscape gardening to provide instruction and be responsible for maintenance of the grounds

Furthermore, some of the custody positions being requested are for specialized functions which of necessity have been and are being accomplished to the degree permissible out of the presently authorized staff.

Six positions falling in the latter category are:

- 2 Correctional sergeants including relief for the receiving and release function
- 1 Correctional officer for the captain's office
- 1 Correctional officer for mail censoring and visiting room
- 1 Correctional officer for the detention unit

Temporary Facility—California Men's Colony—Continued

1 Correctional officer (truck driver) to pick up and deliver materials and supplies both within and outside the institution and to supervise the garage and gasoline station

The increase of 200 in population basically requires the utilization of three additional dormitories. Custody requirements for the coverage at the existing level of service would require three positions plus two relief or a total of five positions, all to be effective September 1, 1956. We recommend approval of these three positions shown on budget page

115, line 9, with two relief positions included on line 30.

The question then resolves itself into a determination as to how much additional activity and work load is generated by either the actual 17 percent or the revised 20 percent estimated increase in population in such functions as receiving and release, the captain's office, mail censoring and visiting, detention unit, and truck delivery, all of which account for a request for two additional correctional sergeants, including relief, and four additional correctional officers, plus appropriate relief for the latter which would amount to two further positions. The total of the foregoing request then is for two sergeants and six correctional officers.

Unfortunately, no definite itemized work load data has been developed either by the agency or the Department of Finance upon which to predicate the exact staffing requirement for the performance of most of the factors outlined above. The positions have been included in the budget on the basis of generalized statements that in other institutions the function is performed with a comparable staffing pattern. While this may be true, that fact does not justify either the staffing in the other institutions or the request for the additional staffing in this instance.

It is obvious that actual work load requirements in terms of a 20 percent increase in population for each of the five functions specified above, and which form the basis of the budget request for two sergeants and six officers, including relief, do not warrant the establishment of all of the positions requested. It should be noted that all of the functions described are now being performed to the extent practicable, with presently established positions for a population of 1,000 inmates.

We recommend the deletion of one sergeant for the receiving and release function, reducing salaries and wages in the amount of \$4,512.

We further recommend the deletion of two correctional officers, plus relief, or a total of three officers, reducing salaries and wages in the amount of \$11,988, such reduction preferably but not necessarily to be effected from the requested coverage outlined on Budget page 115, line 5.

3 Correctional officers (Budget page 117, line 75) _____ \$11,988

The above three positions, shown on Budget page 115, line 12, are a part of the 13 such positions reflected on line 8 of the same page. These positions are requested "to provide 24-hour, seven-day-a-week

coverage for the additional area to be activated adjacent to but outside the main confines of the institution."

Temporary Facility—California Men's Colony—Continued

These three positions would require two relief positions making a total of five officers to provide the coverage requested and would entail an annual ultimate cost of \$23,700 for salaries and wages exclusive of state retirement costs.

The agency bases its justification upon statements in essence as

follows:

1. The area contains 22 buildings.

- 2. The employees' dining room will be located in the area and will require the general supervision of an officer from 4 a.m. to 8 a.m. on the first watch and until 8 p.m. on the third watch.
- 3. The officer assigned to the area will also be responsible for a con-

tinuous patrol of the buildings and area.

4. The area will be used to store supplies and equipment.

5. The institutional automotive equipment will be stored in this area for a considerable period of time.

- 6. A large amount of medical equipment and other supplies belonging to the Army is stored in the area and the State is responsible for the equipment.
- 7. The structure of the buildings in this area will require constant supervision to prevent fire, pilferage and other hazards to supplies and equipment stored there.
- 8. The position will also provide coverage to prevent the entry of contraband into the area from Highway No. 1 that passes within an estimated 200 yards of the area.
- 9. Army stores are estimated to be worth about \$100,000 and stateowned stores in a like amount.
- 10. It is estimated that at least 50 inmates will be employed in shops, warehouse, and employees' dining room in this area.

We recommend deletion of the three positions, plus two relief, or a total of the five positions requested, reducing salaries and wages in the amount of \$19,980.

Only three out of the 10 reasons advanced by the agency may be said to be related to the custodial security of the inmate. The remaining reasons deal primarily with the safety and security of property. As to the latter point, we submit that approximately 25 percent of the value of the property is a substantial premium to pay in salaries and wages for the ostensible control and/or prevention of loss through fire or pilferage of the government property entrusted to our custody, and yet have no guarantee against such loss.

In any event, in the periods during which inmates are employed in the area in question they are under the direction of personnel charged with responsibilities for that supervision. We refer to five maintenance

positions for the shops in the area.

We also refer to the supervision already provided by storekeepers for the warehouse function.

From time to time there will also be in the area the grounds crew supervisor and the work crew supervisor.

Temporary Facility—California Men's Colony—Continued

This facility has a full time fire chief and sanitation officer for fire prevention inspection and the maintenance of adequate safety standards against this hazard.

As for the probable risk of contraband filtering into the institution through this area only, via Highway No. 1, the agency has supplied no data as to their experience on this factor as it pertains to the specific area in question.

Finally, the agency justification as submitted for the second watch states: "We are being forced to man the area by using officers from other assignments on a calculated risk basis."

All of the foregoing factors necessitate a recommendation that these three positions, plus two relief positions, be deleted, reducing salaries and wages by \$19,980.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$613,051 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$117,201, or 23.6 percent, over the amount of \$495,850 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

			Incre	ase Per-	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Incr	ncrease Per-	
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount		1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	
Administra- tion	\$19,040	\$20,570	\$1,530	8.0	\$20.23	\$17.51	\$2.72	13.4	
Support and subsistence	303,655	353,355	49,700	16.4	322.69	300.73	-21.96	6.8	
Care and welfare Maintenance	56,835	94,146	37,311	65.7	60.40	80.12	19.72	32.6	
and opera- tion of plant	116,320	144,980	28,660	24.6	123.61	123.39	0.22	-0.1	
Totals	\$495,850	\$613,051	\$117,201	11.47	\$526.93	\$521.75	<u>`</u> _\$5.18	—1.0	

The per capita cost for operating expenses is scheduled at \$522 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$5, or 1 percent, under the amount of \$527 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$15,244 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$4,081 over the amount of \$11,163 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$15,244 for equipment, the sum of \$12,889 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$2,355 is for additional equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$31,053 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$15,244, a reduction of \$15,809, or 50.9 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Department of Corrections TEMPORARY FACILITY—CALIFORNIA MEN'S COLONY

ITEM 55 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 114 Budget line No. 32

FOR ADDITIONAL INVENTORY AND SUPPLIES FROM THE GENERAL FUND

FUND	
Amount requested	\$11.625
Estimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	
Increase	\$11,625
RECOMMENDATIONS	
Amount budgeted	\$11,625
Legislative Auditor's recommendation	11,625
Reduction	None

ANALYSIS

This item is to provide an initial complement of supplies incidental to the 200 inmate increase in year end population at this facility.

Approval is recommended.

Department of Corrections INSTITUTION FOR MEN, CHINO

ITEM 56 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 120 Budget line No. 31

INCREASE DUE TO

FOR SUPPORT OF INSTITUTION FOR MEN, CHINO, FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requested Estimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	
Increase (9.6 percent)	\$350,379

Summary of Increase

		2110101101			
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line · No.
Salaries and wages	\$145,661	\$79,530	\$66,131	131	59
Operating expense	182,571	125,969	56,602	131	60
Equipment	22,652	22,652	· —	131	61
Inmate pay-work projects	380	380		131	62
Less: increased reimbursements	885	885	, ····································	131	74
Total increase	\$350,379	\$227,646	\$122,733	131	76
RECOMMENDATIONS					

RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted	\$4,014,707
Legislative Auditor's recommendation	3,922,141
· · ·	

Reduction ______ \$92,566

The Institution for Men, Chino, is a minimum custody institution with a scheduled capacity of 1,572 adult offenders. As a part of the total operations, there is also a reception-guidance center with a population of 400 inmates, through which are processed all commitments from the Southern California area.

The former women's prison at Tehachapi is now operated as a branch facility for male inmates at a population level of 500. This latter institution is under the general administrative control of the main Chino institution.

Highway road camps and state forestry camps are also integrated into the total operational program at a budgeted capacity of 340 inmates.

Out of the total of 29.4 proposed new positions, 14.4 are for the main Chino institution, 10.5 are for the Tehachapi Branch Unit, and five are for the Highway Road and State Forestry Camps.

We recommend approval of the 14.4 positions requested for the main

Chino institution.

The 29.4 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

		Вис	aget
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Care and welfare:			
Custodial and personal care:			
1 Correctional officer	_ \$3.996	125	24
Classification and treatment:			
Overtime (group counseling)	_ 4.000	125	26
Intensive treatment:			
1 Clinical psychologist II (effective Jan. 1, 1957)	_ 3.030	125	29
7 Correctional classification officers II (effective			
Jan. 1, 1957)	_ 17,430	125	32
3 Intermediate typist-clerks (effective Jan. 1, 1957) 4,707	125	34
Education and religion:	, -,		-
1 Instructor in plumbing	_ 4.980	125	37
1 Instructor in sheet metal work		$\overline{125}$	38
0.4 Sabbatical leave	_ 1,875	125	39
Tehachapi branch unit:			
Culinary:			
*1 Supervising cook I	_ 3,996	128	20
Custody:			
*3 Correctional officers	11,98 8	128	22
Classification and treatment:	•		
1 Correctional classification officer I	4,740	128	25
1 Prison records officer I	_ 4,194	128	26
1 Intermediate stenographer-clerk	_ 3,138	128	27
0.3 Overtime (group counseling)	_ 1,000	128	28
Education and religion:			
1 Instructor in agriculture		128	30
1 Instructor in auto mechanics		128	31
1 Instructor in welding	$_{-}$ 4,980	128	32
0.2 Sabbatical leave	_ 625	128	33
Highway road camp:	100	1.1	
*1 Correctional officer	_ 3,996	129	77
State forestry camps:			
*4 Correctional officers	_ 15,984	130	83
29.4 Totals	\$109,599		

^{*} Recommended for deletion.

Institution for Men, Chino-Continued Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

			Incre	ase	Per c	apita	Increas	
		•		Per-	co	st	I I	er-
* Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount c	ent
Administration Support and	\$124,327	\$125,542	\$1,215	9.7	\$69.19	\$63.66	\$5.53	-8.0
subsistence Care and	71,008	71,193	185	0.3	39.51	36.10	<i>—3.41 —</i>	-8.6
welfare Maintenance	, , ,	1,522,827	55,009	3.4	816.82	772.22	44.60	5.5
and operation of plant	94,193	99,242	5,049	5.4	52.42	50.32	2.10	-4.0
Totals * Chino institution, e				3.5	\$977.94	\$922.30	-\$55.64 -	-5.7

The institutional per capita costs for salaries and wages are scheduled at \$922 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$56, or 5.7 percent under the amount of \$978 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

The following table presents a measurement of the level of service devoted to custody at this facility:

Custodial Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate

Fiscal	$Total \ custodial$	$_{annual}^{Total}$	Average	Custodial level of		se over year
year	$employees$ \S	man-hours	population	service	Amount	Percent
1945-46	58	127,136	448	284		
1946-47	74.6	163,523	518	316	32	11.3
1947-48*	138.6	246,154	790	312	4	1.3
1948-49	166	294,816	1,010	292	20	6.4
1949-50	186.5	331,224	1,344	246	46	<i>15.</i> 8
1950-51	184	326,784	1,474	222	24	9.8
1951-52	227	404,573	1,634	248	- 26	11.8
1952-53	232.6	413,098	1,871	221	27	<i>─10.9</i>
1953-54	232.1	412,210	1,890	218	3	1.4
1954-55	234.7	416,827	1,870	223	5	2.3
1955-56‡		422,688	1,797	235	12	5.4
1956-57†	238	422,688	1,972	214	—2 <i>1</i>	9.0

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 214 hours per inmate.

This is 21 hours, or 9.0 percent, below the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

Tehachapi Branch Unit

The Tehachapi branch facility which became active as an institution for adult males was placed in operation in the third quarter of the 1954-55 Fiscal Year. The initial staffing of this unit was largely geared to the fact that it was contemplated to achieve a population of 500 by the end of the 1954-55 Fiscal Year.

Similarly, when the 1955-56 budget was considered, again, it was on the basis of both an average and year-end population of 500. However, the revised estimate of 456 indicates the probability that the facility will not achieve its original budgeted capacity for 1955-56.

[†] Budget request. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget. § Chino Institution and Reception Guidance Center only.

Again in the 1956-57 budget considerations, the operation is predi-

cated upon a 500 population figure.

With the same population level prevailing as originally budgeted in 1954-55, it appears clear that much of the additional staffing requested at this time falls clearly within the concept of increased services.

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$92,566 consists of the following amounts in the categories indicated:

		Bu	dget	
Salaries and wages	Amount	Page	Line	
Tehachapi Branch Unit				
1 Supervising cook I	\$3,996	128	20	
3 Correctional officers	11,988	128	22	
Road and Forestry Camps				
1 Correctional officer	3,996	129	77	
4 Correctional officers	15,984	130	83	
<u> </u>				
9 positions, reducing salaries and wages by	\$35,964			

It should be noted that even with the recommended deletions of the above nine positions, the agency will still receive the benefit of a total of 20.4 new positions involving an increase of \$73,635 in salaries and wages.

			Bu	dget
Operating expenses		Amount	Page	Line
Defer establishment of addition for increased ration complement		\$56,602	123	66
Reduction in operating expenses	š	\$56,602		<u>.</u>
Total recommended reduction	1	\$92,566		

Per Capita Costs—Tehachapi Branch Unit

Fiscal	Institution	Per capita		ease over or year
year	population	cost	Amount	Percent
1954-55	62	\$3,107	· ·	. <u></u> .
1955-56*	456	1,708	-\$1,399	-45.0
1956-57†	500	1,722	14	0.8

^{*} Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

The total support budget of this branch unit facility is scheduled to increase \$104,748, or 14.6 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 500 inmates,

an increase of 44, or 9.6 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$1,708 to \$1,722, an increase of \$14, or 0.8 percent.

[†] Budget request.

	Per Capita Costs	·		
Fiscal vear	Institution and guidance center population	Per capita		se over year Percent
1946-47	518	\$1,250		
1947-48*	790	1,302	\$52	4.2
1948-49	1,010	1,361	59	4.5
1949-50	1,344	1,131	230	16.9
1950-51	1,474	1,118	13	1.1
1951-52	1,634	1,238	120	10.7
1952-53	1,871	1,250	12	1.1
1953-54	1,890	1,307	57	4.6
1954-55	1,870	1,405	98	7.5
1955-56‡		1,567	162	11.5
1956-57†	1,972	$1,\!522$	45	.2.8
4.77				

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

The total expenditure for support of all operations of this facility is scheduled to increase \$364,559, or 9.4 percent.

Population at the institution and guidance center is anticipated to average 1,972 inmates, an increase of 175, or 9.7 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$1,576 to \$1,522, a decrease of \$45, or 2.8 percent.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$2,673,343. This represents an increase of \$145,661, or 5.8 percent over the total of \$2,527,682 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following

factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 509.2 established positions A total of 29.4 proposed new positions costing A change in estimated salary savings of	109,599	
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$145,661	

A total of 384.7 positions are presently authorized for the institution and guidance center only. The agency is requesting an additional 14.4 proposed new positions for these two main functions. This represents an increase of 3.7 percent in staff, as compared to a 9.7 percent increase in population at the institution and guidance center.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 12.2 additional inmate increase in institutional and guidance center population. This results in a ratio of one

position for each 5.4 inmates in institutional operations only.

Compared to the present level of staffing which is one position for each 4.7 inmates it is apparent that the ratio of requested new positions to change in institutional population represents a decline in the level of service indicated by the existing ratio.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

[†] Budget request, ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Institution for Men, Chino-Continued Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate

Fiscal	Total	Total annual	Danula	Level of		se over
year	employees		$Popula-lation \ \S$	service §	Amount	year Percent
1945-46	100	219,200	448	489		
1946-47	117.2	256,902	518	496	7	1.4
1947-48*	_ 193.7	344,011	790	435	61	-12.3
1948-49	241.4	428,726	1,010	424	11	-2.5
1949-50	_ 264.4	469,574	1,344	349	75	17.7
1950-51	266.2	472,771	1,474	321	28	8.0
1951-52	320.1	568,498	1,634	348	27	8.4
1952-53	_ 323.9	575,246	1,871	307	41	11. 8
1953-54	324.4	576,134	1,890	305	-2	0.7
1954-55	340.8	605,261	1,870	324	. 19	6.2
1955-56‡	351.7	624,619	1,797	34 8	24	7.4
1956-57†	367.1	651,970	1,972	331	—17	-4.9

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 331 hours per inmate.

This is 17 hours, or 4.9 percent, below the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 26 hours, or 8.5 percent, above the minimum level of service of 305 hours, experienced in 1953-54 during the period of 12 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at Tehachapi Branch Unit.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate

Fiscal	Total	Total annual		Level of	Increase prior	
year	employees	man-hours	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1954-55	_ 24	42,624	62	687		·-
1955-56*	_ 96.5	171,384	456	376	-311	-45.3
1956-57†	_ 106.5	189,144	500	378	2	0.5

Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

† Budget requests.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 378 hours per inmate.

This is two hours, or 0.5 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

1 Supervising Cook I (Budget page 128, line 20)_____

The agency is again requesting this position which was deleted by the Legislature when it was initially requested in the 1954-55 Budget.

Similarly the agency again requested the position last year and it was again deleted by the Legislature.

We recommend deletion of the position of supervising cook I, reduc-

ing salaries and wages by \$3,810.

We are impelled to restate our previous reasons for this recommendation. The kitchen is scheduled for operation 14 hours per day. On this basis, staffing requirements would have to provide 5,110 hours of coverage per year on a seven-day-per-week schedule.

Budget request

[‡] Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget. § Chino Institution and Guidance Center only.

Based on the current work year of 1,816 hours per employee, a total of 2.8 positions, including relief, would be necessary to provide supervision coverage throughout the working hours. This would still leave the agency in excess of 363 hours of available supervision coverage over and above minimum requirements utilizing the presently established three positions.

We direct attention to the fact that the medical facility operated for several years with only two assistant supervising institution cooks with a starting average population of 540, which is in excess of the average population at this branch unit operation.

We must again make the point that the actual manual work load of preparing and cooking the food is done by inmate crews under the supervision of the civil service staff. Because of this fact there is no necessary direct correlation between the number of civil service personnel required to supervise a culinary operation and the size of the population to be served.

We therefore again recommend deletion of the requested position.

The following table presents a measurement of the level of service devoted to custody at the Tehachapi Branch Unit.

Level of Service—Employee Hours Available per Inmate for Custody

Fiscal	Total	Total annual	Average	Level of		ise over r year
year	positions	man-hours	population	service	Amount	Percent
1954-55	. 16.1	28,594	62	461		
1955-56*	72	127,872	456	280	181	-39.3
1956-57†	. 75	133,200	500	266	14	5.0

* Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget. † Budget request.

Under proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 266 hours per inmate.

This is 14 hours, or 5 percent, below the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

3 Correctional Officers (Budget page 128, line 22)_____\$11,988

Three additional officers, including relief, are requested in order to provide two officers plus relief on an outside roving patrol assignment on the 4 p.m. to midnight and the midnight to 8 a.m. shifts for Barracks C, D and H. This would be in addition to the custodial personnel already assigned to cover these units.

We recommend deletion of the requested three correctional officer positions, reducing salaries and wages by \$11,988.

This request constitutes an improvement in the level of custodial coverage already provided for the same physical premises and the same numerical budgeted inmate average daily population. The ultimate cost of these positions at the top of the salary range would be \$14,120.

When this branch unit was originally staffed in 1954-55 our analysis at that time pointed out that the requested staffing pattern for custody purposes were very substantially in excess of that formerly authorized when the facility was operated as a women's penal institution.

As a result, a legislative deletion of five custody officers was made at that time out of a recommended deletion of 10 correctional officers.

The allowance of the request at this time would in effect restore the

major portion of the former legislative reduction.

Such a restoration is not recommended at this time.

We recommend approval of the remaining 6.5 positions, 3.3 under Classification and Treatment and 3.2 positions under Education and Religion.

Road and Forestry Camps

1 Correctional officer (Budget page 129, line 77) \$3,996 4 Correctional officer (Budget page 130, line 83) 15,984

The above positions are requested to provide a fifth correctional officer in one highway road camp and the four state forestry camps operated by the main Chino institution.

The ultimate cost of these positions at the maximum salary range

will be \$23,650.

We recommend deletion of these five proposed new positions reducing salaries and wages by \$19,980 as discussed in the departmental summary section of this analysis.

Operating Expenses

Institutional operating expenses are scheduled at \$1,039,236 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$141,109, or 15.7 percent, over the amount of \$898,127 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for institutional oper-

ating expenses is indicated below:

		Increase P		Per o	apita	Increase		
				Per-	cos	sts .		Per-
* Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Administration Support and	\$24,485	\$25,200	\$715	2.9	\$17.28	\$16.03	\$1.25	7.2
subsistence Care and	556,312	634,274	77,962	14.0	392.60	403.48	10.88	2.8
welfare Maintenance and operation	121,785	183,332	61,547	50.5	85.95	116.62	30.67	35.7
of plant	195,545	196,430	885	0.4	138.00	124.95	13.05	9.5
Totals	\$898,127	\$1,039,236	\$141,109	75.7	\$633.83	\$661.08	\$27.25	4.3

Totals ___ \$898,127 \$1,039,236 \$141,109 75.7 \$633.83 \$661.08 \$27.25 4.3 * Chino institution exclusive of Reception Guidance Center.

The per capita cost for institutional operating expenses are scheduled at \$661 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$27, or 4.3 percent, over the amount of \$634 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

The major increase in operating expenses occurs in the support and subsistence function. This is attributable to the establishment of additional clothing inventory and for an increased ration complement of inmate apparel.

We recommend deletion of \$56,602 representing the increased initial cost of the program at this facility as discussed in the departmental summary section of this analysis.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$74,525 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$22,652 over the amount of \$51,873 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$74,525 for equipment, the sum of \$42,546 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$31,979 is for additional

equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$158,247 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$74,525, a reduction of \$83,722, or 52.9 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Department of Corrections STATE PRISON AT FOLSOM

ITEM 57 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 133 Budget line No. 28

TATODELOR DITTE MO

FOR SUPPORT OF STATE PRISON AT FOLSOM FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	
Increase (6.0 percent)	\$172,388

Summary of Increase

		INCREASE I	ער שטי		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line No.
Salaries and wages	\$62,531	\$27,561	\$34,970	141	32
Operating expense	103,356	66,812	36,544	141	33
Equipment	22,689	22,689		141	34
Inmate pay-work projects	7,645	7,645		141	35
Less: increased reimbursements	23,833 	23,833		141	49
Total increase	\$172,388	\$100,874	\$71,514	141	52

RECOMMENDATIONS

		\$3,044,058
Legislative Audito	or's recommendation	2,977,534

Folsom State Prison is a maximum security institution housing the more potentially dangerous security risks, habitual criminals, and those inmates serving long-term sentences.

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$66,524 consists of the following amounts in the categories indicated:

		Bu	aget	
Salaries and wages	Amount.	Page	Line	
4 Medical technical assistant	\$15,984	137	46	
3.5 Correctional officer	13,986	140	50	
i a in the control of the contr	-: :			
7.5 Positions, reducing salaries and wages by	\$29,970			

It should be noted that even with the recommended deletions of the above 7.5 positions, the agency will still receive the benefit of a total of 9.8 new positions involving an increase of \$40,967 in salaries and wages.

		Du	uyeu	
Operating expenses	Amount	Page	Line	
Defer establishment of additional clothing inventory for increased ration complement	\$36,554	136	17	
Reduction in operating expenses	\$36,554			
Total recommended reduction	\$66,524			

	Per Capita (Increase over			
Fiscal	Institution	Per capita	prior year		
year	population	cost	Amount	Percent	
1946-47	2,185	\$601			
1947-48*	2,360	764	\$163	27.1	
1948-49	2,535	792	28	3.7	
1949-50	2,750	738	54	6.8	
1950-51	2,738	814	7 6	10.3	
1951-52	2,415	957	143	17.6	
1952-53	2,212	1,113	156	16.3	
1953-54	2,500	1,092	21	1.9	
1954-55	2,622	1,045	47	4.3	
1955-56‡	2,485	1,193	148	14.2	
1956-57†	2,510	1,221	28	2.3	

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

The total expenditure for support of this facility is scheduled to increase \$179,228, or 5.9 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 2,510 inmates, an increase of 25, or 0.9 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$1,193 to \$1,221, an increase of \$28, or 2.3 percent

It should be noted, however, that the population forecast represents an actual decline of 112 inmates below the actual 1954-55 level of 2.622 inmates.

Likewise, it is below the 1955-56 level of 2,600 inmates budgeted in the 1955-56 Governor's Budget.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$1.966.853. This represents an increase of \$62,531, or 3.3 percent, over the total of \$1,904,322 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 382.5 established positions	\$11,794
A total of 17.3 proposed new positions costing	70,937
A change in estimated salary savings of	-20,200
and the control of th	
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$62.531

A total of 358 institutional positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional 11.3 proposed new institutional posi-

[‡] Estimate as shown in 1956-57 Budget. † Budget request.

tions. This represents an increase of 3.2 percent in staff, as compared to a 1 percent increase in population at the institution.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 2.2 additional inmate increase in institutional population. This results in a total institutional ratio of one position for each 6.8 inmates.

The present level of staffing is one position for each 7.01 inmates. It is apparent that the ratio of requested new positions to change in institutional population represents an improvement in the level of service indicated by the existing ratio.

Attention is again directed to the fact that the institutional population forecast for 1956-57 is actually below both the budgeted level for 1955-56 and the actual figure for 1954-55.

This condition will generally support the premise that any added positions allowed at this time will result in an increased level of service.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate

Fiscal	Total	Total annual		Level of	Increa prior	
year	employees ‡	man-hours ‡	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1945-46	_ 234	512,928	1,886	272		
1946-47	_ 224.4	491,885	2,185	225	47	-17.3
1947-48*	_ 273.7	486,091	2,360	206	-19	8.4
1948-49	_ 304	539,904	2,535	213	7	3.4
1949-50	_ 332.4	590,342	2,750	215	2	0.9
1950-51	_ 331.7	589,099	2,738	215		
1951-52	_ 323.8	575,069	2,415	238	23	10.8
1952-53	_ 330.3	586,613	2,212	265	27	11.3
1953-54	_ 338.2	600,643	2,500	240	25	-9.4
1954-55	_ 345	612,720	2,622	234	6	2.5
1955-56§	_ 356	632,256	2,485	254	20	8.5
1956-57†	_ 370.2	657,475	2,510	262	8	3.1

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 262 hours per inmate.

This is eight hours, or 3.1 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 56 hours, or 27.2 percent, above the minimum level of service of 206 hours, experienced in 1947-48 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The 17.3 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

		Buc	dget	
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line	
Care and welfare:				
Custodial and personal care:				
4 Correctional officer (expire June 30, 1957)	\$15,984	137	40	
Classification and treatment:				
1.1 Overtime (group counseling)	5,000	137	41	
1 Correctional classification officer I	4.512	137	42	
1 Intermediate typist-clerk	2,988	137	43	
Medical and dental:				
*4 Medical technical assistant	15.984	137	45	
	•			

[§] Estimate as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

[†] Budget requests. ‡ Exclusive of camps.

		Bud	lget
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Education and religion: 0.2 Sabbatical leave State forestry camps:	1,875	137	47
0.5 Camp supervisor II (one for six months) 2 Correctional officer (four for six months) *3.5 Correctional officer (three full time, one for six	2,616 7,992	140 140	47 48
months)	13,986	140	50
17.3 Totals	\$70,937		

* Recommended for deletion.

1955-56.

* Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

I UII	cional and	I CI Capita	2 01361101	u Li Oii O	· Carain	Janu II	agoo		
			Incre	ase Per-	Per cap	ita cost	Increa	se Per-	
Function	1955-56	1956-57	A.mount		1955-56	1956-57	Amount		
Administration Support and	\$105,834	\$105,277	\$557	0.5	\$42.59	\$41.94	-\$0.65 -	—1. 5	
subsistence	66,807	65,875	-932	-1.4	26.88	26.25	63 -	-2.3	
Care and welfare _ Maintenance	1,464,987	1,505,786	40,799	2.8	589.53	599.91	10.38	1.8	
and operati of plant _	ion 140,278	137,953	-2,325	1.7	56.45	54.96	-1.49	-2.6	
Totals * Exclusive of camps.		\$1,814,491	\$36,985	2.1	\$715.45	\$723.06	\$7.61	1.1	

The per capita cost for salaries and wages exclusive of camps are scheduled at \$723.06 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$7.61, or 1.1 percent over the amount of \$715.45 estimated for expenditure in

3.5 Correctional officers (Budget page 140, line 50)_____ \$13,986

The above represents the request to provide one additional officer at each of the camp operations.

We recommend deletion of the positions reducing salaries and wages in the amount of \$13,986, as discussed in the departmental summary section of this analysis.

The following table presents a measurement of the level of service devoted to custody at this facility:

Level of Service—Employee Hours Available per Inmate for Custody

Fiscal	Total	$Total \ annual$	Average	Level of	Increa prior	
year	positions ‡	man-hours	population	service	Amount	Percent
1945-46	175	383,600	1,886	203		
1946-47	173.8	380,970	2,185	174	29	14.3
1947-48*	214.2	380,419	2,360	161	13	—7.5
1948-49	233	413,808	2,535	163	2	1.2
1949-50	248.1	440,626	2,750	160	3	—1. 8
1950-51	247.9	440,270	2,738	161	1	.6
1951-52	236.9	420,734	$2,\!415$	174	13	8.1
1952-53	242.4	430,502	2,212	195	21	12.1
1953-54	253	449,328	2,500	180	15	—7.7
1954-55	252.4	448,262	2,622	171	9	5
1955-568	256	454,656	2,485	183	12	7
1956-57†	261	463,536	2,510	185	· 2	1.1
. 4 Evelucius of as	romtrenah han nam	tal has officers			*	

Exclusive of camps and departmental bus officers. Forty-hour week became effective. Estimate as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

[†] Budget request.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 185 hours per inmate.

This is two hours, or 1.1 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 25 hours, or 15.6 percent, above the minimum level of service of 160 hours, experienced in 1949-50 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

4 Medical technical assistants (Budget page 137, line 45)____ \$15,984

The agency now has five such positions authorized. This is the same level of service for this employee classification that has prevailed since 1953-54 when the agency operated at a population level of 2,500 inmates. Subsequently the population advanced to 2,622 in 1954-55. The present budget under consideration contemplates a population of only 2,510 and represents the second year at a level below the peak of 2,622 referred to above.

It should also be noted that in 1950-51 the institution operated with

only three such positions for a population of 2,738.

Under the basic general policy of not increasing levels of service, and in view of the general decline from past population levels, we can find no realistic grounds warranting an 80 percent increase in this position classification.

The ultimate cost of such positions would be \$18,960.

We recommend deletion of the request, reducing salaries and wages by \$15.984.

Further attention is directed to the fact that the position of medical technical assistant is interchangeable with that of correctional officer.

In view of the declining institutional population with corresponding increase in level of service, and the previously demonstrated ability to operate at higher populations, management should decide on a priority of coverage within the existing authorized position structure.

No detailed justification has been submitted to demonstrate inability to adequately service the area within the numerical limitations of pres-

ent staffing.

The general merits of these positions are further discussed in the Departmental Summary section of this analysis.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$1,271,136 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$103,356, or 8.8 percent, over the amount of \$1,167,780 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses

is indicated below:

		$Increase \ Per-$		Per capita costs		Increase Per-		
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	
Administration Support and	\$24,450	\$24,450			\$10	\$10	· · · ·.	
subsistence	723,175	754,276	\$31,101	4.3	291	304	\$1 3	4.3
Care and welfare Maintenance and operation	79,665	115,145	35,480	44.5	32	46	14	4.5
of plant	223,845	237,350	13,505	6.0	90	95	5	6.0
Totals* * Exclusive of camps.	\$1,051,135	\$1,131,221	\$80,086	7.6	\$423	 \$455	\$32	7.6

The per capita costs for operating expenses exclusive of camps are scheduled at \$455 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$32, or 7.6 percent, over the amount of \$423 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

A major increase in operating expenses occurs in the support and subsistence function. This is attributable to the establishment of additional clothing inventory and for an increased ration complement of inmate apparel.

We recommend deletion of \$36,554 representing the increased initial cost of this program at this facility, as discussed in the Departmental Summary section of this analysis.

The substantial increase in operating expense under care and welfare results from including academic educational costs formerly paid out of school a.d.a. funds.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$75,627 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$22,689 over the amount of \$52,938 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$75,627 for equipment, the sum of \$37,750 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$37,877 is for additional equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$159,-181 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$75,627, a reduction of \$83,554, or 52.5 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency

Department of Corrections STATE PRISON AT SAN QUENTIN

ITEM 58 of the Budget Bill

requirements at this time.

Budget page 142 Budget line No. 23

FOR SUPPORT OF STATE PRISON AT SAN QUENTIN FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$4,896,368 4,737,033
- Carlotte and the control of the co	
Increase (3.4 percent)	\$159,335

State Prison at San Quentin—Continued Summary of Increase

		INCREASE 1	DUE TO		
	Total	Work load or	New	Budget	
and the second s	increase	salary adjustments	services	page	No.
Salaries and wages	\$137,879	\$46,028	\$91,851	-152	61
Operating expense	126,131	126,131		152	62
Equipment	6,548	6,54 8	·	152	63
Inmate pay-work projects	18,230	$18,\!230$		152	64
Less: increased reimbursements	<i>—116,357</i>	116,357		152	80
Total increase	\$159,335	\$67,484	\$91,851	152	83
RECOMMENDATIONS	-				
Amount budgeted				\$4,896.	368
Legislative Auditor's recommendation					
Reduction	-	-		\$72	,517

San Quentin Prison provides facilities for a proposed population of 3,450 inmates, 400 of which are in the Reception Guidance Center, which receives and processes commitments from Northern California.

The institution provides for both maximum and medium security

types.

Highway road camps, federal forestry camps, and state forestry camps are also a part of the total operational program. A total average population of 319 inmates are scheduled as the combined camp population.

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$72,517 consists of the following amounts in the categories indicated:

		Budget		
Salaries and wages	Amount	Page	Line	
Support and subsistence Feeding				
1 Supervising cook I	\$3,996	145	50	
0.1 Temporary help (feeding second shift, cotton textile mill)	383	145	51	
Care and welfare				
Custodial and personal care				
1 Correctional officer	3,996	147	42	
2 Correctional officers (second shift, cotton textile				
mill)	7,992	147	43	
0.5 Overtime (second shift, cotton textile mill)	1,901	147	45	
7 Correctional officers (existing positions)	27,300	147	59	
Psychiatric care				
2 Medical technical assistants	7,992	147	47	
Highway road camps				
2 Correctional officers	7.992	150	68	
	.,		•••	
State forestry camps				
3 Correctional officers (one effective October 1,	10.065	454	75	
1956)	10,965	151	75	
10.6 Desitions reducing colonies and massa by	979 K17			
18.6 Positions, reducing salaries and wages by	φιω,υ11			

It should be noted that even with the recommended deletions of the above 18.6 positions, the agency will still receive the benefit of a total of 20.6 new positions involving an increase of \$84,661 in salaries and wages.

Per Canita Costs

State Prison at San Quentin-Continued

	Per Capita Costs	•		
771*	· .			se over
Fiscal	Institution	$Per\ capita$	prior	
year	population	cost	Amount	Percent
1946-47	4,066	\$543		
1947-48*	4,377	665	\$122	22.5
1948-49	4,638	717	52	7.8 .
1949-50	4.702	691	26	-3.6
1950-51	4,518	785	94	13.6
1951-52	4,359	874	89	11.3
1952-53	4,488	925	51	5.8
1953-54	4,581	958	33	3.6
1954-55		963	5	0.5
	3,894	1,228	265	27.5
	3,450	1,371	143	10.4
. •				

* Forty-hour week became effective.

† Budget request. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

The total expenditure for support of this facility is scheduled to increase \$137,879, or 4.6 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 3,450 inmates,

a decrease of 444, or 11.4 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$1,228 to \$1,371, an

increase of \$143, or 11.6 percent.

The rapid decline in population at this facility reflects the increase in per capita costs. The projected 1956-57 figure of \$1,371 is 42.4 percent higher than the 1954-55 figure of \$963, a most substantial increase in a two-year period. It is recognized that a portion of this increase is attributable to budgeting educational costs as a part of institutional operations. However, this is a smaller contributing factor to higher per capita costs than the sizable population reduction.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$3,119,839. This represents an increase of \$137,879, or 4.6 percent over the total of \$2,981,960 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following

factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 590.1 established positions	\$28,001
A total of 32.2 proposed new positions costing	129,878
A change in estimated salary savings of	-20,000
. The state of the	

Total increase in salaries and wages

A total of 562.8 institutional and guidance center positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional 22.2 proposed new positions for these same operations, exclusive of camps, for which an additional 10 positions are requested. This represents an increase of 3.9 percent in staff, as compared to a 11.4 percent decrease in population at this facility.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 20 inmate decrease in institutional and

guidance center population.

When compared to the present level of staffing for the institution and guidance center, which is one position for each 69 inmates, it is apparent that the ratio of requested new positions in the face of a sub-

stantial decline in institutional population represents a sizable im-

provement in the total level of service.

In 1949-50, this facility had a population of 4,702, with 521 employees or one employee for each 90 inmates. The population has declined each year since, except for a slight reversal in 1952-53 and 1953-54.

On the basis of the 1956-57 budget, the population will be only 3,450. This is 1,252 inmates less than the 1949-50 Fiscal Year, a reduction of 27 percent. Nevertheless, the 1956-57 budget provides for a total of 609.1 positions, or one employee for each 57 inmates. Obviously this substantial change calls for some considered re-evaluations and adjustments in this budget, not only at this time, but particularly during the coming 1956-57 Fiscal Year.

We recommend that in addition to all of the specific deletions provided in this analysis, that the Department of Corrections and the Department of Finance collaborate in reaching a mutual agreement to

provide in general for:

1. Allowing positions now vacant or to become vacant during either the balance of 1955-56 or all of 1956-57, to remain unfilled for as long

a period as practicable.

2. Certain specific existing positions to be earmarked not to be filled at all in the event of a vacancy occurring, except and until a good and sufficient reversal of estimated population decline shall take place necessitating the filling of such vacancies on a factual demonstrated work load basis, not necessarily related to any prior staffing pattern. Such filling of earmarked vacancies should require prior approval of the Department of Finance.

3. A survey to be made by the Department of Corrections and the Department of Finance to establish the number of positions, by title, currently or potentially eligible for inclusion in a schedule drawn pursuant to conditions 1 and 2 above, said schedule to be related to average daily populations on a descending scale providing for related position vacancies not to be filled collaterally with numerically stipu-

lated population declines.

4. The above schedule, with related factors and data in support of the conclusions reached in said schedule, to be submitted to the Joint Legislative Budget Committee upon completion.

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

Tunotional and I of Capita Distribution of Calaries and Wages								
		•	Incre	Increase Per co		-		
*				Per-	· c	ost		Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Administration Support and	\$166,721	\$169,028	\$2,307	1.4	\$42.81	\$49.00	\$6.19	14.5
subsistence	105,182	110,680	5,498	5.2	26.99	32.08	5.09	18.9
Care and welfare Maintenance	2,286,051	2,379,255	93,204	4.1	587.00	689.64	102.64	17.5
and operation of plant Reception and	115,837	118,368	2,531	2.2	29.75	34.40	4.65	15.6
guidance center	167,351	169,439	2,088	19.2	42.98	49.11	6.13	14.3
Totals	\$2,841,142	\$2,946,770	\$105,628	4.8	\$729.53	\$854.23	\$124.70	17.1

The per capita cost for salaries and wages exclusive of camps is scheduled at \$854.23 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$124.70, or 17.1 percent over the amount of \$729.53 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate

	Total	Total annual		Level of §	ncrea prior	se over year
Fiscal year	employees	man-hours	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1945-46	_ 344	754,048	3,775	200		
1946-47	_ 340.1	745,499	4,066	183	—17	8.5
1947-48*	_ 423.4	751,958	4,377	172	11	-6.0
1948-49	508	902,208	4,638	195	23	13.4
1949-50	_ 521	925,296	4,702	197	2	1.0
1950-51	_ 499.1	886,402	4,518	196	—1	0.5
1951-52	_ 476.7	846,619	4,359	194	2	1.0
1952-53	_ 486.2	863,491	4,488	192	2	1.0
1953-54	_ 500.2	888,355	4,581	194	2	1.0
1954-55	_ 500.4	888,710	4,668	190	-4	—2.1
1955-56±	_ 535.8	951,581	3,894	244	54	28.4
1956-57†	_ 553	982,128	3,450	285	41	16.8

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

§ Exclusive of camps.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 285 hours per inmate.

This is 41 hours, or 16.8 percent, above the level now scheduled for

1955-56.

It is 113 hours, or 65.7 percent, above the minimum level of service of 172 hours, experienced in 1947-48 during the period of 12 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The substantial increase in the total level of service that has and is taking place at this facility since 1954-55 is due to the large reduction

in population being effected.

This reduction is being made possible through a combination of factors. Some of the more important elements contributing to this result are:

- 1. A dispersal and re-assignment of new commitments and existing population to other facilities within the department which are being expanded.
- 2. A rate of commitment lower than initially forecast.
 3. An acceleration in the rate of releases on parole.
- 4. An expansion in the camp program.

Since in prior fiscal periods San Quentin has been the focal point at which excess inmate population has generally been held, the agency is now depopulating this facility as much as possible to alleviate the overcrowding it has experienced over the past few years at this point.

Thus the 1956-57 population level is now scheduled at only 3,450 as compared to 4,668 in 1954-55. This is a decline of 26.1 percent, with no collateral adjustment downward in personnel. On the contrary, the institution is still seeking additional positions.

[†] Budget requests.

Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

The 32.2 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

	Ī.	Bu	dget
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Support and subsistence			
Feeding:			
*1 Supervising cook I	\$3,996	145	50
*0.1 Temporary help (feeding second shift,			
cotton textile mill)	383	145	51
Care and welfare			
Custodial and personal care:			
*1 Correctional officer	$3,\!996$	147	42
*2 Correctional officers (second shift, cotton			
textile mill)	7,992	147	43
*0.5 Overtime (second shift, cotton textile mill)	1,901	147	45
Psychiatric care:			
*2 Medical technical assistants	7,992	147	47
Education and religion:			
1 Supervising clerk I	4,194	147	50
0.8 Sabbatical leave	3,750	147	51
Classification and parole:			-0
1.8 Overtime group counseling	7,537	147	53
Intensive treatment:			
1 Correctional classification officer IV (effective	0.000		-0
January 1, 1957)	3,030	147	56
1 Clinical psychologist II	6,060	147	59
7 Correctional classification officers II	34,800	147	60
3 Intermediate typist-clerks	9,414	147	61
Highway road camps *2 Correctional officers	 	4 F0	40
	7,992	150	68
State forestry camps			
1 Prison camp supervisor II (effective	0.004	4 24	
October 1, 1956)	3,924	151	71 70
4 Correctional officers (effective October 1, 1956) *3 Correctional officers (one effective October 1.	11,892	151	73
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10.065	-12-1	75
1956)	10,965	151	7 5
32.2 Totals	£190 979 ·		
04.4 Iutais	ф145,010		

^{*} Recommended for deletion.

The budget on page 143, lines 20 to 23, inclusive, lists the following proposed new positions:

1 Supervising cook I	\$3,996 383 7,992 1,901
The total cost of these positions is:	\$14,272

The explanatory paragraph on the same budget page (143), lines 24 to 26, inclusive, immediately following these positions states:

"To provide additional feeding and custodial staff for the second shift of the cotton textile mill five days a week. The correctional officers will work a nine-hour shift from 11 p. m. to 8 a. m. The cost of these postions is fully reimbursed by the Correctional Industries Revolving Fund."

If these positions are to be fully reimbursed by the Correctional Industries Revolving Fund, then it would appear more appropriate from an accounting as well as a budgetary standpoint that such positions be initially budgeted directly in the Revolving Fund and not appear as an institutional support charge to the General Fund.

We, therefore, recommend deletion of the above indicated positions, deleting \$14,272 from this General Fund item.

Such services as are necessary to the proper functioning of the cotton textile mill should be made a direct charge against that operation.

1 Correctional officer (Budget page 143, line 27)_____ \$3,996

The request here is to provide custodial coverage eight hours per day, five days per week on the new industrial area gate leading into the cotton textile mill. The agency states further that: "Due to the large number of inmates working in the cotton textile mill, it is necessary to fence off the area and process them through a separate gate."

We recommend deletion of the position reducing salaries and wages in the amount of \$3,996.

In the first place, the alleged necessity for this position obviously arises solely because of the contemplated operational procedures in connection with the cotton textile mill.

As such, it is and should be a charge against the industrial operation it services the same as any watchman, gatekeeper, or plant protection personnel would be in any like industrial operation.

The position, if deemed and proven necessary, should therefore be budgeted in the Correctional Industries budget and not in the General Fund support budget for this facility.

Further analysis of the custodial level of service at this institution forces the conclusion that the position should be made available from the existing complement of custodial positions, and transfered to the Correctional Industries Revolving Fund budget.

First let us examine the trend in the level of custodial service over the past few years.

The following table presents a measurement of the level of service devoted to custody at this facility:

Custodial Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate

Custodial Level of Service—Employee Flours Available per Inmate								
	$Total\ \S \ custodial$	$Total \ annual$	Average	Custodial level of	Increas prior	se over year		
$Fiscal\ year$	employees	man-hours	population	service	Amount	Percent		
1945-46	249	545,808	3,775	145				
1946-47	. 256.1	561,371	4,066	138	<u></u> 7	4.8		
1947-48*	. 304.5	540,792	4,377	124	14	10.1		
1948-49	358.6	636,874	4,638	137	13	10.5		
1949-50	_ 361.4	641,846	4,702	137		•		
1950-51	345.4	613,430	4,518	136	1	-0.7		
1951-52	325.6	$578,\!266$	4,359	133	-3	2.2		
1952-53	327.8	582,183	4,488	130	3	2.3		
1953-54	_ 335.5	595,848	4,581	130				
1954-55	. 335.8	596,381	4,668	128	2	1.5		
1955-56‡	. 343	609,168	3,894	156	28	21.9		
1956-57†	_ 346	614,496	3,450	178	22	14.1		

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 178 hours per inmate.

[†] Budget request. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

[§] Exclusive of camps.

This is 22 hours, or 14.1 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 54 hours, or 44 percent, above the minimum level of service of 124 hours, experienced in 1947-48 during the period of 12 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

This facility achieved its highest population level in recent years during 1949-50 as reflected in the foregoing table. At this point 4,702 inmates were serviced by 361 custodial positions, which provided a level of service of 137 hours per inmate.

Under the 1956-57 budget, the agency would service only 3,450 inmates, which is 1,252 inmates less, or a reduction in population of 27 percent. Yet it purports to still require 346 custodial officers which would provide a level of service of 178 hours per inmate, which is 41 hours or 30 percent higher than prevailed with the prior high inmate count.

Another striking comparison is found in the above table taking the 1950-51 Fiscal Year when almost an identical complement (345.4 officers), as now budgeted serviced 4,518 inmates.

It should be further emphasized that a reduction in population of 1,218 inmates has been effected here in the short period of the past two years. This is a greater reduction in population at San Quentin than the entire population at a complete institution such as Deuel Vocational Institution (1,200 population) which provides a total custodial complement of 196 officers. Yet San Quentin, under the proposed budget, will have 10 more custodial positions in 1956-57 than it utilized in 1954-55 before the reduction in population took place.

The agency has consistently sought additional custodial personnel each year during the periods of increasing population, basing the preponderance of its contentions on the increases in population, the hazards of overcrowding, the dangers of double celling, the need for added supervision over increased numbers of idle inmates, etc.

If all of these reasons have merit as to a numerically ascending population, then logic would give equal credence to their weight as applied to a descending population.

Another comparative benchmark for the level of custodial performance is found in the above table in 1947-48 when the population was at 4,377. This is 927 or 27 percent more inmates than now contemplated.

Security was maintained with 304.5 custodial positions. This is 41.5 fewer such positions than provided in the budget under consideration.

We believe that all of the preceding data carries the clear implication that not only should the requested new custodial positions be deleted but that consideration should be given also to the deletion of some existing custodial positions.

We recommend deletion of seven existing positions of correctional

officer reducing salaries and wages in the amount of \$27,300.

The validity of this proposed reduction is not only supported by all of the actual comparative evaluations discussed above, but is also consonant with prior legislative action. The latter point is illustrated by reference to the actual record. The facts are as follows:

When the 1951-52 budget for this facility was considered by the Legislature, it was predicated upon a forecast that the inmate popula-

tion would drop to 3,700 from the then estimated 1950-51 level of 4,375.

In our analysis at that time we pointed out the inequity of failing to revise and readjust custody staffing commensurate at least in part with declines in inmate population. Specifically, we recommended a deletion of 14 existing custody positions at that time. We pointed out then that the net result of that recommendation would still leave the agency with the best level of service from a custodial standpoint that had been experienced in the past nine years prior to 1951-52. The Legislature saw fit to delete seven custodial positions pursuant to that recommendation.

In 1952-53, the agency requested that the seven existing custodial positions deleted in the previous year be restored, although it was scheduled to operate at a population level of only 3,782 or just 82 more inmates than the level budgeted in the prior year. This request was deleted by the Legislature.

In 1953-54, the agency requested 18 additional correctional officer positions for institutional operations. Twelve of these were deleted and six were allowed primarily on the basis that the 1953-54 population was scheduled to increase to 4,370 inmates, a gain of 588 over the budgeted level of 3,782 provided for in the 1952-53 Governor's Budget.

Since the budgeted level is now again declining to 3,450 in 1956-57, which is 332 inmates less than the 1952-53 Governor's Budget figure, it follows that the present recommendation for deletion of the seven existing correctional officers, is indeed a moderate adjustment in this budget.

To illustrate the extreme conservatism of the reduction proposed, we direct attention to the fact that in 1944-45 custodial security at this facility was serviced for a comparable population of 3,369 inmates with only 218 custodial positions. This, of course, was prior to the establishment of the 40-hour week. Adjusting the staffing to compensate for this latter factor would have justified an additional 43 positions, bringing the total custodial complement to 261. Thus, the agency now has 85 more custodial positions than would be required to maintain the same proportionate level of security as obtained in 1944-45 for a similar inmate population.

The foregoing illustrates the substantial increase in level of service for custody purposes that the Department of Corrections has achieved generally in all of its facilities. Specifically, it demonstrates the sizeable "compression factor" inherent in the present staffing pattern at this particular institution in terms of past demonstrated performance.

We believe the recommended deletion of seven existing custodial positions is a modest reduction.

Similarly, all of the foregoing considerations support the recommendation that the requested new custodial positions be deleted from the support budget.

2 Medical technical assistant (Budget page 143, line 31)_____\$7,992

These positions are requested to provide additional coverage on the 4 p.m. to midnight shift for the third floor of the hospital which is primarily a psychiatric ward. The agency states that the one position presently budgeted for this area is unable to give adequate care and treatment to the acutely disturbed patients in this ward.

We recommend deletion of the requested two positions of medical

technical assistant, reducing salaries and wages by \$7,992.

The augmentation requested will obviously provide for an increased level of service not only on the basis of the current year population but the comparative increase is further accelerated in view of the substan-

tial decline in population.

It should be noted that four such positions were requested and allowed in the 1955-56 Budget, the purpose of which at that time was stated: "To provide complete 24-hour, seven-day coverage by a medical technical assistant, nurse, or correctional officer for all four floors of the hospital, which includes 110 psychiatric beds located on the second, third, and fourth floors, plus one position for X-ray services, one for laboratory technician services, and one for outpatients on the first floor."

We point out that this subsequent request over last year's allowance for the above stated purposes will provide a 50 percent increase in services over those afforded by the previously allowed positions. The third floor ward has a 49-bed capacity.

Attention is also directed to the fact that the position of medical technical assistant is interchangeable with that of correctional officer.

Thus, our prior discussion as to the compression factor for these latter positions is applicable. Management should have the opportunity to schedule required coverage within the structure of the existing total complement of custody positions, particularly at this facility, thus obviating new position requests for the stated purpose.

5 Correctional officer (Budget page 143, line 55) (one effective October 1, 1956)

_\$18.957

These positions are requested to provide the fifth correctional officer for each of two state highway road camps and for each of three state forestry camps operated by the institution.

We recommend deletion of the above requested five correctional

officer positions, reducing salaries and wages by \$18,957.

The discussion of these positions is contained in the departmental summary section of this analysis.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$2,095,136 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$126,131, or 6.4 percent, over the amount of \$1,969,005 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for institutional and guidance center operating expenses exclusive of camps is indicated

below:

			Incre	ase Per-		capita ests	Incre	ase Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount		1955-56	1956-57	Amount	t cent
Administra- tion Support and	. \$55,685	\$55,290	-\$395	0.7	\$14.30	\$16.03	\$1.73	12.1
subsistence	1,107,500	1,018,244	89,256	8.1	284.41	295.14	10.73	3.8
Care and welfare Maintenance and operatio	151,045	283,372	132,327	87.6	38.79	82.14	43.35	111.8
of plant		497,600	24,905	5.3	121.39	144.23	22.84	18.8
Reception and guidance center	9,225	9,935	710	7.7	2.36	2.88	.52	22.0
Totals	\$1,796,150	\$1,864,441	\$68,291	3.8	\$461.26	\$540.42	\$79.16	17.2

The per capita cost for institutional and guidance center operating expenses exclusive of camps are scheduled at \$540.42 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$79.16, or 17.2 percent, over the amount of \$461.26 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56. The substantial disparity between the 6.4 percentage increase in total operating expenses as compared to the 17.2 percentage increase in per capita operating expenses is attributable to the decline in population at this facility, coupled with the inclusion of academic educational costs for contract services for the first time in this agency budget.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$63,178 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$6,548 under the amount of \$69,726 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$63,178 for equipment, the sum of \$35,678 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$27,500 is for additional

equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$188,143 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$63,178, a reduction of \$124,965, or 66 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Department of Corrections STATE PRISON AT SOLEDAD

ITEM 59 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 154 Budget line No. 26

FOR SUPPORT OF STATE PRISON AT SOLEDAD FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$2,909,720 2,758,034
Increase (5.5 percent)	\$151,686

State Prison at Soledad-Continued Summary of Increase

		INCREASE	DUE TO		
	Total	Work load or	New	Budget	
•	increas e	salary adjustments	services	page	No.
Salaries and wages	\$61,828	\$25,710	\$36,118	161	33
Operating expense	80,514	80,514		161	34
Equipment	9,224	$9,\!224$		161	35
Inmate pay-work projects	-360	-360	· · ·	161	36
Plus: decreased reimbursements_	480	480		161	46
Total increase	\$151,686	\$115,568	\$36,118	161	49
RECOMMENDATIONS				-	
Amount budgeted					
Reduction				\$31	,968

The State Prison at Soledad is designated as a medium security institution. This institution was budgeted in 1955-56 at 1,500 inmates in the main institution and 700 inmates in the barracks area.

For 1956-57, population in the barracks area is being reduced to 600 inmates, with a further decline of 43 inmates in the main institution.

A substantial farming operation is carried on as a part of the program under correctional industries.

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$31,968 consists of the following amounts in the categories indicated:

			Buc	tget	
	Salaries and wages	Amount	Page	Line	
	Medical technical assistantsCorrectional officers		158 159	$\begin{array}{c} 19 \\ 82 \end{array}$	
8	Positions, reducing salaries and wages by	\$31,968			

It should be noted that even with the recommended deletions of the above eight positions, the agency will still receive the benefit of a total of 1.8 new positions involving an increase of \$7,275 in salaries and wages.

Per Capita Costs

	Institution	Per capita	Increase over prior year		
Fiscal year	population	cost	Amount	Percent	
1946-47	50	\$1,971	·		
1947-48*	327	1,374	\$597	30.3	
1948-49	651	1,090	-284	-20.7	
1949-50	687	$1,\!122$	32	2.9	
1950-51	684	1,245	123	11.0	
1951-52	969	1,382	137	11.0	
1952-53	1,825	1,150	232	16.8	
1953-54	1,896	1,214	64	5.6	
1954-55	2,180	1,166	4 8	<i>3.9</i>	
1955-56‡	2,100	1,365	199	17.1	
1956-57†	2,057	1,420	55	4.0	

Forty-hour week became effective.

The total expenditure budget of this facility is scheduled to increase \$158,086, or 5.5 percent.

[‡] Estimate as shown in 1956-57 Budget. † Budget request.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 2,057 inmates, a decrease of 43, or 2 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$1,365 to \$1,420, an

increase of \$55, or 4 percent.

Barracks Area

We note that this area is scheduled for 1956-57 at a population level of only 600 inmates compared to the 700 budgeted for in 1955-56. This is a decline of 100 inmates, or 14.3 percent below previously budgeted levels.

Last year, one additional associate warden, one correctional captain, one correctional sergeant, and three correctional officers were allowed as new positions for the barracks area on the representation by the agency and the Department of Finance that this unit was to be operated as a "satellite" of the main institution.

The added ultimate cost of these positions approximates \$38,000

The agency contended that the costs of a satellite operation at this unit would be lower. We pointed out in our analysis last year that the barracks operation was merely being returned to its previous plane of population at 700 inmates and did not warrant superimposing all of the requested positions in that budget for this unit.

However, as we recall, coincidental with the legislative discussions last year, the subcommittees hearing this budget decided that the agency should be given an opportunity to prove its contention of lower

costs at the Soledad Barracks Unit.

As a result, the following language appears in the report submitted to the Senate Finance Committee by the Senate Finance Subcommittee on Corrections:

"Your subcommittee also recommends that in the future all requests for appropriations to operate the so-called Barracks Area' as a satellite institution be shown separately in the budget, with all costs properly prorated as far as practicable in all categories of expenditures, so that the committee may know and evaluate by comparison the total and per capita costs of the proposed satellite method of operation."

An analagous situation obtained in the hearings before the Ways and Means Subcommittee.

We note that the present budget presentation does not in any manner attempt to isolate, segregate, prorate, or otherwise separate or stipulate as to any total, categorical, functional or per capita costs for the barracks operation in contradistinction to such costs for the main institution.

Since the population has been reduced by 16 percent, and no separation of costs set forth as requested, we are inclined to presume the agency has decided to forego the satellite experiment under controlled comparative costs at this particular facility.

If this be so, we raise the question as to the propriety of keeping the \$38,000 worth of positions authorized on the satellite premise which was advanced last year. If, on the other hand, the agency intends to

pursue the satellite theory at this point, we believe some clarification of its policy in terms of population to be serviced here as well as some justification for a lack of separation of costs is in order at this time.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$1,838,376. This represents an increase of \$61,828, or 3.5 percent over the total of \$1,776,548 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 371 established positions A total of 9.8 proposed new positions costing A change in estimated salary savings of	
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$61,828

A total of 347.2 positions are presently authorized for institutional operations, exclusive of camps. The agency is requesting an additional 6.8 proposed new positions for the institution and three new positions for the camp operations. This represents an increase of 2 percent in institutional staff, as compared to a 2 percent decrease in population at this facility, exclusive of camps.

Attention is directed to the fact that this facility was budgeted in the 1956-57 Governor's Budget on the basis of a population of 2,200 innetes

The 1956-57 Fiscal Year is now budgeted on the basis of only 2,057 inmates, a decline of 143 inmates or 6.5 percent.

The foregoing facts clearly imply an improvement in the existing level of service even on the basis of current staff already authorized.

The allowance of any new positions obviously will serve to further increase the level of service.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

Total Level of Service—Employee Hours Available per Inmate

	$\ddagger Total$	Total annua	ī	Level of		ise over year
$Fiscal\ year$	employees	man-hours	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1946-47	12.8	28,058	50	561	·	
1947-48*	. 84.1	149,362	237	457	104	<i>—18.5</i>
1948-49	122.4	217,382	651	334	123	-26.9
1949-50	135.4	240,470	687	350	16	4.8
1950.51	143.0	253,968	684	371	21	6.0
1951-52	219.7	390,187	969	403	32	8.6
1952-53	283.3	501,365	1,825	275	128	-31.8
1953-54	297.4	$528,\!182$	1,896	279	4	1.5
1954-55	320.7	569,563	2,180	262	17	-6.1
1955-56	348.2	618,403	2,100	294	32	12.2
1956-57†	. 352.2	$625,\!507$	2,057	304	10	3.4

[‡] Exclusive of camps.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 304 hours per inmate.

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective. § Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

[†] Budget requests.

This is 10 hours, or 3.4 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 42 hours, or 16.0 percent, above the minimum level of service of 262 hours, experienced in 1954-55 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The 9.8 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

		Buc	lget
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Care and welfare: Medical:			
*5 Medical technical assistant	\$19,980	158	19
Education and religion: 0.8 Sabbatical leave	3,125	158	21
Classification and treatment: 1 Overtime (group counseling)	4,150	158	23
State forestry camps: *3 Correctional officer	11,988	159	82
9.8 Totals	\$39,243		
* Recommended for deletion.			

⁵ Medical technical assistants (Budget page 158, line 19)____ \$19,980

The above positions are requested to improve the level of service for the 30-bed hospital at this institution. Three additional posts are sought to be established, which with relief would require the five additional positions being requested.

We recommend deletion of the five positions, reducing salaries and

wages by \$19,980.

Due to a decline in population of 143 inmates below the original budgeted level for 1955-56 an increase in the general level of service has already occurred.

Further augmentation by proposed new positions is unwarranted at this time in line with the general policy precluding increased or new

services in the budget session.

While this is a 30-bed hospital, the average population in the hospital has been only 12 patients, for which a staff of 10 positions is already authorized. One of the existing positions is utilized also at the barracks area.

Requesting coverage to the extent sought to be provided at this unit, operating at less than one-half its rated capacity appears to be an excessive request. The present salary and wage cost for the present coverages is in excess of \$43,000 per year. The allowance of the request would ultimately increase this by another \$23,700, making a total salary and wage cost of \$66,700 for an average population of 12 patients. These cost factors would be entirely out of line for the services rendered.

3 Correctional officers (Budget page 159, line 82) _____ \$11,988

These positions are requested to provide a fifth officer for each of the three state forestry camps which operates out of this facility.

We recommend deletion of the positions, reducing salaries and wages

bu \$11.988.

The above recommendation is outlined in detail in the general summary section of this analysis.

The following table presents a measurement of the level of service devoted to custody at this facility:

Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate Custody

	$\ddagger Total \ custodial$	$Total \ annual$		Custodial level of		ise ov er r year
$Fiscal\ year$	employees	man-hours	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1946-47	. 8.7	19,070	50	381		
1947-48*	63.4	112,598	327	344	37	9.7
1948-49	89.3	158,597	651	244	<i>—100</i>	-29.1
1949-50	95.7	169,963	687	247	3	1.2
1954-51	97	$172,\!272$	684	252	5	2.0
1591-52	157.3	279,365	969	288	. 36	14.3
1952-53	201.5	357,864	1,825	196	92	31.9
1953-54	207.2	367,987	1,896	194	2	1.0
1954-55	226.7	402,619	2,180	185	—11	5.7
1955-568	240.0	426,240	2,100	203	18	9.7
1956-57†	245.0	435,120	2,057	211	8	3.9

[‡] Exclusive of camps.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 211 hours per inmate.

This is eight hours, or 3.9 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 26 hours, or 14.1 percent, above the minimum level of service of 185 hours, experienced in 1954-55 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

			Incre	ase			Increa	se
				Per-	Per cap	ita cost		Per-
Function	<i>1955-56</i>	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Administration	\$91,579	\$94,057	\$2,478	2.7	\$43.61	\$45.72	\$2.11	4.8
Support and			• • •		•		•	
subsistence	72,061	$74,\!482$	$2,\!421$	3.4	34.31	36.21	1.90	5.5
Care and								
welfare	1,444,010	1,508,724	64,714	4.5	687.62	733.45	45.83	6.7
Maintenance								
and operation		00 =00	20 =		40 10	45.04	0=	
of plant	96,943	96,708	235	0.2	46.16	47.01	.85	1.8
Totals	\$1,704,593	\$1,773,971	\$69,378		\$811.70	\$862.39	\$50.69	6.2

The per capita cost for salaries and wages is scheduled at \$862 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$51, or 6.3 percent over the amount of \$811 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$1,096,574 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$81,324, or 8.0 percent, over the amount of \$1,015,250 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

Forty-hour week became effective.

[§] Estimateu a. . † Budget request. Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

and the second second			Incre	ase			Incre	ase
				Per-	Per cap	$oita\ cost$		Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amoun	t $cent$
Administration Support and	\$27,155	\$27,070	\$85	0.03	\$12.93	\$13.16	\$.23	1.8
subsistence Care and	626,610	611,975	14,635	2.3	298.39	297.51	88	0.3
welfare Maintenance and operation	109,745	199,404	89,659	81.7	52.25	96.94	44.69	85.5
of plant	251,740	258,125	6,385	2.5	119.88	125.49	5.61	4.7
Totals\$1	,015,250	\$1,096,574	\$81,324	8.0	\$483.45	\$533.10	\$49.65	10.3

The per capita cost for operating expenses are scheduled at \$533 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$50, or 10.4 percent, over the amount of \$483 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

The substantial increase in care and welfare operating expenses are attributable to the inclusion in the support budget, for the first time, of academic educational costs. These costs were budgeted to departmental administration last year, also for the first time. Prior to that period such costs did not appear in the Department of Correction's budget but were paid from a.d.a. school funds.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$29,567 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$9,224 over the amount of \$20,343 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$29,567 for equipment, the sum of \$13,882 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$15,685 is for additional

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$73,136 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$29,567, a reduction of \$43,569, or 59.6 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Department of Corrections DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION

ITEM 60 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 162 Budget line No. 20

FOR SUPPORT OF DEUEL VOCATIONAL INSTITUTION FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$2,445,321 2,322,840
Increase (5.3 percent)	\$122,481

Summary of Increase

		INCREASE	DUE TO		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	t Line No.
Salaries and wages	\$56,718	\$53,368	\$3,350	168	39
Operating expense	56,282	56,282		168	40
Equipment	9,041	9,041		168	41
Decreased reimbursements	440	440		168	51
Total increase	\$122,481	\$119,131	\$3,350	168	53

Deuel Vocational Institution—Continued RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted Legislative Auditor's recommendation		
The directions	,	Man
Reduction		None

ANALYSIS

This institution, which was moved to its present location at Tracy, from temporary facilities at Lancaster, in 1953, was designed for the care and treatment of youthful offenders. Approximately two-thirds of the population are Youth Authority wards with the remainder being Department of Corrections prisoners. The latter group is partly composed of artisans and workmen who are assigned for the housekeeping functions and work crews necessary at all institutions. The rest of the total population is assigned to vocational and educational programs designed to rehabilitate the prisoners and afford them the opportunity for success on parole. Medium security custody is maintained.

Per Capita Costs

Fiscal	Institution	Per capita	Increase over prior year	
year	population	cost	Amount	Percent
1946-47	441	\$1,289		
1947-48*	508	1,528	\$239	18.5
1948-49	545	1,679	151	9.9
1949-50		1,637	-42	2.5
1950-51		1,746	109	6.7
1951-52		$2,\!132$	386	22.1
1952-53		2,186	54	2.5
1953-54	926	1,999	187	<u>—8.6</u>
1954-55		2,033	34	1.7
1955-56‡		2,240	207	10.2
1956-57†	1,200	2,306	66	2.9

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

The total expenditure for support of this facility is scheduled to increase \$126,792, or 5.2 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 1,200 inmates,

an increase of 12, or 1.0 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$2,240 to \$2,306, an in-

crease of \$66, or 2.9 percent.

This facility has the highest per capita cost of all the institutions in the department. It is \$520, or 29 percent, higher than the next highest in per capita cost, which is the Medical Facility at Vacaville at \$1,786.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$1,740,402. This represents an increase of \$65,418, or 3.9 percent over the total of \$1,674,984 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

[‡] Estimate as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

[†] Budget request

Deuel Vocational Institution-Continued

Merit salary increases on 336 established positionsA total of 2.7 proposed new positions costingA change in estimated salary savings of	10,475
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$56,718

A total of 336 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional 2.7 proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 0.8 percent in staff, as compared to a 1.0 percent increase in population at this facility.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 4.4 additional inmate increase in institu-

tional population.

The present level of staffing is one position for each 3.5 inmates. The ratio of requested new positions to change in institutional population represents some decline in the level of service. These new positions will provide a total ratio of one position for each 3.6 inmates.

The 2.7 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

		Bu	dget
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Care and welfare:			
Classification and parole:	•		
1 Overtime (group counseling)	. \$3,350	165	53
Intensive counseling:			-
0.3 Temporary help (psychological test analysis)	1,500	165	56
Education and vocational training:			
1.4 Sabbatical leave	5,625	167	42
2.7 Totals	\$10,475		

We recommend approval of the positions requested.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate

Fiscal Total		Total annual		$Level\ of$		Increase over prior year	
year	employees	man-hours	Population		Amount	Percent	
1945-46	_ 128	280,576	21	13,361			
1946-47	_ 120.1	263,259	359	733	12,628	94.5	
1947-48*	_ 156.1	278.654	418	667	66	-9.0	
1948-49	_ 174.8	310,445	453	685	. 18	2.7	
1949-50	_ 177.7	315,595	473	667	18	2.6	
1950-51	_ 177	314,352	467	673	6	0.9	
1951-52	_ 174.4	309,734	492	630	43	-6.4	
1952-53	$_{-}$ 179.2	318,259	544	585	-45	—7.1	
1953-54	_ 276.8	491,597	926	531	54	9.2	
1954-55	_ 298.7	530.491	1,194	444	<u>—87</u>	16.4	
1955-56‡	_ 322	571,872	1,188	481	37	8.3	
1956-57†	_ 323.3	574,181	1,200	478	-3	-0.6	
1948-49 1949-50 1950-51 1951-52 1952-53 1953-54 1954-55 1955-56‡	_ 174.8 _ 177.7 _ 177 _ 174.4 _ 179.2 _ 276.8 _ 298.7 _ 322 _ 323.3	\$10,445 \$15,595 \$14,352 \$09,734 \$18,259 \$491,597 530,491 \$71,872	453 473 467 492 544 926 1,194 1,188	685 667 673 630 585 531 444 481	18 18 6 43 45 54 87	2. 2. 6. 7. 9. 16. 8.	

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 478 hours per inmate.

This is three hours, or 0.6 percent, below the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

[†] Budget request. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Deuel Vocational Institution—Continued

It is 34 hours, or 7.7 percent, above the minimum level of service of 444 hours, experienced in 1954-55 during the period of 14 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The following table presents a measurement of the level of service devoted to custody at this facility:

Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate for Custody

Fiscal	$Total \ custodial$	$Total \ annual$	Average	Custodial level of	Increase prior	
year	employees	man-hours	population	service	Amount	Percent
1945-46	_ 67.0	146,864	47	3,125		
1946-47	_ 72.0	157,824	441	358 -	-2,767	88.5
1947-48*	$_{-}$ 92.0	163,747	508	322	<i>36</i>	10.1
1948-49	_ 109.3	194,117	545	356	34	10.6
1949-50	_ 111.6	198,202	557	356		
1950-51	$_{-}$ 112.5	199,800	556	359	3	0.8
1951-52	_ 109.5	$194,\!472$	516	377	18	5.0
1952-53	_ 112.0	198.912	544	366	11	2.9
1953-54	_ 188.6	334,954	926	362	4	-1.1
1954-55	_ 193.4	343,478	1,194	288	7 <i>4</i>	20.4
1955-56:	_ 196	348,096	1,188	293	$\dot{5}$	1.7
1956-57†	_ 196	348,096	1,200	290	3	1.0

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 290 hours per inmate.

This is 3 hours, or 1.0 percent, below the level now scheduled for

1955-56.

It is 2 hours, or .95 percent, above the minimum level of service of 288 hours, experienced in 1954-55 during the period of 12 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

			Incre		$Per\ cap$	pita cost	Increa	
				Per-				Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Administration Support and	\$99,406	\$101,372	\$1,966	2.0	\$84	\$84		
subsistence Care and	497,570	529,052	31,482	6.3	419	441	\$22	5.3
welfare Maintenance and	1,145,768	1,184,941	39,173	3.4	964	987	23	2.4
operation of plant Education and vocational	92,943	93,531	588	0.6	78	78		
training Reception- guidance	173,894	185,003	11,109	6.4	146	154	8	5.5
center	68,273	71,187	2,914	4.3	57	<u>59</u>	_2	3.5
Totals S	\$2,077,854	\$2,165,086	\$87,232	4.2	\$1,748	\$1,803	\$55	3.1

The per capita cost for salaries and wages are scheduled at \$1,803 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$55, or 3.1 percent over the amount of \$1,748 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

[†] Budget request.

[‡] Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Deuel Vocational Institution—Continued

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$741,907 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$56,282, or 8.2 percent, over the amount of \$685,625 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses

is indicated below:

is indicated be	10 W .		Incre	ease Per-	Per car	$oita_cost$	Inc	rease Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount		1955-56	1956-57	Amou	
Administration Support and	\$21,065	\$20,565	-\$500	-2.4	\$18	\$17	\$1	—5.6
subsistence Care and	423,520	453,440	29,920	7.1	356	378	22	6.2
welfare Maintenance and operation of	43,320	43,320	·		36	36		
plant Education and vocational	159,160	160,865	1,705	1.1	133	134	1	0.8
training Reception- guidance	31,930	56,917	24,987	78.3	27	47	20	74.1
center	6,630	6,800	170	2.6	6	6		
Totals	\$685,625	\$741,907	\$56,282	8.2	\$576	\$618	\$42	7.3

The per capita costs for operating expenses are scheduled at \$618 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$42, or 7.3 percent, over the amount of \$576 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$27,302 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$9,041 over the amount of \$18,261 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$27,302 for equipment, the sum of \$20,129 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$7,173 is for additional

equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$45,975 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$27,302, a reduction of \$18,673, or 40.6 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Department of Corrections CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN

ITEM 61 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 169 Budget line No. 7

FOR SUPPORT OF CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requested		_ \$950,139
Estimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal	Year	_ 812,356
		
Transport (170 rememb)	and the second of the second	¢127 722

Increase oner

California Institution for Women-Continued Summary of Increase

•		INCREASE			
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	
Salaries and wages	\$71,208	\$44,421	\$26,787	174	9
Operating expense	60,163	60,163		174	10
Equipment	5,437	5,437	:	174	11
Inmate pay-work projects	170	170		174	12
Add: Decreased reimbursements_	805	805		174	22
Total increase	\$137,783	\$110,996	\$26,787	174	24
RECOMMENDATIONS					
Amount budgeted				\$950,	139
Legislative Auditor's recommen	dation			950,	139
Reduction				N	one

This institution houses all female felons committed to prison. The present institution replaced the former facility for women located at Tehachapi. Academic and vocational education are provided. A prison industries sewing program provides work for inmates.

ANALYSIS

Per Capita Costs

Fiscal	Institution	Per capita	prior year		
year	population	cost	Amount	Percent	
1946-47	248	\$851			
1947-48	288	969	\$118	13.9	
1948-49		1,204	235	24.3	
1949-50	321	1,167	-37	-3.1	
1950-51	334	1,176	9	0.8	
1951-52		1,141	35	3.0	
1952-53	413	1,262	121	10.6	
1953-54	459	1,396	134	10.6	
1954-55	533	1,399	3	0.2	
1955-56‡	574	1,569	170	12.2	
1956-57†	622	1,600	31	2.0	

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

The total expenditure for support of this facility is scheduled to increase \$143,583, or 16.9 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 622 inmates. an increase of 48, or 8.4 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$1,569 to \$1,600, an increase of \$31, or 2 percent.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$603,130. This represents an increase of \$71,208, or 13.4 percent over the total of \$531,922 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

[†] Budget request. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget,

California Institution for Women-Continued

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 114 established positionsA total of 17.8 proposed new positions and nine reclassifications costing A change in estimated salary savings of	55,192
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$71,208

A total of 114 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional 17.8 proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 15.6 percent in staff, as compared to an 8.4 percent increase in population at this facility.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 2.7 additional inmate increase in institutional population.

When compared to the present level of staffing which is one position for each 5.0 inmates it is apparent that the ratio of requested new positions to change in institutional population represents a 46 percent improvement in the level of service indicated by the existing ratio.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate

	sca l ear	Total employees	Total annua man-hours	l Population	Level of service	Amount	Percent
194	3-44	43	94,256	143	659		
194	4-45	39	85,488	145	590	\$69	10.5
194	5-46	38	83,296	198	421	169	28.6
194	6-47	31	67,952	248	274	147	34.9
194	7-48*	39.5	75,643	288	263	<u>—11</u>	4.0
194	8-49	44.3	78,677	314	251	—12	-4.6
194	9-50	52.4	93,062	321	290	39	$1\dot{5}.5$
195	0-51	49.7 .	88,267	334	264	26	-9.0
195	$1-52_{}$	55.9	99,278	393	253	11	4.2
195	2-53	64.5	114,552	413	277	24	9.5
195	3-54	83.5	148,296	459	323	46	16.6
	4-55	97.8	173,693	533	326	3	0.9
	5-56‡	113	200,688	574	350	24	7.4
195	6-57†	131.3	233,189	622	375	25	7.1
		The second secon					

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 375 hours per inmate.

This is 25 hours, or 7.1 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 124 hours, or 49.4 percent, above the minimum level of service of 251 hours, experienced in 1948-49 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The 17.8 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

[†] Budget requests. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

California Institution for Women-Continued

		Budget	
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Support and subsistence			
Feeding			
0.3 Butcher-meatcutter II (intermittent)	\$1,175	171	36
Care and welfare			
Custodial and personal care			
1 Supervisor-counselor IV	6,360	172	39
1 Supervisor-counselor IV	$5,\!232$	172	40
Supervisor-counselor III (replacing three su-			
pervisor-counselor II)	1,260	172	43
2 Supervisor-counselor II	9,024	172	44
Supervisor-counselor II (replacing five su-			
pervisor-counselor I)	1,200	172	47
10 Supervisor-counselor I (eight, effective April 1,			
1959)	15,792	172	49
Medical care			
Chief prison medical officer (replacing one			
physician-surgeon II)	1,800	172	53
1 Clinical laboratory technician	3,996	172	54
Education and religion			
0.2 Sabbatical leave	625	172	56
Classification and parole			
1 Correctional classification officer I	4,740	172	58
1 Intermediate file clerk	2,988	172	59
0.3 Overtime (group counseling)	1,000	172	60
77.8 Totals	@EE 100		
17.8 Totals	фээ,192		

The major portion of the request for a total of 17.8 additional positions is for additional custodial staff. Fourteen out of the group are for the latter function.

Out of the 14 added custody positions, five plus three relief or a total of eight, are to staff an added cottage facility on the same level of staffing as now prevails for existing comparable units.

Four positions are to realign the existing supervisory organizational staffing pattern to bring it more into comparability with the custodial staffing pattern exemplified in the correctional institutions for adult male inmates.

One position plus relief is scheduled for escort and transportation functions.

Reclassifications are proposed for eight custodial positions in line with the organizational shift in staffing pattern.

The balance of the staffing request is for positions related primarily to work load contingent upon the expanding population, with perhaps some increment of improved services.

We recommend approval of the staffing request.

The following table presents a measurement of the level of service devoted to custody at this facility:

California Institution for Women-Continued

I aval of	Sanvias	-Employee	Hauna	Available	200	lamata	fan.	Custody
Fever or	Oct vice-		HOURS	Available	Der	mmate	101	Custouv

Fiscal	$Total \\ custodial$	$Total\\annual$	Average	Level of		se over year
year	positions	man- $hours$	population	service	Amount	Percent
1945-46	24	42,624	198	215		·
1946-47	20.1	35,698	248	144	<i>—71</i>	-33.0
1947-48*	. 27.6	49,018	288	170	26	18.1
1948-49	32.2	$57,\!187$	314	182	12	7.1
1949-50	_ 36.3	64,469	321	201	19	10.4
1950-51	. 34.8	61,805	334	185	—16	8.0
$1951-52_{}$	37.7	66,955	393	170	15	<u>8.1</u>
1952-53	. 39.4	69,974	413	169	1	0.6
1953-54	45.4	80,630	459	176	7	4.1
1954-55	_ 58.3	$103,\!541$	533	194	18	10.2
1955-56‡	_ 66	117,216	574	204	10	5.2
1956-57†	_ 80	142,080	622	228	24	11.8

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service for custody will average 228 hours per inmate.

This is 24 hours, or 11.8 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 84 hours, or 58.3 percent, above the minimum level of service of 144 hours, experienced in 1946-47 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

		•							
			Incre	ase	Per	capita	Incre	ase	
				Per-	C	ost		Per-	
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	
Administration	\$60,405	\$61,440	\$1,035	1.7	\$105.24	\$98.78	-\$6.46	6.1	
Support and							•		
subsistence	27,715	29,835	2,120	7.6	48.28	47.96	0.32	0.7	
Care and welfare	383,414	450,386	66,972	17.5	667.97	724.09	56.12	9.4	
Maintenance					. :				
and operation									
of plant	60,388	61,469	1,081	1.8	105.21	98.82	-6.39	6.1	
Totals	\$521 099	\$603,130	\$71.208	19.4	\$026.70	\$969.65	\$42.95	4.6	
Torais	φυυτίθης	φυσο, του	φιμ,200	TO.4	φυ40.10	. 6009.09	$\phi \pm 2.50$	4.0	

The per capita cost for salaries and wages is scheduled at \$969.65 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$42.95, or 4.6 percent over the amount of \$926.70 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$341,013 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$60,163, or 21.4 percent, over the amount of \$280,850 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

[†] Budget request. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

California	Institution	for	Women-	-Continued

•			Incre	ase Per-	and the second second	capita	Incre	ase Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount				Amount	
Administration Support and	\$9,515	\$9,640	\$125	1.3	\$16.58	\$15.50	\$1.0 8	-6.5
subsistence	163,990	178,185	14,195	8.7	285.70	286.47	.77	0.3
Care and welfare Maintenance and operation of	56,885	98,453	41,568	73.1	99.10	158.28	59.18	59.7
plant	50,460	54,735	4,275	8.5	87.91	88.00	.09	0.1
Totals	\$280,850	\$341,013	\$60,163	21.4	\$489.29	\$548.25	\$58.96	12.1

The per capita cost for operating expenses is scheduled at \$548.25 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$58.96, or 12.1 percent, over the amount of \$489.29 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$17,788 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$5,437 over the amount of \$12,351 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$17,788 for equipment, the sum of \$4,106 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$13,682 is for additional

equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$29,965 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$17,788, a reduction of \$12,177, or 40.6 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Department of Corrections ADULT AUTHORITY

					ADU.
ITEM	62 of	the	Budget	\mathbf{Bill}	

Budget page 175 Budget line No. 22

THE PART DIE TO

FOR SUPPORT OF ADULT Amount requested Estimated to be expended in		 	\$1,435,699
Increase (14.5 percent)	·	 <u>_</u>	\$182,119

Summary of Increase

		INCREASE	DUE TU		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line No.
Salaries and wages	\$132,502	\$84,658	\$47,844	179	52
Operating expense	44,285	18,285	26,000	179	53
Equipment	9,767	<i>4,933</i>	14,700	179	54
Less: increased reimbursements	-4,435	-4,435		179	59
Matal in man ma	\$182,119	\$93,575	\$88,544	179	61
Total increase	9102,119	ф95,010	ф00,0 11	119	OΤ
DECOMMENDATIONS			**		

RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount	budgeted	l 		 	\$1,435,699
Legislat	ive Audit	tor's recomme	ndation	 	1,435,699
4.4					

Reduction

The Adult Authority consists of six members who operate as the sentence fixing and paroling agency for the state correctional system with respect to adult male offenders.

ANALYSIS

	Per Capita Cos	sts			
Fiscal	Average number of parolees	Per capita	Increase over prior year		
year	supervised	cost	Amount	Percent	
1946-47	3,007	\$94			
1947-48*	3,070	124	\$30	31.9	
1948-49	3 253	139	15	12.1	
1949-50	3,341	133	6	4.3	
1950-51	3,523	130	3	-2.3	
1951-52	3,988	135	5	3.8	
1952-53	 4,41 8	139	4	3.0	
1953-54	4,878	144	5	3.6	
1954-55	5,333	145	1	0.6	
1955-56‡	5,910	153	8	5.5	
1956-57†	6,195	160	7	4.6	

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

The total expenditures for support of this agency are scheduled to increase \$193,519, or 14.5 percent.

Population under parole supervision is anticipated to average 6,195 under regular supervision and 600 under the intensive supervision unit, for a total of 6,795, an increase of 10.1 percent.

The per capita cost for regular supervision as reflected above is scheduled at \$160, an increase of \$7, or 4.6 percent.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$1,126,010. This represents an increase of \$132,502, or 13.3 percent over the total of \$993,508 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 195 established positions	\$59,549 66,553 6,400
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$132,502

A total of 195 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional 19 proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 9.7 percent in staff, as compared to a combined total of 10.1 percent increase in the average number of parolees supervised in both the regular and special intensive units.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 32.9 additional parolees supervised.

The present level of staffing is one position for each 31.6 parolees supervised. The ratio of requested new positions to change in average parole population supervised represents a small decline in the level of service.

[†] Budget request.

[‡] Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended for parole supervision:

Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Parolee

		Average number			Increase over	
Fiscal	Total	$Total\ annual$	parolees	Level of	prior	year
year	positions	man-hours	supervised	service	Amount	Percent
1946-47	88.0	192,896	3,007	64°		
1947-48*	89.7	159,307	3,070	52	12	18.8
1948-49	101.8	180,974	3,253	56	4	7.7
1949-50	101.3	179,909	3,341	54	2	-36.0
1950-51	106.1	188,434	3,523	54		
1951-52	118.1	209,746	3,988	53	1	—1.9
1952-53	124.4	220,934	4,418	50	3	5.7
1953-541	166.1	294,994	5,078	58	8	13.8
1954-55	171.5	304,584	5,581	56	2	3.4
1955-568	195	346,320	6,170	56		
1956-57†	214	380,064	6,795	56		

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the level of service will average 56 hours per parolee supervised.

This is the same level as now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is four hours, or 8.0 percent, above the minimum level of service of 50 hours, experienced in 1947-48 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The 19 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

		Buc	lget
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Division of Adult Parolees:			
1 Parole officer II (effective September 1, 1956)	\$4,150	177	41
5 Parole officers (1 effective August 1, 1956, 1 effec-			
tive October 1, 1956, 1 effective March 1, 1957,	-		
1 effective May 1, 1957, 1 effective June 1, 1957)	10,665	177	45
2 Intermediate stenographer-clerks (1 effective Octo-			
ber 1, 1956, and 1 effective May 1, 1957), Special			
Intensive Parole Unit	2,844	177	48
2 Parole officer II	9,960	178	34
6 Parole officer I	28,440	178	35
O Parole officer classifications	1,080	178	36
3 Intermediate stenographer-clerk	9,414	178	37
	- 		
19 Total	\$66,553		

The requested positions for the regular parole function are to handle an increased number of parolees at the currently authorized case loads. Additional positions are being requested for the Special Intensive Parole Unit, which was started in 1953-54. The added staff for this unit will permit an expansion of the total case load to the extent of 50 percent. The unit will continue to operate on the premise of 30 parolees per officer for a period of six months. The change to this latter base is

[§] Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

[†] Budget request. ‡ Special intensive parole unit included in this and each subsequent year.

to become effective in January, 1956. The former pattern was 15

parolees per officer for three months.

Since this operation so far has demonstrated savings to more than offset its added cost, the expansion appears justifiable on both economic and social grounds and is recommended. The added cost for new positions and reclassifications in the 1956-57 year is \$48,894 for the Special Intensive Parole Unit.

An organizational survey of the agency was completed by the Department of Finance and the Personnel Board. Reclassification of existing personnel to be effective in January, 1956, will cost an additional \$13,000. The full-year cost for 1956-57 is scheduled at \$28,335.

We are in accord with the reorganizational proposal.

Incidence of Suspensions to Parolee Months Under Supervision California Parolees in California

$Total\ parolee\ *$		
man-months of supervision	Total number of suspensions	Percent
32,629	1,029	3.15
33,400	1,014	3.04
35,175	997	2.83
38,472	1,074	2.79
42,797	1,028	2.40
49,087	1,247	2.54
57,154	1,383	2.42
61,950	1,332	2.15
350,664	9,104	2.60
	1,138	
	man-months of supervision 32,629 33,400 35,175 38,472 42,797 49,087 57,154 61,950 350,664	man-months of supervision Total number of suspensions 32,629 1,029 33,400 1,014 35,175 997 38,472 1,074 42,797 1,028 49,087 1,247 57,154 1,383 61,950 1,332 350,664 9,104 43,833 1,138

^{*} Total of average case load for each month of the calendar year.

The foregoing table indicates a continuance of the declining rate in the percentage of parole suspensions that are being given in proportion to the total risk outstanding in terms of the total parolee man-months over which supervision is extended.

The degree of improvement reflected by this trend and the impact of any failure to continue the favorable percentage decline may be exemplified by applying the 1948 suspension rate of 3.15 to the 1955 risk total of 61,950. If we do this we find that there would have been 1951 suspensions in contrast to the 1332 parolees actually suspended. This is a difference of 619 suspensions, or 46.5 percent of the number actually issued.

Had not the improvement noted taken place, we might have been faced with the current necessity of finding facilities to house a substantial proportion of the 619 inmates potentially involved.

Progress along the lines indicated results in substantial savings to the State in the form of both capital outlay and support expenditures, while at the same time indicating the possibility of other social and economic gains from the standpoint of the parolees.

Comparison of Parole Violators Returned

Calendar	Total parole violators	$With \ new \ commitment$		$Without\ new \ commitment$	
year	returned	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1948	943	337	36	606	64
1949	1,043	337	32	706	68
1950	867	378	44	489	56
1951	869	350	40	519	60
1952	863	390	45	473	55
1953	946	449	47	497	53
1954	1,227	665	54	562	46
1955	1,047	505	48	542	52
Totals	7,805	3,411		4,394	
	976	426	44	549	5 6

The above table evidences some comparative retrogression in that out of all parole violators returned in 1955 the percentage being returned without a new commitment increased from the 52 percent in 1954 to 56 percent. This results in a lower percentage out of the total number returned being sent back for commission of a new offense. We believe, that on the average, the chances of being returned to prison for technical violations of the conditions of parole should as a practical matter be far less in actuality than the chances of being returned for the commission of a new offense.

However, of equally favorable importance is the fact that the total number returned in 1955 dropped to 1,047 from the previous high point in 1954 of 1,227. This is a decline of 180 or 14.7 percent. It is more noteworthy that 14.7 percent fewer violators were returned when recognition is given to the fact that the total outstanding "hazard" in terms of average parole case load advanced from 4,878 in 1953-54 to 5,910 in 1955-56, an increase of 1,032 or 21 percent.

It is recognized that there is not strict comparability between the periods indicated above due to differences in fiscal as compared to calendar years. However, a strict projection, assuming the fact that most parole violations occur within the first six months after release, will almost automatically compensate for the time differences involved. Every effort should be made to continue the favorable trend established.

State Costs in Connection With State Prison Inmates Sentenced on Forgery and Check Counts

We refer to our analysis of this problem as outlined in last year's report and again recommend exploration of the concepts expressed therein to the Adult Authority, the board of trustees, and the Legislature as a whole, since statutory changes would be involved in order to implement a program embodying comparable requirements and objectives.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$276,150 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$44,285, or 19 percent, over the amount of \$231,865 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

			Incr	ease
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	Percent
Administration	\$19,870	\$21,245	\$1,375	6.9
Division of Adult Parole	172,300	189,770	17,470	10.1
Special Intensive Parole Unit	33,635	59,075	25,440	75.6
Parole outpatient clinic	6,060	6,060		
Totals	\$231,865	\$276,150	\$44,285	19.1

The major increase in operating expenses occurs in the Special Intensive Parole Unit, due to the expanded staff and case load for that operation.

Comparison of Repayments of Cash Advances to Parolees

	Cash assistance to	Repayn	
Fiscal	parolees and dis-	adva	nces
year	charged persons	Amount	Percent
1946-47	\$1,585	\$348	22.0
1947-48	4,942	1,800	36.4
1948-49		1,785	22.9
1949-50	14,371	1,840	28.0
1950-51	10,574	2,227	21.0
1951-52		2,484	16.1
1952-53	17,330	2.819	16.7
1953-54*	36,032	7,392	20.5
1954-55	27,759	8,224	29.6
Accumulated totals to date	\$135,821	\$28,919	21.3
1955-56	\$31,335	\$8,930	28.5
1956-57		\$13,365	30.0

^{*} Special Intensive Parole Unit started. Advances and repayments for this group included for this and subsequent years.

It is gratifying to note the progress the agency is making in terms of the percentage recovery of monetary advances made to paroled and discharged prisoners. The percentage of such recovery has increased for each fiscal period starting with the low point of 16.1 percent in 1951-52 until it reached 29.6 percent in 1954-55.

However, it still is below the high point of 36.4 percent achieved in 1947-48. With increasingly greater amounts being budgeted and utilized for this purpose each year, it becomes more important that the per-

centage of recovery be improved.

We note, for example, that the Board of Trustees recovery rate for this item from women parolees in 1954-55 was 49 percent. While we do not consider the latter figure an optimum one, we see no justification for the disparity of performance between the two agencies.

In terms of accumulated totals, this item has resulted in a loss of \$106,902 during the years 1946-47 through 1953-54, with only 21.3

percent of total advances being repaid.

With improved supervision being available through the reorganization and reclassifications provided both in the current and projected fiscal period, here is one item susceptible of further improvement by the application of improved controls and procedures inherent in better supervision.

The following table shows the same information for the Special Intensive Parole Unit, since its inception in 1953-54:

Fiscal	Cash assistance to parolees and dis-		$Repayment\ of\ advances$	
year	charged prisoners	Amount	Percent	
1953-54		\$2,513 3,029 3,175 7,330	26.5 34.3 34.3 34.3	

^{*} Estimates.

When a comparison is made between the recovery rate for this unit as against the composite rate expressed in the prior table, it is evident that the performance factor for the latter group is largely responsible for pulling up the average of the combined rate.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$46,904 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$9,767 over the amount of \$37,137 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$46,904 for equipment, the sum of \$17,218 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$29,686 is for additional

equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$83,831 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$46,904, a reduction of \$36,927, or 44 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Department of Corrections BOARD OF TRUSTEES—INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN

ITEM 63 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 180 Budget line No. 6

FOR SUPPORT OF BOARD OF TRUSTEES—INSTITUTION FOR WOMEN FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	
Increase (4.0 percent)	\$4.535

Summary of Increase

		INCREASE	DUE TO		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustment	New s services	Budget page	Line No.
Salaries and wages	\$5,332	\$5,332	· .	180	70
Operating expense	1,901	1,901	· · · · · ·	181	18
Equipment	2,668	2,668		181	28
Less: increased reimbursements	30	-30		181	33
					
Total increase	\$4, 535	\$4,535	·	181	35

Board of Trustees—Continued RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted	\$118,096 118,096
Reduction	None

ANALYSIS

This agency discharges the functions of a parole and sentence fixing board for female prisoners and supervision of female parolees.

Generally, the board has the same duties and responsibilities for

female prisoners as the Adult Authority has for male prisoners.

The board also acts as an advisory body to the Director of Corrections in matters affecting the management of the women's institution at Corona.

	Per Capita Parole (Costs		
Fiscal	Average number of parolees	Average number of parolees Per capita		
year	supervised	cost	Amount	Percent .
1945-46	98	\$200		
1946-47	102	237	\$37	18.5
1947-48 *	114	293	56	23.6
1948-49	144	306	13	4.4
1949-50	168	276	30	9.8
	202	247	29	10.5
1951-52	221	261	14	5.7
1952-53	290	250	11	-4.2
1953-54	366	214	36	-14.4
1954-55	406	233	19	8.9
1955-56‡	420	279	46	19.7
1956-57†	440	278	—1	-0.4

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

The total expenditures for support of this function is scheduled to increase \$4,802, or 4.1 percent, in 1956-57.

Population under supervision is anticipated to average 440 parolees,

an increase of 20, or 4.8 percent.

This results in the per capita for parole supervision cost going from

\$279 to \$278, a decrease of \$1, or 0.4 percent.

The parole function was budgeted originally in 1955-56 on the basis of a total case load of 470 parolees. This has now been revised downward to 420, accounting for a substantial increase in re-estimated per capita costs for 1955-56. This downward revision also accounts for the budgeted increase in case load during 1956-57. In terms of prior budgeted levels of work load the 1956-57 case load of 440 is still below the 470 originally projected for 1955-56.

Failure to keep pace with projected parole releases contributes to higher inmate populations in the institution, which is already over-

crowded in terms of its rated capacity.

The following table presents a measurement of the level of service extended in the parole function.

[†] Budget request. ‡ Estimate as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Board of Trustees-Continued

Level of Service—Employee Hours Available per Parolee

Fiscal	Total	Total annual	Parolees	Level of	Increase prior	
year	employees	man-hours	supervised	service	Amount	Percent
1945-46	4.0	8,768	98	89		
1946-47	4.1	8,987	102	88	1	1.1
1947-48*	5.3	9,413	114	83	5	—5.7
1948-49	6.0	10,656	144	74	9	-10.8
1949-50	6.0	10,656	168	63	11	-14.9
1950-51	7.0	$12,\!432$	202	62	—1	1.6
$1951-52_{}$	7.9	14,030	221	63	• 1	1.6
1952-53	8.1	14,386	290	50	13	-20.6
1953-54	9.0	15,984	366	44	6	-12.0
1954-55	11.3	20,069	406	49	5	11.4
1955-56‡	13.4	23,798	420	57	8	16.3
1956-57†	14.0	24,864	440	65	8	14.0

* Forty-hour week became effective.

† Budget request.

I Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57 the total level of service will average 65 hours per parolee.

This is eight hours, or 14 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 21 hours, or 47.7 percent, above the minimum level of service of 44 hours, experienced in 1953-54 during the period of 12 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

It is significant to note the substantial percentage increase in levels of service afforded to the function in each of the last three years as shown in the above table.

With particular reference to the 1956-57 fiscal period, it is suggested that in view of the expanded level of service, every consideration be extended to achieve or exceed estimated parole releases insofar as practicable.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$81,173. This represents an increase of \$5,332, or 7.0 percent over the total of \$75,841 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Salary changes on 12 established positions A total of two proposed new positions costing A change in estimated salary savings of	-\$4,211 9,423 80
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$5.332

A total of 12 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional two proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 16 percent in staff, as compared to a 4.8 percent increase in parolees supervised.

The two proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

Board of Trustees-Continued

		Buc	lget
Functions and positions A	mount	Page	Line
Parole supervision: 1 Supervising women's parole officer 1 Intermediate stenographer-clerk	\$6,285 3,138		
	\$9,423		

With the establishment of the additional stenographic position, the item of temporary help has been reduced from \$2,338 to \$300.

The additional parole officer position was in fact approved last year for supervision of other parole officers on the basis of also carrying a one-half caseload.

The rerequest is on the basis of converting the position entirely to supervision purposes and eliminating the one-half case load requirement for the current and budget year. We are in accord with the request.

The inconsistencies in terms of parole failure which we have pointed out in prior years analyses of this budget are further developed by the additional figures covering another year of experience.

Comparison of Status of Parolee Violators Returned

Comparison of Ctatus of Faronce Violators Recurricu							
Fiscal	$Average \ number$		rned as lators		new tments	Witho commi	ut new tments
year	parolees	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1948-49	144	28	19.4	5	17.9	23	82.1
1949-50	168	39	23.2	7	17.9	32	82.1
1950-51	202	34	16.8	7	20.6	27	79.4
1951-52	221	27	12.2	12	44.4	15	55.6
1952-53	290	47	16.2	12	25.5	35	74.5
1953-54	366	54	14.8	13	24.1	41	75.9
1954-55	406	. 79	19.5	21	26.6	58	73.4
				. —			
		308		77	25.0	231	75.0

The trend reflected in the above table is not supportive of any indication of progress in the handling of inmates on parole insofar as effective supervision may be deemed to be a major aid in keeping the parolee out of further trouble.

Since 1951-52, the percentage of those parolees returned as violators has increased to the point where the 1954-55 figure is 19.5 percent, or

0.1 of a percent higher than the 1948-49 figure.

During the seven years reflected in the above table, a total of 308 parolees have been returned as violators. Twenty-five percent or 77 have been returned with new commitments. However, three times as many, or 231 were returned because of technical violations of the conditions of parole.

We again emphasize that there is an apparent and urgent need for a careful review and adjustment of board policies and the realistic application of those policies in handling parolees in the field.

The evidence of that need is found in the fact that the foregoing table indicates that it is at least three times as easy to be recommitted for technical reasons as for the commission of a new crime.

If the treatment and rehabilitation processes are at all effective, in the institution at Corona, as well as the guidance and assistance to be

Board of Trustees-Continued

nical parole violators returned.

given by the field staff during the parole period, then reasonable and realistic benchmarks of conduct after release should not result in the disparity that now exists in the figures above.

In our opinion, the percentages shown should be just reversed if both the institutional and parole programs are really effective and are geared to complement each other under reasonable policy operating standards.

The policy results indicated in the above table contribute more than their share toward unnecessarily building up the Corona population and in turn necessitating additional state expenditures for capital outlay.

The impact of excessive returns for technical reasons upon institutional population may be generally illustrated in the following sequence.

During 1953-54 and 1954-55, a total of 99 parolees were returned to Corona for technical reasons deemed to be a violation of the conditions of their parole.

Recent figures indicate that the median additional sentence served by female parolees returned for technical reasons is 14 months.

The increase in average population at Corona from 1952-53 through 1954-55 was 97 or the approximate equivalent of the number of tech-

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$36,315 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$1,901, or 5.5 percent, over the amount of \$34,414 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The following table is the record of recovery of cash advances to paroled and discharged prisoners.

Fiscal year	Cash assistance	Repayment	Percentage of advances repaid
1949-50 1950-51 1951-52 1952-53 1953-54 1954-55	000	\$273 260 349 264 554 557	45.5 40.9 43.5 40.1 61.8 58.5
Accumulated totals 1955-56 * 1956-57 * * Estimated in 1956-57 Governor's Budget.	\$4,543 _ \$984 _ 1,030	\$2,227 \$575 605	49.0 58.4 59.7

The actual recovery rate of 58.5 percent for 1954-55 shows a slight retrogression below the high point of 61.8 percent in 1953-54, and estimates for 1955-56 and 1956-57 are similarly below the 1953-54 level.

The matter merits additional attention, not from the standpoint of the small amount of money due to the State, but rather from the importance of the impact upon the parolee receiving such cash assistance. The manner in which a promise to repay is fulfilled may be one index of reliability in the direction of achieving social adjustment.

Board of Trustees-Continued

Comparison of Miles Traveled by Parole Staff per Case

	rage number of par		7	Change prior	
	supervised—averag	$miles\ traveled$	Average number of miles per case	Amount	
year $1951-52$	221		<u>-</u>	Amount	1 6/ 06/11
1952-53	221 290	58,232 57.664	$\begin{array}{c} 263 \\ 199 \end{array}$	-64	24.3
1953-54	366	70,555	193	<u></u> 6	-3.0
1954-55	406	108,214	267	74	38.3
1955-56 * _	420	121,000	288	21	7.9
1956-57 * _	440	124,000	282	—6	—2.1

* Estimated 1956-57 Governor's Budget.

The foregoing table indicates a substantial increase in the number

of miles traveled per case supervised.

The agency reflects a 74 percent increase in this item in 1954-55 over 1953-54, and continues to project still higher averages in miles per case for 1955-56 and 1956-57. In fact, the 267 miles per case for 406 cases in 1954-55 closely approaches the 263 miles per case for only 221 cases in 1951-52.

In the absence of a policy change as to frequency of contact or a change in the basic risk structure in the average case load, the trend should be just the reverse.

It is obvious that as the total number of parole cases increase, the density of cases per geographical area increases and the average miles of required travel per case should decrease. In the absence of any budgetary explanation to the contrary, it is suggested that total travel requirements can be modified downward if reasonable attention is given to the matter during the current and forthcoming fiscal years.

Again we point out that time spent in excess travel simply adds to the total work load requirements and has no direct bearing upon the achievement of desired results in a good parole program.

Equipment

Expenditures, scheduled at \$1,213 are nominal, and reflect a reduction below the level of 1955-56, due primarily to no requests for motor vehicles.

DEPARTMENT OF THE YOUTH AUTHORITY

Responsibility for state juvenile correctional custody and rehabilitation is the joint responsibility of the Youth Authority and the Department of the Youth Authority.

The Youth Authority is a board with primary responsibility for the acceptance, assignment, parole and discharge of youths committed by the courts of the State. The Department of the Youth Authority provides and operates the facilities to carry out a program of diagnosis, training and treatment, custody, parol, and delinquency prevention.

The objective of the entire program is the protection of society against the irresponsible actions of juvenile delinquents and the rehabilitation of such youths to the point where they can become responsible citizens and members of society.

General Summary

The total requested appropriation for support of this function in 1956-57 is \$9,867,691. This is \$528,563 or 5.7 percent more than is now estimated for the 1955-56 Fiscal Year and \$609,806 or 6.6 percent more than was requested for the 1955-56 Fiscal Year when the budget for that year was presented.

Total ward population in schools and facilities is estimated in the budget to average 2,430. This is an increase of 81 wards or 3.5 percent over the 2,349 now estimated for 1955-56. The estimate for 1955-56 was

2,489 when that budget was presented.

Consolidated per capita cost based on institutional population and excluding the cost of the Bureau of Paroles and other current expense items is estimated to be \$3,599. At the time the 1955-56 Budget was presented the consolidated per capita cost for that year was estimated to be \$3,304. Consolidated per capita cost for 1955-56 is now estimated

at \$3,550 in the 1956-57 Budget.

For the purpose of devising some index of cost for the Youth Authority program which could be used for comparative purposes we have calculated a per capita expenditure based on total expenditures and average institutional population. On this basis per capita expenditures for 1956-57 can be estimated to amount to \$4,060 for each of 2,430 wards of average population. The same index for 1954-55 actually amounted to \$3,815. This shows an increase of \$245 per ward of average population in a two-year period—a period covered by two budgets which theoretically reflect no or very minor increases in levels of service. Admittedly some explanation of some of this increase is possible on other than an increase in level of service basis. We do not make this point necessarily as a criticism of the agency or of the budget as such. Our purpose is to call to the Legislature's attention the fact that the level of expenditures for this program has risen to the point where an amount of money approximately equal to the average annual family income in the United States is sought to be expended for every ward of average population expected to be in Youth Authority facilities during the budget year.

We have many times previously pointed out that governmental programs should be evaluated in terms of progress toward achievement of objectives. In the case of the Youth Authority we think that the program should be evaluated in terms of improved rehabilitation of wards as measured by successful reassimilation into normal society and decreased recidivism as measured by a declining parole failure rate. Up to this time it has not been possible to evaluate reliable indices of progress measured in these terms, primarily because the agency has not set up the standards of measurement nor provided the data neces-

sarv to make comparisons with those standards.

Such evaluation serves a double purpose in that it provides the Legislature with the information necessary to make and implement policy decisions and it provides management with the data necessary to justify its administrative decisions.

During the current year the agency has taken a first step toward the correction of this deficiency. By agreement with the Department of Finance, the services of a senior administrative analyst have been made

available to the agency for the purpose of organizing program evaluation studies of its operations along the lines we have discussed above. The cost of this agreement, \$9,385, is included in the agency's budget in the item of contractual research and analysis services (budget, page 188, line 25) in Departmental Administration, Operating Expense. The agreement as we understand it is intended to continue for two years. We believe that the kind of program evaluation which we have recommended should be a continuing staff service for any agency such as the Youth Authority, and that the evaluations resulting from the service should be a major factor in the direction of the agency.

Such services are utilized by progressive private business management with many firms allocating as much as 3 percent of budgeted expenditures to such purposes. The amount scheduled for expenditure by this agency in the budget year represents .09 of 1 percent of the expenditures scheduled for this agency. While we are most encouraged by this beginning, we wish to point out that the size of the effort in relation to the problem is almost insignificant. We suggest that this agency continue its efforts to develop an adequate program evaluation. The urgency of the necessity to be able to determine the future course of this program is amply demonstrated by the level to which per capita

costs have risen and by the rapid rate of that increase.

Moreover, we believe that the information which is secured by the Youth Authority from the regular process of reviewing the case histories of delinquents, evaluating the problem factors in each case and observing the results of corrective measures or programs should be made available to the Legislature and the public in the form of a statistical report which will indicate quantitatively the significance of the factors developed. The statistics will show, we believe, that major factors are broken homes, drunkness in the family, prostitution on the part of the mother or other related evidences of lack of normal parental influence and control. The specific nature of school delinquencies should be noted. Some of the reasons that the Youth Authority program is expensive is that it must furnish the educational program during incarceration, housing, food and supervision of a degree which is sufficient to meet the rehabilitation goals of the agency, i.e., at least the minimum required to establish the discipline and guidance previously lacking in the childs environment. A regular and objective reporting of these environmental factors, as well as data on intelligence quotient factors, would help in defining better delinquency correction programs, both with respect to Youth Authority expenditures and alternatives.

The following table shows the relationship of budget requests to actual expenditures and population for the past five years, and the estimates for the current and budget years.

0.000							
		al budget quest	$Revised\ budget$ $re ext{-}estimate$		$Actual \ expenditure$		
Year	Population	Amount	Population	Amount	Population	Amount	
1950-51	1,831	\$4,107,481	1,790	\$4,141,736	1,780	\$4,153,740	
1951-52	1,840	4,248,336	1,835	4,606,911	1,812	4,616,388	
1952-53	1,901	4,855,268	1,870	5,104,075	1,845	5,452,338	
1953-54		5,855,810	1,851	6,800,923	1,783	$6,\!453,\!911$	
1954-55		9,104,735	$2,\!274$	8,462,479	$2,\!110$	8,049,370	
1955-56	2,489	9,257,885	2,349	9,339,128			
1956-57_	_ 2.430	9.867.691					

From 1950-51 when population was actually 1,780 wards and expenditures were \$4,153,740 to 1954-55 when population was 2,110 and expenditures were \$8,049,370, the increase in population amounted to 330 wards or 18.5 percent while expenditures rose \$3,895,630 or 93.8 percent. Some of this increase is attributable to three rounds of wage increases within the period covered amounting to approximately 15 percent of the salary and wage portion of the total increase and to an increase of approximately 10 percent in the wholesale commodity price index for that portion affected by prices; however, the major portion must be ascribed to new and improved levels of service. Examples of these are the constant improvement in the ratio of custodial staff to inmates and the implementation of the reception center clinic idea. We point out that this tremendous increase in level of service has been authorized in the main to enable the agency to make progress toward the accomplishment of its objective of successful rehabilitation of delinquent youth and yet we are no more able to factually substantiate such progress than we were before, much less to determine whether such progress, if any has been made, is worth what it is costing.

We again reiterate our belief that this program should not be further expanded either as to new concepts of application or as to level of service until the data necessary to evaluate its present operations are made available. This further emphasizes the point we have previously made that the scope of the evaluation program commenced during the

current year is inadequate and should be rapidly expanded.

Population

Additional capacity, accounting for estimated increases in average population, is to be added at Fricot Ranch School for Boys, Fred C. Nelles School for Boys, Paso Robles School for Boys and at Preston School of Industry. This additional capacity is expected to result in an increase of 25 in average population. Projected increases at the Southern California Reception Center and Clinic are said to result from adjustments of the length of stay of wards at the institution. An increase at Los Guilucos School for Girls is expected to result from an increase in the number of girl commitments to the Youth Authority, and the increase at the forestry camps is said to result from a change in Youth Authority policy which will result in a greater length of stay. These latter changes account for an increase of 56 in average population.

We note that the budget states (page 184, lines 6, 7 and 8), "The population of this facility (Southern California Reception Center) is estimated to increase from 269 to 280 during the 1956-57 Fiscal Year. This is primarily due to an expected adjustment in processing time to a normal level of approximately six weeks for the average ward." The actual average stay per ward at this institution is calculated at 6.53 weeks for 1955-56 and is expected to increase to 6.82 weeks for 1956-57. If this length of stay were to be adjusted to an average of six weeks as stated in the budget it would result in reducing population at this institution rather than increasing it. The effect of this increase in the length of stay on the budget of this institution and our recommendations with regard thereto are discussed in our analysis of that budget. However,

we wish to point out here that to the extent the average population of any one institution is overestimated, the total population of the Youth Authority will be overestimated. If the population of the Southern Reception Center is reduced in accordance with our recommendations, the over-all population estimate of the agency can be reduced to 2,348 wards rather than 2,430.

The length of stay at the forestry camps is proposed to be lengthened from an average of 14 weeks to 14.8 weeks. This is an increase of 5.6 days or 5.4 percent more time, per ward. We raise the question as to what considerations led to a change in policy which accomplishes such a nominal increase in length of stay. We believe that such policy changes should be based on consideration of the effect of length of stay on successful rehabilitation, on the statistics of failure on parole, and on a study of the time needed for the component parts of the program, and not on the general judgment factors of the responsible persons. At the projected per capita cost for forestry camp wards, this increase can be calculated to cost \$31,770.

The population at the Los Guilucos School for Girls is estimated to increase from an average of 160 for 1955-56 to 190 for the budget year. The reason given in the budget for this increase is "an expected increase in total girl population in the department. This increase will all be at this facility since the Ventura School for Girls has been operating at maximum capacity for several years." (Budget page 184, lines 30, 31, and 32.)

An examination of the population estimates for the two reception centers, however, show that girl admissions are to be the same in the budget year as in the current year. The same is true for parole returns and for transfer of processed cases. We understand that it is current Youth Authority policy to have all admissions both new commitments and returns from parole come through the reception centers and not directly to institutions. Some other sources, such as return from escape or transfer from another institution, may contribute additional institutional population; however, an examination of the monthly population movement figures contained in the monthly statistical summary for the Youth Authority for the current year to date indicate that very few wards are involved in this type of admission at either of the girls schools and that during the fiscal year these admissions are largely offset by departures of the same nature so as not to materially affect average population. Thus it would appear that no substantial increase in population at Los Guilucos should result from a general increase in girl population in the Youth Authority. We do note that an increase in length of stay at this institution, Los Guilucos School of Girls, is incorporated into the population estimate, and we would raise the question as to whether this is a change of policy. If it is a change of policy then in line with our discussion of the same problems at the forestry camps, we would also raise the question as to the reasons for this change of policy.

Further examination of the population estimates for the two reception centers and for the two girls schools shows the following interrelationship. Ventura School for Girls is expected to receive not over

225 clinic transfers in both 1955-56 and 1956-57. Los Guilucos is scheduled to receive not over 308 in 1955-56 and not over 335 in 1956-57. The two reception centers are scheduled to transfer a total of 533 cases in both 1955-56 and 1956-57. Thus in 1955-56 the category of admissions designated as "New commitments, returns, and clinic transfers" is exactly equal to the number of girls expected to be processed at the reception centers and transferred to the schools. However, in 1956-57 with the same number of girls to be processed and transferred and with the number of girls in the "New commitments, returns and clinic transfers" category remaining the same at Ventura, we find the number in the same category at Los Guilucos being increased by 27 and the total for the category at both schools amounting to 27 more wards than are expected to be transferred from the clinics. It is true that the designation of the category would seem to indicate that admissions from other sources than clinic transfers are included in the total. However, in 1955-56 the total of the category only equals the total of clinic transfers, and the totals of other categories such as "other returns" and "other transfers" appear to be more than ample to take care of admissions from all sources other than clinic transfers in both years. Thus again we are forced to conclude that the population estimate for Los Guilucos School for Girls is either inaccurate in that there will not be sufficient girls processed through the reception centers to enable this institution to achieve its forecast population or that an unexplained change of policy is expected to affect the total population of the institution.

The importance of accurate and fully justified population estimates is demonstrated by the fact that if the per capita cost for this school as shown in the budget is taken as the unit cost, an error of 30 in average daily population can be calculated to result in an overbudget-

ing of approximately \$130,000.

From the foregoing analysis, which by reason of limitations of time and available data is not necessarily complete, we conclude that the population estimate for Los Guilucos School for Girls is not necessarily more accurate than it was last year, when by agreement the agency did not refill certain positions which became vacant except on an agreed population ratio.

We therefore recommend that the agency and the Department of Finance re-examine the population estimate for this institution in relation to this analysis and if as a result it is determined that the estimate should be revised downward, that an agreement, similar to that in effect for the current year, be applied to the operation of this

school for the budget year.

The population estimates for all institutions indicate that with two minor exceptions the length of stay at all Youth Authority facilities is expected to increase. With the exception of the cases discussed above the increases are minor, but will contribute something to increased population and to increased costs.

The following table shows the amount and percentage by which this agency's actual populations have failed to come up to the estimates upon which its budget requests have been based in recent years:

Population	F	•	D 1 11	D 1 11	

Fiscal	Original	Actual	Decr	ease
year	estimate	population	Amount	Percent
1950-51	1,831	1,780	51	2.8
1951-52		1,812	38	2.1
1952-53		1,845	56	2.9
1953-54		1,783	227	11.3
1954-55		2,110	248	10.5
1955-56		2,349 *	140	5.6
1957	2,430			

^{*} Estimate for the current year as shown in the 1956-57 Budget.

This table shows the general unreliability of this agency's population forecasts in recent years. Particular note should be taken of the fact that the 1955-56 forecast has already been scaled down by 140 wards from that on which the current budget was based.

In our analysis of this budget last year we stated on page 226, "If the estimate of population for the budget year 1955-56 is no more reliable than in previous years, it seems unlikely that actual population for that period will exceed 2,350. In the absence of a change of policy by the Youth Authority itself, or some unforeseen emergency, we cannot agree with the estimate of 2,489 wards for 1955-56." Apparently, within approximately six months of the time this statement was disputed before the Legislature by the agency and the Department of Finance, they were forced to conclude that it was correct and revise their own estimate to within one ward of the figure we had set up. Again, if the previous pattern of experience is followed, the actual population for this current year will be below this re-estimated figure. Actual Youth Authority population at the end of December, 1955, was 2,246. We are not aware of presently unused or projected capacity which is or will become available during the remainder of 1955-56 which will accommodate the additional wards necessary to raise the average population by 103 in six months. Even if such capacity is or becomes available, the projected processing rates of the reception centers will not produce the necessary numbers of wards. Obviously, if the reestimated population for 1955-56 is not achieved, the estimate of an additional 81 wards over that re-estimate, based on capacity increases and policy changes for the 1956-57 Fiscal Year, is also wrong and the agency should not be budgeted on the basis of the budget estimate of population.

We have pointed out previously that population in the Youth Authority is a controllable factor. It is initially controllable by reason of the Youth Authority's statutory right to accept or reject commitments, and, secondly, by the adjustment of the length of stay of wards in institutions or other policy changes. Part of the increase in population which has already occurred in 1955-56 is the result of increased lengths of stay at several institutions. The agency can at any time render its own population estimates inaccurate or affect any appraisal of such estimates by simply changing in minor degree the length of stay at institutions or admissions or parole policies. When such changes occur after approval of the budget and without justification in terms of program requirements, we raise the question as to the validity of the

0,00

use of such population estimates as the basis for work load increases in the budget.

For example, the agency requested 69.1 new positions in the 1955-56 budget for an estimated population increase of 215 and 61.5 of these positions were authorized. It is now estimated that only 156 of the population increase originally estimated will materialize even by the end of the 1956-57 Fiscal Year. However, the agency is now requesting an additional 44.6 positions. This, in effect, is a request for 113.7 positions for an estimated population increase of 156 wards in two successive budgets presented on the basis that they did not contain requests for increased levels of service. Put another way, the agency is now estimating that population will decline 59 wards by the end of 1956-57 from the population that was budgeted for in 1955-56. Such a decline in population should be accompanied by a decrease in budget requests if level of service is to be maintained, but instead we find the agency requesting 44.6 more positions.

Salaries and Wages

This is the third successive year in which we must raise the question of the manner in which requests for additions to teaching staffs are submitted. In view of the inconsistency of application of the ratio of 15 pupils to one teacher among the various institutions, we recommended approval of teacher requests in the 1954-55 budget on an overall ratio with weighting of the ratio for special classes of teachers. An effort to obtain an agreement among the agency, the Department of Finance, and ourselves had not been successful in time for application to teacher requests in the 1955-56 budget, so that it was agreed to recommend as an expedient the positions necessary to preserve the 1953-54 level of service as expressed by the ratio of teaching positions to year end populations at the various institutions. It had been agreed among those concerned that future teacher requests should be based on projections of a.d.a. as shown by the attendance records of the schools. The teacher requests contained in this budget have not been supported with projections of actual a.d.a. They are supported by computations based on estimated average populations adjusted for anticipated assignments of wards. We are unable to reconcile these computations on the basis of the data available to us, and we are precluded from evaluating the utilization of present teaching staffs by the failure of the agency to provide a.d.a. experience data. Consequently, we have analyzed the teacher requests in this budget on an individual basis in relation to the institution for which they are asked and our recommendations appear in the analyses of the particular institutions affected.

We recommend that actual a.d.a. experience for all institutions having school programs be reported to the Legislative Auditor and the Department of Finance on a fiscal year basis, and that future teaching staff requests be based on projections of such a.d.a. experience.

3 Supervisors of Academic Instruction (Budget page 184, lines 42-44-46) _____\$18,180

These positions are requested to provide a supervisor of academic instruction at Youth Authority schools when average daily attendance

and population require 10 or more credentialed teachers at the 15 to 1 pupil-teacher ratio. This staffing ratio is the recommendation of a management analysis study. The positions are requested for the Fred C. Nelles School for Boys, the Paso Robles School for Boys, and the Los Guilucos School for Girls.

We recommend disapproval of these position requests.

Prior to the 1953-54 Fiscal Year, positions of supervisor of academic education were authorized at Paso Robles School for Boys and Los Guilucos School for Girls. In that year's budget the agency requested positions of assistant superintendent for these two schools. These were allowed as reclassifications of the existing supervisor of academic instruction positions to retain the responsibility for supervision of the education program but to have a broader scope of responsibility for the entire program at an institution including custody. The Fred C. Nelles School for Boys also at one time had a supervisor of academic instruction but reclassified that position to business manager.

Among the arguments advanced at the time of the creation of the positions of assistant superintendents at the two schools where they replaced supervisors of academic instruction were:

1. That the Fred C. Nelles School for Boys had such a position.

2. That the position in charge of education and training should be a top level key position because of the inter-relationship of the education and training program and custody.

3. That the superintendents did not have the time to devote to supervision of the education program and needed a position to take this

responsibility.

4. That an assistant superintendent eliminated the necessity for a supervisor of academic instruction.

When these assistant superintendent positions were created, a fairly logical administrative staff resulted, with the only exceptions being the Fricot Ranch School for Boys and the Ventura School for Girls. In the former case the size, physical layout and organization does not warrant an assistant superintendent where a supervisor of academic instruction carrying a half-time teaching load has responsibility for the education program. At Ventura, again, because of the smaller living units and decreased custody problems, an assistant superintendent is authorized rather than a head group supervisor, and a supervisor of academic instruction heads the education program which also has some special problems.

Now just three years after the question of the organization of top level school administration positions was apparently settled, we find the agency requesting positions which will again increase the level of administrative supervision at school institutions. Levels of administrative supervision at these institutions have been increased a number of times in recent years. Just last year assistant head group supervisors were added so as to provide 24-hour supervisorial coverage of custodial functions. This should have had the effect of relieving both the superintendent and the assistant superintendent of some of their direct responsibility for custody and made more time available for other duties.

Originally, the superintendents of these schools were conceived of as school superintendents. Part of their qualification is their academic administrative ability. The same is true of assistant superintendents. This also was part of the consideration in the allowance of assistant superintendents in lieu of supervisors of academic instruction. In view of these considerations we cannot concur in the agency's request to have both supervisors of academic instruction and assistant superintendents at these institutions. To allow these positions at this time will result in an increase in level of service for both the education function and the administrative supervision function. Justification for increases in these areas should be directly related to contribution to the objective of the program which is rehabilitation of wards. We do not believe that the agency is prepared at this time to show on a factual basis that any deficiency in either the function of education or of administrative supervision is related to lack of success on parole or in public school adjustment of paroled wards. The principal advantage claimed by the agency for the allowance of these positions is that they will narrow the area of responsibility for the assistant superintendent on the vague assumption that this will increase the effectiveness of administrative supervision, and that by having a separate position responsible for administration of the school program, the quality of the teaching will be improved. We again restate our position that requests for improvement in level of service in this program should be based on facts which show a commensurate improvement in accomplishment of the program objective.

This request is based on a management analysis report of the Department of Finance (AN 750.6). This report recommends that a standard be adopted for the creation of a position of supervisor of academic instruction for any Youth Authority school when the pupil-teacher ratio requires 10 or more credentialed teachers in the school.

Two principal reasons for the establishment of this standard are advanced by the report. One is that teaching in a Youth Authority school is more difficult than in a public school and consequently requires a supervisory position for 10 teachers. The other is that the educational and administrative responsibilities of the supervisor of a Youth Authority education program are such as to require a single responsible administrative position.

We cannot agree with either of these propositions as they are presented in the report. In support of the contention that teaching in Youth Authority schools is more difficult than in public schools, the report states that wards are generally educationally retarded and that there is a greater chronological age spread per class than in public schools and that classes are continuous so that all pupils in a class have not commenced the course at the same time. These may well be the facts; however, we do not believe that they necessarily demonstrate that teaching is more difficult in a Youth Authority school. While pupils may be educationally retarded, there has been no showing that they are not generally as intelligent as public school pupils and presumably can learn as well. Their educational retardation is ascribed by the report to truancy, dislike of school routine, and lack of respect for authority. While this may be the reason for educational retardation, we point out

that truancy is virtually impossible under the custody situation which exists in Youth Authority schools. Wards are customarily informed that early parole release will be judged on progress in school, among other things, which should have a tendency to cause the ward to suppress any inherent dislike of school routine. By the time the ward reaches a school situation he will have had several months of experience in Youth Authority reception centers and receiving units. A necessary part of the programs at these specialized functions is teaching the ward respect for authority, particularly the Youth Authority's authority.

The report also states that discipline problems are increased by the emotional disturbance and social maladjustment of the pupils. We point out that the Youth Authority teacher has access to custodial and supervisory personnel, on the grounds immediately adjacent to the school, who are skilled and expert in the problems of handling the discipline problems of Youth Authority wards. The teachers themselves are selected because of their abilities along these lines. The public school teacher normally does not have access to similar outside assistance in handling discipline problems. We also point out that this is one of the principal factors justifying the ratio of 15 pupils to one teacher, which is very low in comparison to normal public school standards. The low pupil-teacher ratio is also related to the difficulties inherent in continuous classes and above average chronological age spread. Additionally, however, we would point out that the same subject matter or course is taught despite the age spread in a class, and that while the age spread might affect rates of individual achievement or create unusual discipline problems, we do not think that the age spread is such a handicap as to completely offset the advantage of the lower teacherpupil ratio. The same line of reasoning applies to the question of continuous classes, with pupils starting and finishing at different times, creating as it does the necessity for more individual pupil attention.

We have spent considerable time discussing this question of the alleged difficulties of Youth Authority teaching as compared to public school teaching, not only in connection with this report, but because for years this argument has been advanced in support of teacher requests and capital outlay programs for school construction at Youth Authority institutions. There has as yet been no factual data advanced in support of the validity of these arguments, just as there is no such data in this report, and we maintain that the counter arguments as we have set them out above are at this point equally valid. If the agency wishes to continue to rely on these statements of the difficulty of Youth Authority teaching, we suggest that they assemble and produce facts as to the comparative numbers of schoolroom disciplinary problems encountered by the teachers, comparative rates of academic progress, truancy, absence on account of illness, destruction of property, disrespect for authority, and the various other schoolroom comparables upon which the question of the relative degree of difficulty of the teaching situation might be resolved.

We raise the question, however, as to what if anything the relative difficulty of the teaching situation in the classroom has to do with the ratio of teachers to supervisors. The problems discussed above, which

are the ones used in this AN report, relate to problems in the classroom, not in a supervisor's office. We do not think that the way to solve problems in the classroom is to provide more supervisors. We do think that if the problems discussed present real deficiencies in the Youth Authority school program, as shown by lack of educational and social progress by wards, then the Youth Authority should be studying and considering whether a continuation of classroom education patterned after public schools is a valid method of providing education for delinquent children.

The other point raised by this AN report that the job of supervision of the education program requires a single full-time position is based primarily on an alleged similarity between this proposed position and the principal of a public school. We point out the same objection to this argument as noted above in connection with teaching difficulties. No factual comparative data as to the actual work load of the two positions is presented. The problems and areas of responsibility of the proposed new positions are presented but whether these are greater than, equal to, or less than the same criteria for a principal of a public school employing a minimum of 10 teachers is not apparent, since no detail of what such a principal does is given. That the factors are even comparable rests on the unsupported subjective judgment of the author of the report.

The report states that there is no other position on the school staff which has as an absolute requirement the education, experience, and credential necessary to properly discharge these responsibilities. We have been under the impression that the superintendent and the assistant superintendent were so qualified. Particularly the latter, since this position was allowed in lieu of the superintendent of academic education. These two positions have been discharging the responsibility for the academic supervision of the school, and if their qualification is not a requirement of the position, we believe it should be made one rather than relieving them of one of their primary duties and most important single function. We also point out that there are, or should be, at least two positions on the staffs of these schools which share the responsibility for supervision of the educational program. This proposed staffing formula would include a third. On the basis of comparability with the public school situation, we do not believe that a school district with a single elementary school with a possible school population of from 100 to 300 would normally have a superintendent, an assistant superintendent, and a school principal. More usually the jobs of superintendent and principal would be combined into one position.

We cannot accept the recommendation of the AN report that a position of supervisor of academic instruction be automatically created whenever 10 or more credentialed teachers are required, at least not unless and until the recommendation is supported with the kind of factual comparative data we have discussed above.

We note from the budget (page 184, lines 53 and 54) that a total of 11.5 positions are being abolished. Ten being abolished at the Los Guilucos School for Girls are in conformity with our recommendations in last year's analysis.

Department of Youth Authority DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION

ITEM 64 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 185 Budget line No. 33

FOR SUPPORT OF DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	
Increase (7.8 percent)	\$124 853

Summary of Increase

		- INCREASE 1		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget Line page No.
Salaries and wages	\$99,442	\$84,916	\$14,526	191 9
Operating expense	36,415	35,135	1,280	191 10
Equipment	10,864	-10,864	·	191 11
Lèss: increased reimbursements	-140	140		191 16
Total increases	\$124,853	\$109,047	\$15,806	191 18
DECOMMENDATIONS				

RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted	\$1,715,913
Legislative Auditor's recommendation	1,700,107

Reduction ______ \$15,806

Departmental administration of the Department of the Youth Authority has its offices located in Sacramento. Functionally it is divided into three divisions, each under the direction of a deputy director. These divisions are the Division of Administration, the Division of Field Services, and the Division of Diagnosis and Treatment. The Youth Authority Board is located in departmental administration for administrative purposes.

The Division of Administration provides staff accounting service to the entire Youth Authority and operational accounting to two institutions, three camps, the Youth Authority Board, and departmental administration itself. It supervises and coordinates budget preparation and presentation and coordinates all interagency fiscal control and

staff service functions for the department.

The Division of Field Services is functionally organized into two bureaus—the Bureau of Probation and Delinquency Prevention Services and the Bureau of Paroles. The Bureau of Probation and Delinquency Prevention Services provides consultation services on problems of delinquency prevention to local communities and coordinates and standardizes practices, procedures and information among local agencies in the field of delinquency prevention. It administers the county juvenile camp subvention program and supervises the administration of the Interstate Compact on Juveniles and the Interstate Probation Compact as it applies to juveniles.

The Bureau of Paroles provides parole supervision to wards of the

Youth Authority who are paroled.

The Division of Diagnosis and Treatment supervises the operation of all institutions and is responsible for the training and treatment programs at those institutions. It also supervises the interfacility transportation of wards.

The recommended reduction of \$15,806 consists of the following amounts in the categories indicated:

		Bu	dget
Salaries and wages	Amount	Page	Line
Division of Administration:			
Personnel:			
1 Junior staff analyst	\$4,404	188	18.
1 Intermediate typist-clerk (existing position)	3,477	188	10
Accounting office:			
1 Intermediate stenographer-clerk (existing position	3,507	187	71
Division of Field Services:			
Bureau of Probation and Delinquency Prevention			
Services:			
1 Intermediate stenographer-clerk	3,138	188	75
4 Positions, reducing salaries and wages by	\$14,526		
	-		

It should be noted that even with the recommended deletions of the above four positions, the agency will still receive the benefit of a total or 12 new positions involving an increase of \$34,656 in salaries and wages.

		Budget		
Operating expenses	Amount	Page	Line	
Division of Field Services:			+,	
Bureau of Probation and Delinquency Prevention				
Services:			•	
Conferences and educational projects	\$800	189	14	
Division of Diagnosis and Treatment:				
Educational institutes and workshops	480	190	65	
	•			
Reduction in operating expenses	\$1,280			
Total reduction	\$15,806			

The following table presents a comparison of per capita administrative costs for the Fiscal Years 1946-47 through 1956-57.

	Per C	apita Costs			
Fiscal year	Institution population	$Total\ administrative\ costs*$	$Per \ capita \ cost$	Increa prior Amount	year
1946-47 1947-48 ‡		\$345,611 395,893	$\begin{array}{c} \$244 \\ 251 \end{array}$	<u>-</u> -	2.9
1948-49	1,620	427,093 448,617	264 263	13 —1	5.2 0.4
1950-51 1951-52	1,780	473,683 503,115	$\frac{266}{278}$	3 12	1.1 4.5
1952-53	1,845	549,178 576.168	298 323	$\frac{12}{20}$ $\frac{25}{25}$	7.2
1953-54 1954-55	2,110	744,144	353	30	$\frac{8.4}{9.3}$
1955-56 § 1956-57 †	a'	$836,746 \\ 896,172$	$\begin{array}{c} 356 \\ 369 \end{array}$	$\frac{3}{13}$	$\frac{0.8}{3.7}$

^{*} Exclusive of the Bureau of Paroles and contributions to State Employees' Retirement Fund.

The total expenditures for support of this facility are scheduled to increase \$134,153, or 8 percent.

Institutional population served by departmental administration is anticipated to average 2,430 wards, an increase of 81, or 3.4 percent.

[†] Budget request. ‡ Forty-hour week became effective. § Estimate as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$356 to \$369, an increase of \$13, or 3.7 percent.

Attention is directed to the fact that the 1956-57 total institutional population forecast of 2,430 wards, is 59 wards or 2.4 percent less than provided for on the basis of the 1955-56 Governor's Budget.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$1,296,101. This represents an increase of \$99,442, or 8.3 percent over the total of \$1,196,659 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 238.5 established positionsA total of 16 proposed new positions costing	49,182
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$99,442

A total of 238.5 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional 16 proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 7 percent in staff, as compared to a 3.4 percent increase in population at this facility.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 5 additional wards increase in institutional population.

When compared to the present level of staffing which is one position for each 10.2 wards it is apparent that the ratio of requested new positions to change in institutional population represents a 49 percent improvement in the level of service indicated by the existing ratio.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended by departmental administration.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Ward

Fiscal	Total	Total annual		Level of	ncreas prior	
year	$employees \ddagger$	man-hours	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1946-47	71.4	156,509	1,414	109.5		
1947-48*	75.6	134,266	1,580	83.8	25.7	-23.5
1948-49	80.3	142,613	1,620	86.8	3.0	3.6
1949-50	86.2	153,091	1,704	88.6	1.8	2.1
1950-51	. 87.0	154,512	1,780	85.6	3.0	-3.4
1951-52	87.6	155,578	1,812	85.9	0.3	0.4
$1952-53_{}$	87.6	155,578	1,845	84.3	1.6	1.9
1953-54	85.0	150,960	1,783	84.7	0.4	0.5
1954-55	90.5	160,728	2,110	76.2	8.5	10.1
1955-56§	101.5	180,264	2,349	76.7	0.5	0.9
1956-57†	. 103.5	183,816	2,430	75.7	1	-1.3

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed hydret request for 1956 57 t

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 75.7 hours per ward.

This is one hour, or 1.3 percent, below the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

[†] Budget request.

[‡] Exclusive of the Bureau of Paroles. § Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

The 16 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

		Bu	lget
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Division of Administration *1 Junior staff analyst Division of Field Services	\$4,404	188	18
Bureau of Probation and Delinquency Prevention Services			
2 Field representatives (expire June 30, 1957) *1 Intermediate stenographer-clerk (expire June	11,498	188	73
30, 1957)Bureau of Paroles	3,138	188	75
2 Parole officers III (1 effective September 1, 1956, and 1 effective June 1, 1957)	5,555	189	53
1 Parole officer II (effective October 1, 1956) 6 Parole officers I (1 effective July 1, 1956; 1 Jan- uary 1, 1957; 1 February 1, 1957; 1 April 1,	3,735	189	55
1957; and 1 May 1, 1957) 3 Intermediate stenographer clerks (1 effective	14,220	189	61
September 1, 1956; 1 February 1, 1957; and 1 June 1, 1957)	4,132	189	65
Division of Diagnosis and Treatment (0.5) Overtime (extraditions)	2,500	190	52
Totals	\$49,182		
* D. a. marandad for deletter			

^{*} Recommended for deletion.

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

	Sala	ries and wa	ges		F	er capita	costs	
.*	•.		Incred	ise	_		Incree	ase
4.				Per-	•			Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Division of ad-						1 .		
ministration *	\$325,632	\$337,464	\$11,832	3.6	\$1 39	\$139	·	
Division of Field								
Services	745,893	828,062	82,169	11.0	318	341	\$23	7.3
Division of								
Diagnosis and						٠.		
Treatment	$125,\!134$	130,575	5,441	4.3	53	54	1	0.9
773	91 100 070	#1:000 101	000 440	0.0	# E10	AFO 4		4 100
Totals	. , .	\$1,296,101	\$99,442	9.8	\$510	\$534	\$24	4.7
* Includes Youth Author	ority Board.							

The per capita cost for salaries and wages is scheduled at \$534 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$24, or 4.7 percent over the amount of \$510 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

1 Junior staff analyst (Budget page 188, line 18)_____ \$4,404

This position is requested to meet increased work load in the personnel office and to permit an increase in field review of personnel transactions. The position is also requested to relieve the personnel officer of part of the professional personnel work load which has increased in recent years.

The justification of this position in the 1956-57 Budget on page 186, lines 37 to 43, inclusive, states in part, "Certain duplicate records of the institution's personnel transactions which have been maintained in the central office will no longer be kept there. This position and the personnel officer will increase field review of personnel transactions to offset the need for duplicate records." This statement is apparently based on a report (No. A. N. 814) of the Management Analysis Section

of the Division of Budgets and Accounts of the Department of Finance. This report is the result of a study of the records and procedures of the Youth Authority's central personnel office. The report contains seven recommendations, among which are recommendations for the elimination of duplicate records and unnecessary review in the central office; for additional technical professional guidance in the field; and for the establishment of the requested position of junior staff analyst in the central personnel office. These three recommendations are related in that it is made to appear that the elimination of the duplication of records and review is dependent upon increased technical professional assistance and supervision in the field and that the increase in field work by professional staff is dependent upon the establishment of the requested position.

We recommend deletion of the position.

If allowed in accordance with the recommendation of the Management Analysis study and report, this position would be primarily concerned with giving professional guidance to institutional personnel work and to reviewing personnel transactions and practices of the schools. There are six Youth Authority schools with personnel work on a decentralized basis so as to require the kind of field supervision which the A. N. report recommends. We recognize that to the extent that field supervision and technical assistance has been needed in the past and not given, it is probable that upon the availability of such assistance and supervision it will be required on an intensive basis for an initial period of time during which the major deficiencies are corrected. We cannot agree that the supervision and technical assistance for six institutions with a total of seven clerical personnel employees will require the continuous full time of one professional personnel employee.

Nothing is indicated in the A. N. report as to the responsibility, duties, or work load of the personnel officer now authorized. Heretofore this position has been charged with full responsibility for the Central Office personnel function. With the reduction in work load for the unit occasioned by the elimination of duplication of records, it seems reasonable to assume that this position can again resume the technical assistance and supervision of institutions on a decentralized personnel accounting basis, as the position was originally conceived and as it

functioned when work load was less than it is now.

In its original justification for the requested position of junior staff analyst, the agency cited the fact that numerous studies and analyses are normally required by management but have not been supplied due to shortage of time and personnel. These are as follows:

1. Evaluation of reports of performance.

2. Accumulation of overtime and vacation records and relationships to post assignments.

3. Review and revision of job specifications to meet changing condi-

tions in the department.

4. Study and determination of proper salaries for employees being paid indeterminate rates.

5. Study of internal relationships of civil service classes used in the department.

6. Study of job surveys to determine proper job classifications.

7. Cooperate with deputy directors and especially the supervisor of education and training program in the development of inservice training, manuals, procedures, and techniques.

Obviously good management requires the kind of information outlined above; however, we raise the question of whether the departmental personnel officer is the proper source of such information. (1) We had understood that the evaluation of reports of performance was the responsibility of the supervisor of the employee concerned. (2) The accumulation of overtime and vacation credits and their relationship to post assignments is the responsibility of the institution whose operation is affected by the post assignment (3, 4, 5, and 6). These responsibilities are clearly those of the Personnel Board which should not be duplicated by the agency. It seems clear from the above that the existing position of personnel officer should be able to provide the additional field assistance and supervision and the additional service implied by No. 7 above. To the extent that No. 7 might not be accomplished during the initial period of more intense field supervision and assistance it could undoubtedly be accomplished thereafter.

Elsewhere in this analysis, in connection with the Personnel Board, we have pointed out what appears to us to be the lack of a clearly established policy or goal with respect to further centralization or decentralization of departmental personnel services. This request further illustrates the importance of studies by the Personnel Board and others which can be the basis for the establishment of a consistent objective. In any event, the proposed service would not justify an additional position at this time since it would be a new service which should be deferred until a General Session of the Legislature.

We suggest that the agency attempt to take full advantage of the savings resulting from the elimination of duplication of records by gaining at least one year's experience without augmenting staff. If on the basis of that experience deficiencies still exist, additional personnel can be justified on the basis of the actual time spent on the accomplishment of existing work load.

1 Intermediate typist-clerk (existing position) (Budget page 188, line 10)______\$3,477

The management analysis report referred to above also recommended that one existing clerical position in the personnel office be abolished and one clerical position in the personnel office on loan from the accounting office be returned to that office.

In view of the reduction of work load being accomplished by the elimination of duplication and in line with the management analysis study, which indicates that four clerical positions are sufficient to accomplish the revised clerical work load, we recommend the deletion of one intermediate typist-clerk, reducing salaries and wages by \$3,477.

1 Intermediate stenographer-clerk (existing position) (Budget page 187, line 31)_____ \$350

The clerical position loaned to the personnel office by the accounting office is a position of intermediate stenographer-clerk which was re-

quested by the agency in the 1955-56 Budget to work for the position of accounting officer II which was also requested in that budget. The Legislature denied the request for the accounting officer position but allowed the secretarial position. The justification for this position no longer exists.

We therefore recommend the deletion of the intermediate stenographer-clerk position in the accounting office.

2 Field representative (expire June 30, 1957) (Budget page 188, line 73)______\$11,498

These positions of field representative were authorized in the 1955-56 Governor's Budget to expire on June 30, 1956. Due to recruiting problems, these positions have only recently been filled. They were requested in the 1955-56 Budget on the basis of increased work load due to increasing state population, increasing delinquency rates, and increased numbers of local community personnel in law enforcement, probation, and juvenile halls and camps. We do not believe this justification is any more valid than it was last year when we recommended the deletion of the request. Continuation of the position was to be contingent upon the evaluation of a report of the increased effectiveness of the whole program of this bureau resulting from the addition of these positions. Their continuance is now requested on the basis that there will be insufficient time to prepare the required report and evaluation prior to the automatic expiration of the original authorization on June 30, 1956.

We recommend approval of the extension of these two field representative positions on the condition that further extension will not be requested by the agency unless the required report has been submitted in time to permit evaluation as part of the analysis of such a request for continuance.

The situation presented by this request for an additional year's extension of positions authorized for one year is an example of what almost invariably occurs when such a limitation is imposed conditional on the submission of a report of performance. The necessary schedule of budget preparation and analysis simply will not permit the inevitable request for extension to permanent to be considered in the following year's budget, where the performance of the temporary positions is to be a factor. Such a limitation is imposed during the legislative session in the spring of the year affecting a position which cannot be utilized before July 1 of the same year. Preparation of the budget in which a request for continuation of the position on a permanent basis or for an additional year is made, will probably also commence in the same July that the position authorization becomes effective. In no event can the positions have been in existence for more than six or eight months when the Legislature must again decide whether to continue or abolish the positions. Where performance is a factor it is invariably of too short duration to permit the agency to intelligently evaluate its own request.

We suggested last year that the agency should study whether on an objective factual basis the achievements of this Youth Authority function of delinquency prevention can be measured, and we again urge the

agency to appraise the value of this function in terms of its contribution to the over-all objective of rehabilitation of delinquent youth.

1 Intermediate stenographer-clerk (Budget page 188, line 75)__ \$3,138

The intermediate stenographer-clerk is requested to provide stenographic service to the two field representatives allowed in 1955-56 on a one-year basis.

We recommend deletion of the position.

The same position was requested last year and was deleted by the Legislature at the same time and as part of the same consideration which resulted in the allowance of the two field representatives. We pointed out last year that there are a total of 5.5 clerical positions authorized for a total professional staff of 10. With the addition of two professional positions there will be 5.5 clerical positions for 12 professional persons. This is a ratio of 0.9 clerical positions to each two professional positions. While this is slightly below the ratio of one to two usually applied, we do not believe it is sufficiently low to warrant the addition of a full position. We pointed out last year that these professional positions of necessity spend considerable time away from their headquarters offices resulting in less clerical service being required than when such service is utilized on an everyday basis.

2 Regional supervisors of paroles (Budget page 189, line 35) ___\$14,016

These two positions appear in the 1956-57 Budget as authorized positions in both 1955-56 and 1956-57. They are not shown in the 1955-56 Budget as either authorized or proposed new positions. This classification of employee has not been previously included among the budgeted positions for the Bureau of Paroles. A total of 11 supervising placement officers was authorized by the 1955 Budget Bill for the bureau. The present budget shows nine parole officer III positions (formerly supervising placement officer). Thus, it appears that the two regional supervisor of parole positions result from a reorganization of the parole function. This is further evidenced by changes in the numerical relationships between other grades of parole officers.

We believe that such organizational changes involving groups of related employees at differing salary ranges should be justified in the budget inasmuch as any change to a greater or lesser degree of supervisorial service in relation to lower grades of employees may affect the cost of the entire function. It will also affect the level of service of the various aspects of the program. No justification, as such, of the appar-

ent organizational realignment appears in the budget.

The approximate increase in the cost of salaries and wages for parole officers in 1955-56 as shown in the 1956-57 Budget over that authorized by the 1955-56 Budget is \$7,247. This results from the creation of the two new positions of regional supervisor noted heretofore at higher salaries than have previously prevailed for the authorized class from which they were created, together with increased salary ranges for the three previously authorized grades of parole officers, offset by a reduction in the authorized number of parole officers grade II.

While generally we would agree that the disposition of authorized personnel is a matter for administrative determination, where, as here,

the changes result in a reorientation of the emphasis of the program and in increased costs we believe that the changes should be specifically justified in the budget.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$382,365 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$36,415, or 9.5 percent, over the amount of \$345,950 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

Youth Authority-Departmental Administration

	O_{I}	erating e	xpenses		Per capita costs			
	-		Incr	ease Per-			Incre	ase Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Division of Administration* _ Division of Field	\$124,975	\$147,480	\$22,505	18.0	\$53	\$60	\$7	14.1
Services Division of Diagnosis and	189,625	205,365	15,740	8.3	78	84	6	8.3
Treatment	31,350	29,520	1,830	5.8	13	12	1	-7.7
Totals* * Includes Youth Authority		\$382,365	\$36,415	10.5	\$144	\$156	\$12	8.3

The per capita cost for operating expenses is scheduled at \$156 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$12, or 8.3 percent, over the amount of \$144 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Conferences and Educational Projects

(Budget page 189, line 14)_____\$3,900

Included in this amount is \$800 for probation indoctrination courses at Asilomar. These courses had been previously financed through the Department of Social Welfare from funds made available by the Federal Government. This same amount of money was requested in the 1955-56 Governor's Budget for the same purpose on the grounds that federal funds were no longer available to support the activity. The amount was deleted from the 1955-56 Budget by specific action of the Legislature, but are again requested in the 1956-57 Budget and again the reason advanced is that federal funds are not available.

We recommend the deletion of \$800 from this item to reduce the amount to \$3,100.

This item has been budgeted at the same level of service for several years. To assume the responsibility for an activity previously supported by the Federal Government is to increase the level of service supported by the State. In the face of the general policy of not permitting increases in levels of service at the Budget Session of the Legislature, we cannot recommend the assumption of this added cost by the State. We do not believe the State should accept the line of reasoning that it must continue to support programs previously supported by federal funds simply because federal funds have been withdrawn.

Clothing (including foster home placements)

(Budget page 189, line 78) ______\$21,170 Assistance to Parolees (Budget page 189, line 79) _____\$22,405

The first of these items is for the purpose of supplying parolees with suitable clothing for school, work, or job hunting. This is in addition to the clothing furnished parolees at the time they are paroled. Each institution budgets an item for the latter purpose. Assistance to parolees is for the purpose of making cash advances to parolees.

We note that in response to our recommendations of the past several years this budget contains an item of reimbursement—Repayment of advances to parolees (Budget page 191, line 16). Such reimbursements are calculated to amount to \$1,390 or 6.5 percent of the amount to be advanced. The Board of Trustees for Women, who have a similar problem, are estimating a 59.7 percent recovery for 1956-57 based on an actual recovery record of 49 percent in 1954-55. The Adult Authority estimates a 30 percent recovery for 1956-57 based on an actual recovery of 29.6 in 1954-55.

We have also recommended for a number of years that a positive program be started by the Youth Authority for the recovery of a greater portion of these advances. The requirement that such advances be repaid on an installment basis by those wards who are gainfully employed would be a positive step toward inculcating a sense of moral and financial responsibility into parolees and unquestionably assist in bringing about their ultimate rehabilitation.

Educational Institutes and Workshops (Budget page 190, line 65)_\$980

No justification of the increase in this item appears in the budget.

We recommend the reduction of this amount by \$480.

The level of service for this purpose has been \$500 for a number of years and was at that amount when the item was transferred to the Division of Diagnosis and Treatment from the Bureau of Probation and Delinquency Prevention Services in the 1955-56 Budget. At that time the agency requested that an additional \$500 be added to the item, making it a total of \$1,000. The Legislature deleted \$500 to maintain the previous level of service for the current year. Any increase this year is also an increase in level of service. No explanation or justification of the increase has been received by this office.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$38,837 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$10,864 under the amount of \$49,701 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$38,837 for equipment, the sum of \$14,679 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$24,158 is for additional

equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$66,646 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$38,837, a reduction of \$27,809, or 41.7 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Department of the Youth Authority CALIFORNIA YOUTH COMMITTEE

ITEM 65 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 185 Budget line No. 46

FOR PER DIEM AND OTHER CURRENT EXPENSES FOR THE CALI-FORNIA YOUTH COMMITTEE FROM THE GENERAL FUND

FORNIA YOUTH COMMITTEE FROM THE GENERAL FUND Amount requested Estimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$4,000 4,000
Increase	None
RECOMMENDATIONS	
Amount budgeted	\$4,000 4,000
Reduction	None

ANALYSIS

The California Youth Committee is organized to meet periodically to consider problems in the field of juvenile delinquency. We are unable to report whether any conclusions of value have been forthcoming as a result of the committee's deliberations. No reports of their activities have come to our attention despite the recommendation contained in last year's analysis of the budget that some factual justification of this item should be included.

We repeat our recommendation of last year that the benefit of the activity of this committee be made available to the Legislature and that justification of this request be included in the budget.

Department of the Youth Authority

DEPORTATION OF NONRESIDENTS COMMITTED TO THE YOUTH AUTHORITY

ITEM 66 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 185 Budget line No. 52

FOR DEPORTATION OF NONRESIDENTS COMMITTED TO THE YOUTH AUTHORITY FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$32,000 32,000
Increase	None
RECOMMENDATIONS	
Amount budgetedLegislative Auditor's recommendation	\$32,000 32,000
Reduction	None

ANALYSIS

These funds are expended to defray the cost of returning nonresident juvenile delinquents to the state of their origin. The amount requested appears to be reasonable in view of prior experience with this activity and of possible added costs of the compact on juveniles. The possibility exists that some of this cost may ultimately be borne by those states belonging to the Interstate Compact on Juveniles (which was approved by the Legislature at the 1955 General Session) to the extent

Deportation of Nonresidents—Continued

that such states request the return of juveniles who have run away, escaped, or absconded.

We recommend approval of the budget as submitted.

Deparment of the Youth Authority

TRANSPORTATION OF PERSONS COMMITTED TO THE YOUTH AUTHORITY

ITEM 67 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 185 Budget line No. 58

FOR TRANSPORTATION OF PERSONS COMMITTED TO THE YOUTH AUTHORITY FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requested Estimated to be expended in 1955-56 I		\$62,600 62,600
Increase	 	None
RECOMMENDATIONS		
Amount budgetedLegislative Auditor's recommendation		\$62,600 62,600
Reduction	 	None

ANALYSIS

R

These funds are expended primarily to defray the cost of delivery of committed wards to youth authority reception centers by sheriffs and other law enforcement officials. The present request is \$7,600, or 10.8 percent below the amount of \$70,200 originally requested for the current year.

We recommend approval of the budget as submitted.

Department of the Youth Authority

MAINTENANCE OF PERSONS COMMITTED TO THE YOUTH AUTHORITY AND PAROLED TO THE CUSTODY OF PRIVATE HOMES

ITEM 68 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 185 Budget line No. 22

FOR SUPPORT OF MAINTENANCE OF PERSONS COMMITTED TO THE YOUTH AUTHORITY AND PAROLED TO THE CUSTODY OF PRIVATE HOMES FROM THE GENERAL FUND

	Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$101,000 54,400
	Increase (85.7 percent)	\$46,600
R	ECOMMENDATIONS	
	Amount budgeted	\$101,000 101,000
	Padvation -	None

These funds are expended to care for Youth Authority wards who are paroled to the custody of foster homes.

ANALYSIS

The law currently provides for payment up to \$65 per month per ward with provision for greater payment if needed in individual cases, upon approval by the Department of Finance. Heretofore some of the

Maintenance of Committed Persons-Continued

wards so paroled to foster homes have been eligible for aid to needy children under a program of the Department of Social Welfare and payments for the care of such wards have in fact come from the appropriation for that program. The program, however, has not been able to participate in federal funds for aid to needy children for these wards. Consequently, it has been decided to pay for the care of all wards paroled to foster homes from the appropriation for the Youth Authority for that purpose, and not to include any such parolees in the Department of Social Welfare Aid to Needy Children program. This transfer has the advantage of simplifying the administration of both programs.

We recommend approval of the amount requested.

However, we again point out that the amount requested is based on the estimated increase in parole case load. We think a more accurate estimate of the case load could be made, based on actual prior experience projected to reflect known additional foster home capacity.

The following table shows the amounts authorized, the current re-

estimates and actual expenditures since 1949-50:

	Request	Re-estimate	Actual	Percentage error in original estimate
1949-50	50,000	50,000	31,518	37.0
1950-51	50,000	32,800	24,801	51.4
1951-52	. 33,100	26,780	32,078	3.1
1952-53	28,520	35,290	43,899	53.9
1953-54	38,500	59,000	53,617	39.2
1954-55	71,400	59,000	43,773	38.6
1955-56	64,600	54,400		15.4
1956-57	. 101,000		'	
				
Average percentage differen	ıce			34.1

We believe the cost of this program should be more closely estimated than has been the case for the last several years.

Department of Youth Authority NORTHERN CALIFORNIA RECEPTION CENTER AND CLINIC

ITEM 69 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 192 Budget line No. 6

FOR SUPPORT OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA RECEPTION CENTER AND CLINIC FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$722,491 700,670
Increase (3.1 percent)	\$21.821

Summary of Increase

	- *	INCREASE I		
•	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget Line page No.
Salaries and wages	\$28,160	\$26,090	\$2,070	196 9
Operating expense	6,325	-6,325		196 10
Equipment	-14	-14		196 11
Total increase	\$21,821	\$19,751	\$2,070	196 22

Northern California Reception Center—Continued RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted	\$722,491 720,421
Reduction	\$2,070

This institution, located at Perkins, five miles east of Sacramento, is one of the two such institutions operated by the Youth Authority to receive, study, classify and recommend the program for wards and returned parolees entering the Youth Authority program.

Emergency and some remedial medical treatment as well as initial

psychiatric treatment is provided in appropriate cases.

The primary objective of this type of institution and program is to insure the maximum opportunity for successful training, treatment and rehabilitation of wards at the various Youth Authority schools.

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$2,070 consists of the following amounts in the categories indicated:

			Buc	lget
	Salaries and Wages	Amount	Page	Line
	Temporary help—food serviceGroundsman and flower gardener		193 195	73 55
$\overline{0.5}$	Positions, reducing salaries and wages by	\$2,070		

Per Capita Costs

Fiscal	Institution	$Per \ capita$	$Increa \\ prior$	se over year	Number wards	Cost per ward	Increase o prior yea	
year	population	cost	Amount	Percent	processed	processed	Amount Per	rcent
1953-54	6							
$1954-55_{}$	123	\$5,764		,	1,220	\$521		
1955-56*_	127	5,819	\$655	12.7	1,312	563	\$42	8
$1956-57\dagger$	127	6,006	187	3.2	1,316	580	17	3

* Estimate as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

† Budget request.

The total support budget of this facility is scheduled to increase \$23,821, or 3.2 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 127 wards, the

same as in the current year.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$5,819 to \$6,006, an increase of \$187, or 3.2 percent, while the cost per ward processed increases from \$563 to \$580, an increase of \$17, or 3 percent.

At the time the 1955-56 Governor's Budget for this facility was presented it contemplated a per capita cost figure of \$5,389 for an average population of 135 wards and a per ward processed cost of \$617 for a total of 1,115 wards.

Now on the basis of the 1956-57 Governor's Budget it appears that the per capita cost for 1955-56 has been revised to \$5,819 for an average population of 127 wards, an increase of \$430 or 7.9 percent. Per ward processed costs are reduced to \$563 for 1,316 wards, a reduction of \$54, or 8.75 percent.

The increase in number of wards processed and the decrease in average population together with the changes in per capita cost and ward processed cost are the result of operating this facility at less than its

capacity and from processing wards in less time than had been antici-

pated when the 1955-56 Budget was presented.

The average length of stay at this facility is projected as 5.02 weeks per ward. This is the composite of an average stay of 5.84 weeks for girls and an average stay of 4.76 weeks for boys. These figures are now calculated the same for both the current and the budget years, being based on the same populations. The current year was estimated on the basis of an average length of stay of 6.3 weeks when the 1955-56 Governor's Budget was presented.

On the basis of the projected population for this institution, the physical plant and staff not directly related to processing will not be

fully utilized, resulting in high per capita costs.

We suggest if the forecast population is to be the maximum feasible of attainment at this institution, that the agency develop operating procedures reducing staff and related costs proportionately.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$575,440. This represents an increase of \$28,160, or 5.1 percent over the total of \$547,280 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 116 established positions	
A total of 0.5 proposed new position costing	2,070
A change in estimated salary savings of	2,600
Total inguage in calaries and wages	\$28 160

A total of 116 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional 0.5 proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 0.4 percent in staff, as compared with no increase in population at this facility.

The 0.5 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

		Bu	dget
Functions and Positions	Amount	Page	Line
Support and subsistence *(0.2) Temporary help (food service assistants)	\$540	193	73
Maintenance and operation	•		
Maintenance of grounds			,
*O.5 (Groundsman and flower gardener)			
(6 months)	1,530	195	55
O.5 Total	\$2,070		
* Recommended for deletion.			

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

	Salaries and Wages				. Б	Per Capita Cost				Per Ward Processed Cost			
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Increa Amount	Per- cent	1955- 56	1956- 57	Incre Amt.		1955 56	- 1956 57		rease Per- cent	
Administration Support and sub-	\$38,347	\$40,501	\$2,154	6	\$301	\$319	\$18	6	\$29	\$31	\$2	6	
sistence Care and welfare_ Maintenance and	39,976 427,742	42,305 449,711	2,329 21,969	6 5	315 3,368	333 3,541	18 173	6 5	30 326	$\frac{32}{341}$	16	7 5	
operation of plant	41,215	42,923	1,708	4.1	324	337	13	4	32	33	2	6	
Totals	\$547,280	\$575,440	\$28,160	5	\$4,308	\$4,530	\$222	5	\$417	\$437	\$22	5	

The per capita cost for salaries and wages is scheduled at \$4,530 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$222, or 5 percent, over the amount of \$4,308 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

The per ward processed cost for salaries and wages is scheduled at \$437 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$22, or 5 percent, over the amount of \$417 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility:

Total Level of Service—Employee Hours Available per Ward

		Aver-		Increase	e over			Increase	s over
		age		prior y	ear			prior y	ears
Fiscal uear	Total em-	popu- lation	•	Amount	Per-	Wards processed	Level of		Per-
1954-55		123	1,500			1,220	151		
1955-56	_ 116	127	1,622	122	8.1	1,312	157	6	4
$1956-57_{-}$	$_{-}$ 116.5	127	1,629	7	0.4	1,316	157.2	0.2	0.12

The 1956-57 budget request reflects a level of service of 1,629 hours per ward and 157.2 hours per ward processed. This is an increase of 7 hours, or 0.4 percent per ward and 0.2 hours or 0.12 percent per ward processed over the level now scheduled for 1955-56. It is 77 hours, or 4.9 percent per ward above and 30.8 hours or 16.4 percent per ward processed below the levels upon which the 1955-56 Budget was presented.

This further emphasizes the point we made, in connection with per capita cost trends, that it is necessary to bring the staffing patterns and population trends at this institution more nearly in line.

(0.2) Temporary help (Food service assistants) (Budget page 193 Line 73) _____ \$540

This amount of food service assistant temporary help is requested by the agency to finance vacation and sick leave relief for the two existing positions of food service assistant.

We recommend deletion of this amount.

This institution has operated since it was opened in June of 1954 with a total of 2 food service assistant positions, consequently any increase in this category without a comparable increase in work load factors is an increased level of service. Increases in this level of service have been requested by the agency in both the prior budgets which have been submitted by the agency since the opening of the institution and have been denied by the Legislature.

The duties of these positions are primarily supervisory in nature with the principal duty being the supervision of wards in the performance of common culinary chores such as table setting, clean up after meals, and cleaning to maintain sanitary conditions in the dining areas. Additional supervision in this area should be accomplished by other personnel on a temporary assignment basis when necessary.

Relief for vacation, sick leave, holidays or regular days off is not provided for this class of employees at any of the other Youth Authority institutions where they are employed.

0.5 Groundsman and flower gardener (six months) (Budget page 195 Line 55) _____ \$1,530

This position is requested to assist in maintaining the 10 acres of landscaped grounds at this institution.

We recommend deletion of this position.

This request is based primarily on the fact that there are 10 acres of lawns, shrubs and trees at this facility. These are described as being divided 5.5 acres within the security fence and 4.5 acres outside the security fence. It is stated in justification of the request that wards are being used to care for the small lawn and shrub areas inside the security fence but that they cannot be used outside this fence because of the possibility of escapes. Thus it would appear that the groundsman must spend most of his time on the outside areas. Whether this is actually the case is unclear since it is also stated that during the mowing season the groundsman spends four days a week operating a power lawn mower, and it seems unlikely that the 4.5 acres outside the fence would require four days a week to mow with a power mower.

We believe in any event, however, that the job of landscape maintenance, including all the lawn mowing, inside the security area should

be accomplished with ward labor.

If the policy prevailing at other Youth Authority institutions is in force at this reception center, the lawn areas and permanent trees and shrubs adjacent to employees' houses are maintained by the institution. We believe this is usually done by ward labor at other institutions, with the exception of the Southern California Reception Center, even though.

outside the security areas.

We have not previously raised a question concerning this policy because where ward labor is used we have believed that the rehabilitative benefits to wards of useful labor, coupled with the very nominal additional maintenance costs involved, justified a policy which in effect subsidized a certain group of employees. However, where civil service employees are utilized directly to perform the labor of caring for the landscaping adjacent to employees' houses and an additional position is being asked for because of alleged deficiencies in the general level of landscape maintenance, we recommend that the agency adopt a policy prohibiting the use of paid civil service employees to perform this work for the benefit of other employees.

The housing provided by the State at most of its institutions is generally comparable or superior in quality to that available privately in the area, and is rented to employees at rates which are far below the rates for comparable housing. This differential is of substantial monetary value, in most cases, to the relatively small number of employees who rent such houses and we do not believe that it should be augmented by the performance of work for the benefit of the employee by

paid civil service employees.

The question of the problems of using ward help and of the potential cost of grounds maintenance should have been a consideration in the planning of this institution, and the amount of landscaping outside the security area related to these factors. Apparently this was not done. The ratio of average population at this institution to acres of landscaping on the basis of presently budgeted capacity is only 12.7 wards per acre. It should have been apparent in the planning stage that due to the contemplated short length of stay and the security factor as well as the

nature of the Reception Center program, maintenance of landscaping by ward help would not be possible to the same extent as at other institutions and the area or kind of landscaping adjusted accordingly.

To the extent that it is now believed to be necessary to continue maintaining this landscaping since it has been put in place, we suggest that the institution begin to convert the lawn areas to some type of ground cover which will eliminate the mowing and clipping disposal problems. Several such ground covers, including dichondra, are now being widely used for this very purpose. Watering problems should be minimized by the use of sprinkler systems, the cost of which can be amortized many times over by the savings in paid labor where that is necessary. The same line of reasoning should dictate the selection of trees and shrubs, and conversion to those requiring the least pruning, trimming, and leaf raking should be commenced immediately. Where the purpose of the landscaped area is to provide a cleared area for fire protection and to prevent escapes, the area should be cleared by plowing and kept clear by cultivation.

We believe that if the institution will follow these suggestions and recommendations it can avoid the continuing and increasing cost of landscape maintenance as reflected by this request for a half-time

position.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$152,505 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$6,325, or 4 percent, under the amount of \$158,830 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Operating Expense

	Operating Expense				Per Capita Cost				Per Ward Processed Cost			
			Incre				Incr	ease			Incre	
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	Per- cent	1955- 56	1956- 57	Amt.	Per- cent	1955- 56	1956- 57	Amt.	Per- cent
Administration Support and sub-	\$17,815	\$17,825	\$10	0.06	\$140	\$140			\$14	\$14		
sistence Care and welfare Maintenance and	76,780 35,525	70,465 35,525	6,315 	8.2	$\begin{array}{c} 605 \\ 280 \end{array}$	555 280	—\$50 -	8.3 	$\begin{array}{c} 58 \\ 27 \end{array}$	53 - 27	—\$5 	—8.6
operation of plant	28,710	28,690	-20	0.06	226	226			22	22		
Totals	\$158,830	\$152,505	\$6,325	-4	\$1,251	\$1,201	\$50	4	\$120	\$116 -	-\$4	-3.3

The per capita cost for operating expenses is scheduled at \$1,201 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$50, or 4 percent, under the amount of \$1,251 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

The per ward processed cost for operating expenses is scheduled at \$116 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$4 or 3.3 percent under the amount of \$120 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$2,136 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$14 under the amount of \$2,150 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$2,136 for equipment, the sum of \$1,050 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$1,086 is for additional

equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$6,207 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$2,136, a reduction of \$4,071, or 65.6 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Department of the Youth Authority SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA RECEPTION CENTER AND CLINIC

ITEM 70 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 197 Budget line No. 6

FOR SUPPORT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA RECEPTION CENTER AND CLINIC FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$1,105,916 1,047,069
Increase (5.6 percent)	\$58,847

Summary of Increase

	INCREASE DUE TO							
,	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line No.			
Salaries and wages	\$46,702	\$39,046	\$7,656	201	8			
Operating expense	11,360	11,360	******	201	9			
Equipment	785	7 85		201	10			
Total increase	\$58,847	\$51,191	\$7,656	201	21			

RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted	\$1,105,916
Legislative Auditor's recommendation	1,098,260

Reduction _______ \$7,656

This institution is one of the Youth Authority's two reception center clinics. It serves the southern part of the State, being located at Norwalk in the Los Angeles area. All Youth Authority wards are received initially or upon return from parole at one of these reception institutions for study as to delinquency characteristics and recommendation of training and treatment program. Remedial or emergency medical and dental, and initial psychiatric treatment are provided if necessary.

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$7,656 consists of the following amounts in the category indicated:

		Bu	dget	
Salaries and Wages	Amount	Page	Line	
1 Intermediate typist-clerk	\$3,138	199	75	
1 Dental assistant	2,988	199	76	
0.5 Groundsman and flower gardener	1,530	200	55	
			· .	
95 Positions reducing salaries and wages by	\$7 656			

It should be noted that even with the recommended deletions of the above 2.5 positions, the agency will still receive the benefit of one new position involving an increase of \$3,138 in salaries and wages.

			Per C	apita Co	sts			
Fiscal	Inst.	$Per \ capita$	Increa prior	se over year	Wards	Cost per	Increase prior	
year	pop.	cost	Amount	Percent	processed	ward	Amount	Percent
	171	\$4,504			-,	\$711		ON 0
1955-56*	269	6,414	1,910	42.5	2,143	51 5	<i>—196</i>	-27.6
1956-57†	280	6,602	188	2.9	2,143	544	29	5.6
* Fatimated as aboun	- 1050	EF Dudant		-				

^{*} Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

† Budget request.

The total expenditures for support of this facility are scheduled to increase \$62,147, or 5.6 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 280 wards, an increase of 11, or 4.1 percent. The number of wards processed is estimated to remain at the same level as the current year, 2,143.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$6,414 to \$6,602, an increase of \$188, or 2.9 percent, and in the cost per ward processed in-

creasing from \$515 to \$544, an increase of \$29 or 5.6 percent.

According to the budget estimates of population for Fiscal Years 1955-56 and 1956-57, furnished by the Bureau of Criminal Statistics of the Department of Justice, the total number of wards processed should be 2,134 rather than the 2,143 shown in the budget on page 197, line 38. The rate of turnover will decline from 7.97 for 1955-56 to 7.62 for 1956-57. This decline will result in the average length of stay at this institution increasing from 6.53 weeks in 1955-56 to 6.82 weeks in 1956-57.

The average stay of 6.82 weeks estimated for 1956-57 is a composite of an average stay of seven weeks for boys and an average stay of 5.83 weeks for girls. We point out that the average length of stay for girls at both the Northern and Southern Reception Centers is almost identical, whereas there is a significant difference in the time thought to be necessary to process boys through the two institutions. The length of stay for the northern institution is only 4.76 weeks, while that in the south is seven weeks. This difference of 2.24 weeks or 45 percent more time for boys at the southern institution should be reviewed by the agency in relation to the fact that these institutions were established to perform an identical function for Youh Authority wards regardless of what part of California they come from. If the reason for this difference is that additional services or different standards of performance exist at the Southern Reception Center, the result will be a difference in the characteristics of the wards processed which will violate the principle inherent in the establishment of two institutions to perform a single function.

If the Northern California Reception Center is doing a satisfactory job of processing male wards in an average of 4.76 weeks, we think that population for the Southern Reception Center shauld be calculated on the same basis and the procedures at that institution revised to bring about the improved processing rate. An improved processing rate at any institution may result in either more wards being processed, pro-

vided there is no limitation on the intake, or in less average population if there is such a limitation. The number of wards processed by these reception centers is limited by the capacities of the schools to which these wards are sent at any given time. Thus, if the length of stay per male ward is brought more nearly in line with that at the Northern Reception Center, the average male population will be less than forecast. Based on an average stay of 4.76 weeks, as in the northern institution, the processing of 1,822 boys will result in an average population of only 167 boys. Adding the estimated average girl population of 35

gives a total institutional population of 202.

During 1954-55 this institution processed boys with an average length of stay of 5.9 weeks. On the basis of the population figures presented in support of the 1955-56 budget request it was apparent that the institution would operate substantially below its capacity. As a result, it was agreed by the agency that one 50-boy dormitory at the institution would be closed by not refilling the custody positions required for one dormitory as they became vacant, until average boy population at the institution reached 220. This figure was the highest figure which the agency felt would leave a sufficient margin for day-to-day population fluctuations with only five boys' dormitories in use. Had the agency continued to process boys at even the 5.9 weeks' stay achieved in 1954-55 instead of the 6.7 weeks which is now the basis for the 1955-56 Budget estimate, the average boy population for 1955-56 would be only 207 instead of the 234 which is now estimated and this dormitory could have remained closed during all of 1955-56. Even with the increased length of stay, average daily population has been below the 220 figure agreed upon in four of the first six months of the 1955-56 Fiscal Year. It is quite clear that the increased average daily boys' population at the institution and the resulting reopening of the dormitory and refilling of positions is the result of the administration permitting a length of stay substantially in excess of the 6.3 weeks which would have resulted from the budget figures.

We recommend that the agency immediately bring the operating procedures at this institution in line with those at the Northern California Reception Center so as to reflect approximately the same length of stay

for boys at both institutions.

We also recommend that hereafter the agency include in its monthly statistical reports on population the average lengths of stay per ward at the reception centers on a monthly and a year-to-date basis.

If the above recommendation to reduce the average length of stay of wards at this institution to comparability with the Northern Reception Center is followed, it is possible that average population will be low

enough to permit the closing of two boys' dormitories.

We therefore recommend that of the authorized positions of the group supervisor class (boys) which become vacant while average daily boys' population is below 220, one senior group supervisor and seven group supervisor positions not be filled while average boys' population is below 220; and further, that of additional group supervisor positions (boys) which become vacant while average daily doys' population is

below 176, one senior and seven group supervisor positions not be filled while average boys' population is below that figure.

Upon the attainment of such population levels the decision to fill vacant positions should be supported by adequate evidence that more total admissions are being received than had been anticipated and that this trend will continue.

One of the objections advanced by the agency in connection with our recommendation for not refilling vacant positions last year was that actually the capacity of the dormitories at this institution was only 48 rather than the 50 for which rooms were originally provided because of the necessity to use two of the rooms for storage. At that time a number of ideas for providing storage space and freeing these rooms for ward use were discussed. The agency indicated it would make every effort to do so. However, figures submitted by the agency on the capacity of this institution show that five of these dormitories are calculated now to have only 47-bed capacity, indicating that three rooms are being used for other purposes than ward sleeping rooms. One dormitory is calculated at 48-bed capacity and the girls' dormitory at 45. This results in a total of 22 rooms being diverted to purposes other than sleeping rooms for wards. On the basis of the presently authorized five-post coverage for these 50-ward dormitories, the annual custodial cost for the use of these rooms for other purposes can amount to as much as \$16,900. If this space were utilized for ward care rather than storage, the agency could plan for the entire budget year to use only six of the seven dormitories and save \$38,400, in custodial salaries and wages alone, even if no reduction in average length of stay were accomplished. Several alternatives to the use of these rooms for storage appear to us to be feasible. Among them are: smaller inventories of supplies in the dormitories replenished from the warehouse more frequently; one central storage point near and serving all dormitories; storage and issuance of all supplies from the warehouse only; remodeling to provide storage space adjacent to the dormitories or in the day rooms.

The value of these reception centers has not as yet been demonstrated in terms of their contribution to the over-all Youth Authority program of rehabilitation, and even when such value can be shown it will have to be evaluated in terms of relative costs. We think the agency should take every opportunity to reduce the wide difference in per capita costs between these and conventional school institutions, particularly in the areas of administration, support and subsistence, custody, and maintenance, which have many common factors in all institutions. The Legislature has already provided for the unique character of these institutions by authorizing a higher level of custodial staffing than is customary in the Youth Authority. We do not think that higher level should be further administratively augmented by the unnecessary reduction of dormitory populations.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$848,206. This represents an increase of \$46,702, or 5.8 percent over the total of \$801,504 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 175 established positions	\$36,4 08
A total of 3.5 proposed new positions costing	10,794
A change in estimated salary savings of	500

Total increase in salaries and wages_____

A total of 175 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional 3.5 proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 2 percent in staff, as compared to a 4.1 percent increase in population at this facility.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 3.1 additional ward increase in institu-

tional population.

The present level of staffing is one position for each 1.6 wards. The requested increase in staff will result in a ratio of one position for each 1.5 wards.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Ward

	Total	Total				ise over			Incre	ase over
	em-			Level of		year .		Level of		r year
Fiscal year	` ployees	man-hours	lation	service	Amount	Percent	processed	service	Amount	Percent
1954-55	. 137.1	243,489	171	1,424			1,083	224.8	***	
1955-56*_									79.8	-35.5
1956-57†.	. 178.5	317,016	280	$1,\!132$	23	-2.0	$2,\!143$	147.9	1.1	0.8
* Estimated	as shown	in 1956-57	Rudg	et.						

† Budget requests.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 1,132 hours per ward.

This is 23 hours, or 2.0 percent, below the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

The level of service per ward processed in 1956-57 will average 147.9 hours. This is 1.1 hours, or 0.8 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

The increased level of service per ward processed is the direct result of planning to lengthen the time required to process wards.

The 3.5 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

		Buc	iget
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Care and welfare—medical, dental, and psychiatric			
1 Intermediate stenographer-clerk	\$3,138	199	74
*1 Intermediate typist-clerk	3,138	199	75
*1 Dental assistant	2,988	199	76
Maintenance and operation of plant—maintenance			
of grounds			
*0.5 Groundsman flower gardener	1,530	200	55
 .			
3.5 Totals	\$10,794		

Recommended for deletion.

Southern California Reception Center—Continued Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

	Salaries and Wages				Per Car		Per Ward Processed Cost					
			Incre				Incr					ease
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	Per- cent	1955- 56	1956- 57	Amt.	Per- cent	1955- 56	$\frac{1956}{57}$		Per- cent
Administration _ Support and	\$59,386	\$60,596	\$1,210	2.0	\$221	\$216	— \$5	2	\$28	\$28		
subsistence Care and welfare Maintenance and	49,605 651,669	51,554 693,240	1,949 41,571	$\frac{3.9}{6.4}$	$\substack{184 \\ 2,422}$	184 2,476	$\bar{5}\bar{4}$	2	$\begin{array}{c} 23 \\ 304 \end{array}$	24 323	\$1 19	3.9 6.0
operation of plant	40,844	42,816	1,972	4.8	152	153	1	0.6	19	20	1	5.0
Totals	\$801,504	\$848,206	\$46,702	5.8	\$2,979	\$3,029	\$50	1.6	\$374	\$395	\$21	5.8

The per capita cost for salaries and wages are scheduled at \$3,029 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$50, or 1.6 percent over the amount of \$2,979 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

The per ward processed cost for salaries and wages is scheduled at \$395 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$21 or 5.8 percent over the amount of \$374 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

1 Intermediate stenographer-clerk (Budget page 199, line 74)__ \$3,128 1 Intermediate typist-clerk (Budget page 199, line 75)_____ \$3,138

The first of these positions is requested to provide stenographic assistance and clerical help to the medical section including doctors, dentists, X-ray and clinical laboratory technicians. The second is to augment the case work typing pool.

We recommend the allowance of the intermediate stenographer-clerk

and the deletion of the intermediate typist-clerk.

We point out that the present authorized clerical staffing at this institution in the medical, dental and psychiatric section is that which was requested as original staffing in the 1954-55 Budget. No substantial upward revisions of work load for this section have occurred which would justify an additional position. However, on the basis of comparability of staffing as between the Northern California Reception Center and this institution we believe the position of intermediate stenographer-clerk should be allowed to provide stenographic assistance to the professional staff of the section. The allowance of the position will result in more nearly comparable ratios of clerical help to professional staff, and will provide stenographic assistance which is not presently available.

However, we cannot agree with the statement in the budget (page 197, line 49) that "this unit was staffed on the same basis as the case work pools in the Department of Corrections reception guidance centers on a ratio of five hours per case processed plus one position for administrative typing, a working supervisor, and a file clerk." The agency estimated that this institution would process 2,319 wards in its first year of operation, 1954-55. Had the formula, which is now stated to have been the basis of the request for staffing for that year, actually been the basis for the requested staffing, the agency would have asked for two more positions than the six intermediate typist-clerks which it did request. One of these would have been required on the five-hour-per-case formula and the other for administrative typing. Consequently, it is obvious that the Department of Corrections Reception Guidance Center clerical staffing formula was not the basis of

staffing. We believe the basis was an arbitrary estimate of case load and comparability with the Northern Reception Center. On this basis an adjustment of personnel to reflect more accurate work load estimates would be in order. However, no justification in terms of overtime or backlog of work is submitted. The work load for case typing according to the agency is based on an estimate of two to three hours to transcribe the clinical summaries rather than the five hours shown in the budget. On a comparison basis with the Northern Clinic, where five positions (one senior clerk, one intermediate stenographer-clerk, three junior intermediate typist-clerks) are assigned to the so-called "steno pool," the Southern Clinic has eight positions assigned (one senior typist-clerk, six intermediate typist-clerks, one intermediate file clerk). The work load at the Northern Clinic is estimated at 1,316 cases while that in the south is estimated at 2,134 cases. Thus the southern institution has three positions, or 60 percent more staff for 818 or 62.2 percent more cases. We do not believe that staff comparability can be adjusted more closely than that. It should also be noted that with the allowance of the intermediate stenographer-clerk for the Medical Section recommended above, the typing pool will be relieved of approximately 28 hours of work per week which now is being done by the typing pool.

We suggest before increases are again asked for in clerical staff for the clinic function at either of these institutions for other than work load increases on the present staffing ratios, that they be based on time studies, as were the recommendations for the reception guidance centers of the Department of Corrections, which are referred to in the budget.

1 Dental assistant (Budget page 199, line 76)_____ \$2,988

This position is requested to increase the number of dental assistants at the institution to two. The present ratio is one assistant for three dentists. It is stated that dentists must now devote time to details, which could be handled by assistants, rather than to dentistry.

We recommend deletion of the position.

The justification for this position states that "we see up to 200 wards a month." With three dentists this amounts to a maximum of 3.5 patients per dentist's working day calculated at the rate of 19 working days per month, or 228 working days per year, which allows for full relief for vacation, sick leave, holidays and regular days off. With this extremely low patient-per-dentist ratio it does not seem reasonable to relieve the dentists of further work by supplying an additional assistant. Admittedly, the detail work of record preparation and keeping should not be performed by professional staff except insofar as the nature of the record requires professional opinions. We suggest that the problem here might be better solved by eliminating one of the dentist positions and providing the assistant requested. We believe at this type of institution, where dental examination records originate as part of the diagnostic procedure and serve as the basis for further dental care which a ward may require at a school institution, that one dental assistant per dentist may be justified rather than one for each two dentists as is the usually prevailing ratio. However, such a staffing ratio should result from a study of the particular work load factors involved, and not be simply based on the assertion that dentists are

now doing work which should be done by dental assistants. While the Northern Reception Center apparently is satisfied with its present ratio of one assistant to two dentists, we note that the dentists there operate on a ratio of 3.2 patients per working day and assume that some of their spare time is also devoted to records.

We recommend that the Department of Finance and the agency conduct a thorough study of the dental staffing required by these reception centers with a view to adjusting the composition of these staffs based on such a study. We wish to point out that all Youth Authority Schools have dental and medical care items budgeted. We have noted no decline in these requests since the activation of the reception centers although one of the bases of justification for the reception center idea was that medical and dental care which had formerly been provided by the school institutions would be provided at the reception centers.

0.5 Groundsman flower gardener (Budget page 200, line 55)___ \$1,530

This request is identical to that at the Northern California Reception Center discussed heretofore in the analysis of that institution's budget. The total landscaped area here, however, is said to be 17 acres.

We recommend deletion of the position.

Our discussion of the request for a similar part-time position at the Northern California Reception Center is equally pertinent to this request. While the area involved here is larger, apparently the care of the landscaped portion inside the security area is presently accomplished primarily with the use of ward help.

The same suggestions for eliminating the need for additional grounds maintenance staff as were made in our analysis of the request for such staff at the Northern Reception Center apply to this institution.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$265,680 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$11,360, or 4.5 percent over the amount of \$254,320 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

Functional and per Capita Distribution of Operating Expense

	Operating Expense					Per Car	Per Ward Processed Cost				
			Incre				Increase	_		Incr	
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	Per- cent	1955- 56	1956- 57	Per-	1955- 56	$\frac{1956}{57}$	- Amt.	Per-
Administration _ Support and	\$20,690	\$20,690			\$77	\$74	—\$3 —3.9	\$10	\$10		
subsistence Care and welfare	131,350 58,830	$140,015 \\ 60,275$	$$8,665 \\ 1,445$	$\frac{6.6}{2.5}$	488 219	$\frac{500}{215}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} 12 & 2.5 \\ -4 & -1.8 \end{array}$	61 27	65 28	4 1	6.6
Maintenance and operation of plant	43.450	44,700	1.250	2.9	162	160	21.2	20	21	1	5.0
Totals		\$265,680		4.5	\$946	\$949	\$3 0.3	\$118	\$124	\$6	5.1

The per capita cost for operating expenses are scheduled at \$949 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$3, or 0.3 percent, over the amount of \$946 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

The per ward processed cost for operating expenses are scheduled at \$124 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$6, or 5.1 percent, over the amount of \$118 estimatd for expenditure in 1955-56.

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$2,935 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$785 over the amount of \$2,150 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$2,935 for equipment, the sum of \$855 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$2,080 is for additional equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$7,114 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$2,935, a reduction of \$4,179, or 58.7 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Youth Authority FORESTRY CAMPS FOR BOYS

ITEM 71 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 202 Budget line No. 23

FOR SUPPORT OF FORESTRY CAMPS FOR BOYS FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$330,217 321,506
Increase (2.7 percent)	\$8,711

Summary of Increase

		INCREASE DI	JE TO		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line No.
Salaries and wages	\$4,200	\$4,200		206	50
Operating expense	10,803	10,803		206	51
Equipment	1,193	1,193		206	52
Inmate pay-work projects	$2,\!145$	$2,\!145$		206	53
Total reimbursements	-9,630	9,630		206	61
Total increase	\$8,711	\$8,711		206	63
RECOMMENDATIONS					

Amount budgeted	 \$330,217
Legislative Auditor's recommendation	 330,217

None

Three forestry camps for boys are operated by the Youth Authority for male wards in the 17 to 21 age bracket. These camps are presently located at Pine Grove, Coarsegold, and Ben Lomond. Spike camps are operated from the Pine Grove and Coarsegold main camps. It is planned to move the main camp at Coarsegold to a new location at Mount Bullion near Mariposa during the budget year and to operate a spike camp at the present Coarsegold location.

The objective of these camps is the rehabilitation of delinquent youths through a program of useful outdoor work. The work is accomplished on Division of Forestry conservation projects with that division reimbursing the Youth Authority for appropriate portions of the

costs incurred.

Forestry Camps—Continued ANALYSIS

Estimated expenditures for support of the Youth Authority Forestry Camps for the budget year are \$353,617. This is \$9,011 or 2.6 percent more than the \$344,606 now estimated to be expended during the current year 1955-56.

The estimate of population for all camps for 1955-56 has been reduced to 250 wards from the 265 figure upon which the 1955-56 budget request was based, while the estimate of population for the budget year

is again set at 265 wards.

The \$353,617 requested for the budget year is \$24,934 more than was requested for the same number of wards in the 1955-56 Governor's Budget.

Per capita cost is estimated at \$2,118, a decrease of \$63 from the

\$2,181 now estimated for the current year.

Salaries and wages on 64.6 established positions are scheduled to increase \$6,300 or 2 percent, as a result of merit salary increases. This is partially offset by increasing salary savings from \$1,700 to \$3,800 for an increase in that category of \$2,100.

Operating expenses are estimated to increase \$10,803 or 5.1 percent. The changes in operating expenses for each of the camps is as follows:

Pine Grove	\$860
Coarsegold	_ 7,040
Ben Lomond	_ 4,623

\$10,203

The projected increase in population amounts to 15 boys—five at each of the three camps. Thus, Pine Grove with a 5.9 percent increase in population, is estimating a decrease in operating expenses. Ben Lomond with 7.9 percent population increase is asking for an 8.9 percent increase in operating expense appropriation. Coarsegold is anticipating a 5 percent increase in population and asks an 8.4 percent increase for operating expense. The apparent reason for the decline in operating expense at the Pine Grove Camp is the nonrecurrence of certain special repairs and maintenance items which were included in the prior year's budget, coupled with the fact that this camp is planning to increase its feeding cost by only \$450 rather than the approximately \$1,600 which the increased population would seem to require. At Coarsegold the increase in operating expenses is justified in the budget as submitted by the agency on the basis of population increase and anticipated substantial increased costs at the new Mount Bullion location in such items as clothing, light, heat, and power and automobile mileage. The Ben Lomond operating expense increase over that attributable to population increase is apparently due to the inclusion of approximately \$1,500 of special repair and maintenance projects.

The reason given for the failure of food costs at the Pine Grove Camp to increase proportionately to population is the present policy of buying substantial quantities of food from the Preston School of Industry at prices below those experienced in buying the same food

at Pine Grove.

Equipment requests for the three camps total \$6,873, and include the replacement of two automotive units at a cost of \$2,966. The current

Forestry Camps-Continued

year's equipment cost is estimated at \$5,680 and does not include replacement of any automotive equipment.

We recommend approval of the budget as submitted.

Youth Authority FRICOT RANCH SCHOOL FOR BOYS

ITEM 72 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 207 Budget line No. 7

FOR SUPPORT OF FRICOT RANCH SCHOOL FOR BOYS FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$460,129 421,331
Increase (9.2 percent)	\$38,798

Sumn	nary of Inc	rease		, .	
		INCREASE D	UE TO		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line No.
Salaries and wages	\$24,797	\$18,642	\$6,155	211	9
Operating expense	6,810	6,810		211	10
Equipment	7,191	7,191		211	11
Total increase	\$38,798	\$32,643	\$6,155	211	24
RECOMMENDATIONS					
Amount budgeted Legislative Auditor's recommend				\$460 453	,129 ,974
Dodration			-	e e	155

Fricot Ranch School for boys is the Youth Authority's training and treatment school for boys in the 8-to-13 age group. The school employs minimum custody standards with emphasis on the education and welfare aspects of the program.

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$6,155 consists of the following amounts in the categories indicated:

		Bu	dget
Salaries and wages	Amount	Page	Line
2 Group supervisors (effective March 1, 1957) 2 Youth authority teachers (one effective	\$2,600	209	44
January 1, 1957 and one April 1, 1957)	3,555	209	48
4 Positions, reducing salaries and wages by	\$6,155		

It should be noted that even with the recommended deletions of the above four positions, the agency will still receive the benefit of a total of five new positions involving an increase of \$10,828 in salaries and wages in the budget year, and an ultimate annual continuing cost of \$29,948 at present wage rates.

	Per Capita Costs		Increase over			
Fiscal year	Institution population	$Per\ capita \ cost$	$prior \ Amount$	year .		
1946-47	91	\$1,597				
1947-48*	92	1,953	\$356	22.3		
1948-49	98	1,963	10	0.5		
1949-50	91	2,078	115	5.9		
1950-51	105	1,953	125	-6.0		
1951-52	140	1,870	83	4.2		
1952-53	142	2,311	441	23.5		
1953-54	144	2,594	283	12.2		
1954-55	136	2,942	348	13.4		
1955-56‡	153	2,899	43	1.5		
1956-57†		3,086	187	6.5		

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

The total expenditures for support of this facility are scheduled to increase \$40,916, or 9.2 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 157 wards, an

increase of 4, or 2.6 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$2,899 to \$3,086, an increase of \$187, or 6.5 percent.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$332,839. This represents an increase of \$24,797, or 8.0 percent over the total of \$308,042 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amount indicated:

Merit salary increases on 63.7 established positions	\$9,914
A total of 9 proposed new positions costing	16,983
A change in estimated salary savings of	-2,100
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$24,797

A total of 63.7 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional nine proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 14.1 percent in staff, as compared to a 2.6 percent increase in population at this facility.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 0.4 additional ward increase in institutional

population.

The present level of staffing is one position for each 2.4 wards.

The requested increase in staff will result in a ratio of one position for each 2.3 wards, providing for an increase in the total level of service.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

[†] Budget request. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Fricot Ranch School for Boys-Continued Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Ward

Fiscal	Total	Total annual		Level of		se over year
year	employees	man-hours	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1946-47	29.1	63,787	91	701		
1947-48*	35.0	$62,\!160$	92	676	\$25	3.6
1948-49	36.2	64,291	98	656	20	-3.0
1949-50	38.9	69,086	91	759	103	15.7
1950-51	40.4	71,750	105	683	76	-10.0
1951-52	45.8	81,341	140	581	102	14.9
1952-53	54.7	97,147	142	684	103	17.7
1953-54	56.7	100,699	144	699	15	2.2
1954-55	58.1	101,410	136	746	47	6.7
1955-56‡	64.2	112,243	153	734	12	-1.6
1956-57†	72.7	127,339	157	811	77	10.5

Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 811 hours per ward.

This is 77 hours, or 10.5 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 230 hours, or 39.6 percent, above the minimum level of service of 581 hours, experienced in 1951-52 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The nine proposed new positions and the 0.5 position proposed to be abolished are shown by function as follows:

		Bu	dget
Functions and Positions	Amount	Page	Line
Care and welfare:			
Custodial and personal care:			
1 Senior group supervisor (effective March 1, 1957)	\$1,432	209	42
* 5 Group supervisors (effective March 1, 1957)	6,500	209	44
Education and Recreation:			
*2 Youth authority teachers (1 effective January 1,			
1957 and 1 April 1, 1957)	3,555	209	48
Maintenance and operation of plant:			
1 Chief of institution maintenance	5,496	210	17
Position to be abolished:			
Care and welfare:			
Medical and dental			
0.5 Hospital aid (intermittent)	1,215	209	17
Totals	\$15,768		

^{*} Two recommended for deletion each group.

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

	S	Salaries and wages				Per capit	a costs	
		Increase					Incred	ise
				Per-				Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Administration Support and	\$29,784	\$30,679	\$895	3.0	\$195	\$195		
subsistence	29,448	30,023	575	1.9	192	191	—1 ·	0.6
Care and welfare	215,359	232,863	17,504	8.1	1,408	1,483	75	5.4
Maintenance and operation of	00 451	20.074	E 000	17 4	910	050	01	111
plant	33,451	39,274	5,823	17.4	219	250	31	14.4
Totals	\$308,042	\$332,839	\$24,797	8.0	\$2,014	\$2,119	\$105	5.3

[†] Budget requests. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

The per capita cost for salaries and wages is scheduled at \$2,119 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$105, or 5.2 percent over the amount of \$2,014 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

1 Senior group supervisor (effective March 1, 1957) (Budget page 209, line 42) \$1,432
5 Group supervisor (effective March 1, 1957) (Budget page 209, line 44) \$6,500

These positions are requested to staff the new 20-boy segregation unit to be built as the lower floor of the new administration building. It is proposed to provide double coverage for eight hours a day and single coverage for 16 hours. By the use of staggered shifts double coverage is achieved from 6 a.m. to 8 a.m., from 12 m. to 2 p.m., and from 4 p.m. to 8 p.m. The juxtaposition of this building to the hospital will permit night time supervision of the hospital by the segregation unit post and permit the reduction of intermittent hospital nurses aid coverage by 0.5 of a position.

We recommend that two group supervisor positions be deleted and that one senior group supervisor and three group supervisor positions

be allowed.

This institution currently has three dormitories with 50-boy capacity each. These dormitories are staffed with four-post coverage to provide eight hours per day double coverage. This same level of service is now being requested at the segregation unit for a living unit of only 20 boys. If allowed, the ratio of custodial staff to wards in this segregation unit will be one post per five wards compared with a ratio of 12.5 wards per post in the other three dormitories. Comparisons may be drawn between the segregation units at other institutions as follows:

	Posts	$Ward \ Capacity$	Ratio of posts to Wards
Fred C. Nelles	_ 5	50	1-10
Preston	_ 6	50	1-8.33
Paso Robles	_ 5	40	1-8
Fricot	_ 4	20	1- 5

With the minimum staffing possible—three posts—this unit in this institution will still have a ratio of 6.67 wards per post, which will be

richer than any other segregation detention unit.

At the reception centers wards are housed in 50-ward living units with five-post coverage. Wards at the reception centers are of all ages committable to the Youth Authority and generally considered to be more unstable, because of recent commitment or return from parole, than wards in other institutions. The same wards who are to be housed in this segregation detention unit will have come to Fricot from a reception center. We do not believe that a greater degree of custodial control will be required for the same wards at Fricot than was required at the reception centers.

Our recommendation will result in three-post coverage, that is, one position on duty at all times. To the extent that operational and program difficulties stem from this staffing pattern, it would appear they are the result of planning a 20-boy living unit for segregation purposes without due consideration of the staffing limitations imposed by its

size and the plan for operation. Even the capacity of this unit may not represent a true picture of the cost of operation of the unit as reflected by the salary and wage costs, since its purpose is such that it should not be used to capacity at all times, any more than a hospital. It should be pointed out also that while the cost of the proposed positions as shown in the budget at page 209, lines 42 and 44, is \$7,932, this figure reflects only the cost from March 1, 1957, to the end of the fiscal year at the lowest step of the salary range. The continuing annual cost of these positions on a full-year basis would ultimately be \$28,932 at present salary ranges. The four new positions allowed by our recommendation will ultimately cost \$19,452, or \$9,480 less per year. The cost will be offset to some extent through the abolition of 0.5 of a position of intermittent hospital aid costing \$1,215 but only to the extent that the 0.5 of a position might have been required.

2 Youth Authority teachers (1 effective January 1, 1957 and 1 April 1, 1957) (Budget page 209, line 48)_____ \$3,555

The positions are justified by the agency on the basis of the presently accepted ratio of one academic teacher to 15 wards a.d.a.

We recommend disapproval of these two positions.

The calculation upon which this request was based starts with an estimated average daily population of 168 wards as of April 1, 1957; proposes that only 28 boys will be on a half-time school basis; allows 10 percent for absence, and concludes there will be a total school attendance of 139 to require nine teachers.

This calculation does not take into consideration the actual a.d.a. experience of this school. The use of actual a.d.a. experience as the basis of estimating future teacher requirements was agreed upon among the agency, the Department of Finance and the Legislative Auditor following both of the last two sessions of the Legislature at which considerable difficulty had been encountered in authorizing appropriate teaching staffs for various schools. If the agency for any reason is unable to use actual a.d.a. experience as the basis for its calculation, we think it would still be more appropriate to calculate from a base of actual attained population rather than average population. However, if the agency chooses to use average population as the base of its calculation, we point out that average population for the entire year is estimated by the agency at only 157 which will produce a lower but equally unreliable estimate of teacher requirements.

It is true that bed capacity at this institution is planned to be increased by 20 through the construction of a 20-bed segregation detention unit. This unit, however, is for the purpose of segregating wards from the general population who are so severely disturbed and emotionally maladjusted "that it will be impossible to assimilate them in larger group settings." These quotes are from the justifications submitted for the custodial staffing requests for the segregation unit. "Every one of our boys is in need of extensive individual psychotherapy. Over 37 of our present population have had observational periods for mental illness. Many of our boys are on heavy dosages of dilantin and other similar anti-convulsant drugs. Many of our younger boys are not toilet trained, understand and follow no socially

acceptable procedures, and have no concept of right and wrong. Two of our boys are deaf mutes dismissed from the California State School for the Deaf as being too seriously disturbed for them to handle. Granted that we cannot provide these youngsters with the individualized program that they require, but we can provide in the new treatment unit a small group type program for the most serious cases."

If only the most serious cases of the kind of wards described above are to be housed in the segregation unit and if these are the cases which cannot be assimilated into a group setting, we raise the question of whether any appreciable number can be expected to attend school. On the other hand, if these wards can and will attend school, which certainly involves assimilation into a group setting, we raise the question of why they cannot fit into other groups and why they must be segregated at all. We recognize that in some individual cases segregation of a ward who can fit into the school situation may be advisable, but we do not think that teacher requirements based on school attendance should assume that "all of the boys in the segregation unit will be in school on a half-time basis."

The agency uses the figure of 28 wards on a half-time school basis in arriving at its estimated teacher requirements. As noted above, 20 of these wards are expected to be the 20 wards in the segregation unit. This would only leave eight wards in the general population available for one-half time work and one-half time school assignments. This would mean that four wards would be available in the morning and four in the afternoon for work details during school hours. None of the wards in the segregation unit would be available for work details under the present plan of operation which provides that they will stay "in the unit" when not in school. We have been under the impression that this institution customarily uses 28 wards on culinary and other work crews. These, together with the 20 boys from the segregation unit (if they can be counted for school attendance), would make a total of 48 wards on a half-time basis.

Applying these figures to the maximum population attainable at the institution during the year, the same type of calculation can be made as was submitted by the agency using a month's daily average population.

mon.	
Total possible population 170	Less 10 percent absence 15
Half-time school attendance 48	Total for teacher requirement 131
Full-time school attendance 122	Teacher requirement at 15 to 1 9
Maked manufale of a 146	

The institution currently has seven teachers and a supervisor of academic education who carries a one-half teaching load. This is the equivalent of seven and one-half teachers. On this basis the addition of one teacher would appear to be justified since 8.5 teaching positions at 15 to one would require 127.5 a.d.a. However, we are not recommending any additional teaching staff because of the uncertainty as to whether or how many wards the segregation unit will be able to place in school. We also believe that a calculation based on actual attained a.d.a. would result in a lower estimate of total population for teacher requirement purposes.

In view of these unknown quantities we believe that the agency should re-evalute its teacher requirements on the basis of actual a.d.a. experience and realistically appraise the segregation unit program as to its probable impact on school population, before planning to expand the educational program at this school.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$131,061 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$6,810, or 5.5 percent, over the amount of \$124,251 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

is indicated bei	O W .	vv . Operating ex			I	er capite	cost	
				Increase			Incred	ise
				Per-				Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Administration Support and	\$7,090	\$7,990	\$900	12.7	\$46	\$51	\$ 5	9.8
subsistence Care and	69,726	72,081	2,355	3.4	456	459	3	0.7
welfare Maintenance	14,160	13,480	680	4.8	93	86	-7	7.3
and operation		A						
of plant	33,275	37,510	4,235	12.7	22	24	2	9.9
Totals	\$124,251	\$131,061	\$6,810	5.5	\$617	\$620	\$3	0.5

The per capita cost for operating expenses is scheduled at \$620 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$3, or 0.5 percent, over the amount of \$617 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$10,689 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$7,191 over the amount of \$3,498 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$10,689 for equipment, the sum of \$3,165 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$7,524 is for additional

equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$20,454 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$10,689, a reduction of \$9,765, or 47.7 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Youth Authority FRED C. NELLES SCHOOL FOR BOYS

ITEM 73 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 212 Budget line No. 6

FOR SUPPORT OF FRED C. NELLES SCHOOL FOR BOYS FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requested	\$884,785
Estimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	878,340
Increase (0.7 percent)	\$6,445

Fred C. Nelles School for Boys—Continued
Summary of Increase

		INCREASE D	UE TO		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line No.
Salaries and wages	\$7,014	\$954	\$6,060	217	8
Operating expense	5,680	5,680		217	9
Equipment	-3,704	-3,704		217	10
Less: increased reimbursements	2,545	2,545		217	19
Total increase	\$6,445	\$385	\$6,060	217	24
RECOMMENDATIONS		And the second second			
Amount budgeted		- 		\$884,	
Legislative Auditor's recommen	ıdation			878,	725
Reduction				\$6,0	060

The Fred C. Nelles School for Boys is one of the older youth authority institutions. Formerly known as the Whittier School for Boys, it is located near Whittier, California. Its capacity is a little more than 300 boys in the 13 to 16 year age bracket. It maintains a minimum degree of custody. The remodeling of one of the cottage type dormitories and the completion of a 50 boy detention segregation unit and a 30 boy admissions unit coupled with the abandonment of two condemned buildings will result in enlarging the school capacity by about 20 beds.

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$6,060 consists of the following position:

1011:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Du	uyei
		Amount	Page	Line
1 Supervisor of Acaden	nic instruction	\$6,060	215	15

Per Capita Costs

	Institution	$Per\ capita$	prior year	
Fiscal year	population	cost	Amount	Percent
1946-47	318	\$1,546		
1947-48*	311	1,939	\$393	25.4
1948-49	306	2,074	135	7.0
1949-50	308	2,041	33	-1.6
1950-51	307	$2,\!157$	116	5.7
1951-52	310	2,294	137	6.4
1952-53	308	2,640	346	15.1
1953-54	289	2.988	348	13.2

280

304

3,060

3.056

3.058

0.1

1955-56±_____

The total support budget of this facility is scheduled to increase \$6,945, or 0.7 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 306 wards, an increase of 2, or 0.7 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$3,056 to \$3,058, an increase of \$2, or 0.1 percent.

^{1956-57†}_____* Forty-hour week became effective.

[†] Budget request. † Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Fred C. Nelles School for Boys-Continued Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$720,279. This represents an increase of \$7,014, or 1 percent over the total of \$713,265 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-**56**.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 144 established positions A total of 1 proposed new position costing A change in estimated salary savings of	
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$7,014

A total of 144 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional one proposed new position. This represents an increase of 0.7 percent in staff, as compared to a 0.7 percent increase in population at this facility.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each two additional wards increase in institutional population.

The present level of staffing is one position for each 2.1 wards.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

Total Level of Service—Employee Hours Available per Ward

	// - 1 - 1	<i>m</i>		7	Increa	
$Fiscal\ year$	$Total \ employees$	Total annual man-hours	Population	Level of service	Amount	r year Percent
1946-47	121,8	266,986	318	840		
1947-48*	_ 126.9	225,374	311	725	<i>—115</i>	13.7
1948-49	_ 133.6	$237,\!274$	306	775	50	6.9
1949-50	135.7	241,003	308	782	7	0.9
1950-51	135.5	240,648	307	784	2	0.3
1951-52	_ 135.0	239,760	310	773	11	-1.4
1952-53	142.2	252,547	308	820	47	6.1
1953-54	140.8	250,061	289	865	45	5.5
1954-55	142.2	252,547	280	902	37	4.3
1955-56‡	145	253,170	304	833	69	7.7
1956-57†	145	$253,\!170$	306	801	-32	3.8

Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 801 hours per ward.

This is 32 hours, or 4 percent, below the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 76 hours, or 10.4 percent, above the minimum level of service of 725 hours, experienced in 1947-48 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The one proposed new position is shown by function as follows:

[†] Budget requests. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Fred C. Nelles School for Boys-Continued

	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Bu	dget
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Care and welfare			
Education and religion:			
*1 Supervisor of academ	ic instruction 6,060	215	1 5
Maintenance and operation of	plant:		
(0.3) Temporary help (r	epair projects) 1,260	215	72
and the state of the second	range de la companya		
1 Total	\$7,320		

^{*} Recommended for deletion.

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

		Salaries and wages			Per capita costs			
the first section of		2.7	Incre				Increa	se Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	Per- cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	
Administra- tion Support and	\$73,336	\$71,651	-\$1,685	-2.3	\$241	\$234	\$7	—2.9
subsistence	71,936	67,519	-4,417	-6.1	237	221	16	6.8
Care and welfare Maintenance	473,766	485,583	11,827	2.5	1,558	1,587	29	1.9
and operation of plant Farming and	85,203	86,492	1,289	1.5	280	283	. 3	1.1
processing	9,024	9,024			30	29	<u>—1</u>	3.3
Totals	\$713,265	\$720,279	\$7,014	9.8	\$2,346	\$2,354	\$8	0.3

The per capita cost for salaries and wages are scheduled at \$2,354 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$8, or 0.3 percent over the amount of \$2,346 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

1 Supervisor of academic instruction (budget page 215, line 15) \$6,060

This position is requested as part of a group of three such positions requested for three separate institutions. It is discussed as a category position in the departmental summary portion of this analysis.

We recommend deletion of the position.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$180,795 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$5,680, or 3.2 percent, over the amount of \$175,115 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

is indicated by	CIOW.	Operating	g expenses	5.4,5		Per capit	a costs	
			Incre	ase	-		Increa	se
				Per-			1	Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Administration	\$11,700	\$12,060	\$360	3.1	. \$38	\$39	\$1	2.4
Support and subsistence	96,885	99.550	2,665	2.7	319	325	6	2.1
Care and welfare	13,105	13,160	55	0.4	43	43		
Maintenance and operation		- 1 P	* .					٠.
of plant	44,525	47,125	2,600	5.8	146	154	8	5.1
Farming and processing _	8,900	8,900		, 	29	29	<u>-</u> _	
Totals	\$175,11 5	\$180,795	\$5,680	3.2	\$575	\$590	\$15	2.6

Fred C. Nelles School for Boys-Continued

The per capita cost for operating expenses are scheduled at \$590 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$15, or 2.6 percent, over the amount of \$575 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$12,241 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$3,704 under the amount of \$15,945 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$12,241 for equipment, the sum of \$9,595 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$2,646 is for additional

equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$19,990 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$12,241, a reduction of \$7,749, or 38.8 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Farming and Processi	ng—Prod	luction and Exp	enditures	
Administration of the Control of the Control	1953-54	1954-55	1955-56	1956-57
Local production consumed Surplus products sales	\$11,279 2,758		\$9,500 3,650	\$9,500 3,650
Total value of production	\$14,037	\$12,823	\$13,150	\$13,150
Salaries and wagesOperating expenses	\$8,592 6,433		\$9,024 8,900	\$9,021 8,900
Total operating costs	\$15,025	\$16,509	\$17,924	\$17,921
Gross operating profit Equipment costs	\$988 1,064		—\$4,774 984	-\$4,771 830
Value of production in excess of expenditures	\$2,052	\$4,332 ·	\$5,758	-\$5,601

This farming operation has now consistently failed to meet its own costs for the past three years for which actual figures are available and on the basis of the budget estimates is expected to widen the gap between the value of production and expenditures still more in the current and budget years. It is significant that the total value of production is not expected to increase materially, while operating expenses are up. Part of this increase results from the necessity to treat 10 acres of the vegetable garden soil for wire worm infestation. The budget justification indicates that the orchard has been sold so we raise the question as to why an operating expense item of \$100 is still estimated in the 1956-57 budget for the orchard.

The excess of expenditures for the three-year period 1952-53, 1953-54, 1954-55, is \$7,774. The loss estimated for the current and budget year is \$11,359. Thus the total excess cost to the State for the five-year

period is \$19.133.

We recommend that the Department of Finance and the agency undertake a comprehensive study of the agricultural and economic aspects of this problem with a view to either recommending the abandonment of the program or measures to be undertaken to put it on a self-sustaining basis.

Youth Authority PASO ROBLES SCHOOL FOR BOYS

ITEM 74 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 218 Budget line No. 6

GENERAL FUND	
GENERAL FOND	

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-		\$851,347 806,210
Increase (5.6 percent)	 	\$45,137

Summary of Increase

Paragraphic and the state of th		_ INCREASE D	UE TO		
the first of the second	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	
Salaries and wages	\$35,212	\$25,522	\$9,690	222	58
Operating expense	7,240	7,240		222	59
Equipment	2,685	2,685		222	60
Total increase	\$45,137	\$35,447	\$9,690	222	71
RECOMMENDATIONS				. 1	
Amount budgeted Legislative Auditor's recommend				\$851, 840,	
Reduction				\$11.	190

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$11,190 consists of the following amounts in the categories indicated:

	4.0	Bu	dget
Salaries and wages	Amount	Page	Line
1 Supervisor of academic instruction	\$6,060 3,630	$\begin{array}{c} 220 \\ 221 \end{array}$	75 58
2 Positions, reducing salaries and wages by	\$9.695		

It should be noted that even with the recommended deletions of the above two positions, the agency will still receive the benefit of a total of two new positions involving an increase of \$3,900 in salaries and wages.

		$Bu\epsilon$	lget
Operating expenses	Amount	Page	Line
Medical care	\$1,500	221	6
Reduction in operating expenses	\$1,500		
Total reduction	\$11,190		

	Per Capita Costs			ase over
	Institution Per capita			r year
Fiscal Year	population	cost	Amount	Percent
1947-48*	46	\$2,456		- · · · · ·
1948-49	97	2,312	\$144	5.9
1949-50	127	2,004	-308	<i>—13.3</i>
1950-51	137	1,996	8	0.4
1951-52	137	2,247	251	12.6
1952-53	136	2,565	318	14.2
1953-54	152	3,082	517	20.2
1954-55	295	2,469	613	19.9
1955-56‡	312	2,709	240	9.7
1956-57†	315	2,844	135	5

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget. † Budget request.

The total support budget of this facility is scheduled to increase \$50,637, or 6 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 315 wards, an increase of three, or 1 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$2,709 to \$2,844, an increase of \$135, or 5 percent.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$633,996. This represents an increase of \$35,212, or 5.9 percent over the total of \$598,784 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 126.8 established positionsA total of four proposed new positions costingA change in estimated salary savings of	_ 13,590
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$35 212

A total of 126.8 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional four proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 3.2 percent in staff, as compared to a 1 percent increase in population at this facility.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 0.7 additional ward increase in institutional population.

The present level of staffing is one position for each 2.5 wards. The level of staffing resulting from the requested increase in positions will be one position for each 2.4 wards.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Ward

	Total	Total annual		Level of		ase over r year
$Fiscal\ year$	employees	man-hours	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1947-48*	18.8	33,389	46	726		
1948-49	$_{}$ 42.1	74,770	97	771	45	6.2
1949-50	49.5	87,912	127	692	 79	-10.2
1950-51	$_{}$ 53.7	95,371	137	696	4	0.6
1951-52	53.3	94,661	137	691	—5	-0.7
1952-53	56	$99,\!456$	136	731	40	5.8
1953-54	77.4	$137,\!462$	152	904	173	23.7
$1954-55_{}$	114.5	203,352	295	689	215	23.8
1955-56‡	$_{}$ 126.5	224,664	312	720	31	4.5
1956-57†	130.8	232,301	315	737	17	2.4

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 737 hours per ward.

This is 17 hours, or 2.4 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 48 hours, or 7 percent above the minimum level of service of 689 hours, experienced in 1954-55 during the period of 10 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The four proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

	:	Bu	dget
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Care and welfare:			
Custodial and personal care:	18		
2 Group supervisor (effective January 1, 1957)	\$3,900	220	72
Education and religion:			
*1 Supervisor of academic education	6,060	220	75
Maintenance and operation of plant:			
Maintenance of structures and grounds:			
*1 Building maintenance man	3,630	221	58
4 Totals	\$13,590		
* Recommended for deletion			

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

	Salaries and wages			Per capita costs				
			Incred	Increase		•		ise
	-			Per-				Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Administration Support and sub-	\$69,163	\$70,511	\$1,34 8	1.9	\$222	\$224	\$2	0.9
sistence	43,156	44,892	1,736	4.0	138	143	4	2.9
Care and welfare	422,718	450,073	27,355	6.5	1,355	1,429	74	5.5
Maintenance and operation of							- '	
plant Farming and	61,491	66,014	4,523	7.4	197	210	13	6.6
processing	2,256	2,506	250	11.1	7	8	1	14.3
Totals	\$598,784	\$633,996	\$35,212	5.8	\$1,919	\$2,013	\$94	4.9

The per capita cost for salaries and wages are scheduled at \$2,013 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$94, or 4.9 percent over the amount of \$1,919 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

1 Supervisor of academic instruction (Budget page 220, line 75)_\$6,060

This position is discussed in the departmental summary section of the Youth Authority analysis as part of a category of positions affecting more than one institution.

We recommend deletion of the position.

1 Building maintenance man (Budget page 221, line 58)_____\$3,630

This position is requested to meet an increasing backlog of maintenance work and to conduct a preventive maintenance program. It is stated that additional space will be added with the completion of the detention segregation unit.

We recommend deletion of the position.

No factual information as to the numbers of maintenance jobs or the man-hours of work involved in such jobs has been submitted, nor has justification based on hours of overtime or other evidence of deficiencies.

Two examples of so-called maintenance which has not been done are cited by the agency. One of these is the grounding of electrical equipment in the shop building. This would appear to us to be a job for the electrician and not for the maintenance man. Further, this is a onetime job and not an item of continuing work load. The other example is the fact that basketball backstops, horizontal bars and other recreational equipment at the cottages have been on hand for 18 months and not been installed. We would agree that the installation of recreation equipment which may assist in the rehabilitation of wards should have a fairly high priority among those things which need to be done. In fact we think that priority is high enough to warrant the installation of such equipment by ward work crews on week ends under the supervision of custodial staff. If the other examples of "maintenance work" which the agency says it can cite are similar to these it may appear that maintenance problems at this facility are the result of inadequate administration rather than lack of maintenance staff.

The agency would also like to have this position so as to be able to schedule a maintenance man over the week ends to cover emergency maintenance. Among the reasons which have been advanced for the necessity to have a chief of institution maintenance at Youth Authority schools has been the need to have a supervisory position to take charge in case of emergencies. If this line of reasoning is followed, it would be necessary to staff maintenance men on a 24-hour 7-day basis. This position is also requested to "supply transportation service for garbage, food to discipline unit, bus trips, etc." We point out that this institution has an automotive equipment operator to supply this service. It is also urged that a maintenance man is necessary for emergency services while other tradesmen are on vacation, holidays or sick leave. We think this situation can be alleviated by the simple expedient of not scheduling the existing building maintenance position for vacation while other tradesmen are on vacation and by relying on the chief of institution maintenance or business manager for emergencies or holidays. It would be helpful in evaluating the impact of emergencies when tradesmen are off on sick leave if the agency had supplied data as to the number of days of sick leave for such personnel and the number of emergencies which occurred on such days. However, such data has not been submitted.

It is true that a new 40-boy living unit will be opened during the budget year, replacing a temporary building housing 20 boys. This additional capacity is nominal in relation to the entire building space at the institution, and in any event we do not believe that a new modern permanent building should initially require as much maintenance work as a temporary old building.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$214,430 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$7,240, or 3.5 percent, over the amount of \$207,190 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

Paso Robles School for Boys—Continued Functional and per Capita Cost Distribution of Operating Expense

	O_2	Operating expenses Per cap			$Per\ capito$	r capita costs				
			Incred	ise				In		ise
				Per-		:		Per-		
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent		
Administration	\$12,985	\$13,085	\$100	0.8	\$41	\$42	\$1	2.4		
Support and sub-					•			*		
sistence	113,900	115,280	1,380	12.1	365	366	1	0.3		
Care and welfare	30,815	31,710	895	3.4	99	101	2	2.0		
Maintenance and operation of										
plant	$42,\!315$	47,705	5,390	12.7	136	151	15	11.0		
Farming and					•					
processing	7,175	6,650	— 525	7.3	. 23	21	2 -	8.7		
Totals	\$207,190	\$214,430	\$7,240	3.5	\$664	\$681	\$17	2.6		

The per capita cost for operating expenses is scheduled at \$681 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$17, or 2.6 percent, over the amount of \$664 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Medical Care (Budget page 221, line 6)_____\$10,360

Included in this amount is \$1,500 for dental contractual services. Also included in the budget on page 220, line 37, is 0.3 senior dentist, \$2.815.

This position is justified in the budget by footnote, c, on page 220 which states "Services (dental) on a contractual basis in 1954-55 and 1955-56 Fiscal Years."

We recommend the deletion of \$1,500 from Operating Expense, Medical Care to reduce that item to \$8,860.

This amount of \$1,500 for dental contractual services should not be included in operating expense if the part-time cost of a dentist is included in salaries and wages. Recruiting difficulties have prevented the filling of the part-time dentist position in recent years, and a contractual arrangement has been necessary. This item has been discussed with the Department of Finance and we believe the \$1,500 amount for the contract was included by mistake.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$8,871 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$2,685 over the amount of \$6,186 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$8,871 for equipment, the sum of \$2,778 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$6,093 is for additional

equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$18,316 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$8,871, a reduction of \$9,445, or 51.5 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Farming and Processing—F	Production	and Exper	nditures	
	1953-54	1954-55	1955-56	1956-57
Local production consumed Surplus products sales	\$6,310 	$$9,841 \\ 126$	\$13,400 250	$$13,500 \\ 250$
Total value of production	\$6,310	\$9,967	\$13,650	\$13,750
Salaries and wagesOperating expenses	\$2,014 5,569	\$2,114 6,908	\$2,256 7,175	\$2,506 6,650
Total operating costs	\$7,583	\$9,022	\$9,431	\$9,156
Gross operating profitEquipment costs	-\$1,273 1,081	\$945 1,303	\$4,219 1,990	\$4,594 3,999
Value of production in excess of expenditures	\$2,354	-\$358	\$2,229	\$595

The value of production in excess of expenditures is expected to decline during the budget year primarily as a result of increased expenditures for equipment partially offset by a decline in operating expenses. The equipment expenditure increase results from the replacement of a tractor. The decline in operating expense results from the transfer of the cost of cutting carcasses and meat to the support item of food, miscellaneous. We do not find that credit for production has been adjusted downward to reflect the lesser value of fresh pork compared with cured pork. However, it is not clear from the justification submitted that additional credit for production was allowed in 1954-55 and prior thereto to offset the cost of processing. If heretofore the farm has been given credit for production on the basis of fresh carcass weights and has had to carry the costs of cutting and curing as an expense, the innovation in farm accounting, reflected by this transfer of cost to the support budget will result in a truer picture of the farm operation.

However, we do note that whereas the excess value of production over expenditures in 1954-55 was estimated to be \$3,351 in the 1955-56 Budget it is now actually a loss of \$358 as shown in the 1956-57 Budget. Every effort should be made to attain at least the figures forecast for the farming operation. We recognize that there are other values in the operation besides the monetary profit which may be shown by an accounting of the year's operation. However, these are difficult of measurement and if at all possible the program should be self-supporting. If it is not, the question of its continuation should be examined.

Youth Authority PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY

ITEM 75 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 223 Budget line No. 7

FOR SUPPORT*OF PRESTON SCHOOL OF INDUSTRY FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	
- Carlotte and the Carlotte	

Increase (4 percent)________\$64,334

Preston School of Industry—Continued Summary of Increase

•		INCREASE	_	
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget Line page No.
Salaries and wages	\$50,074	\$30,094	\$19.980	229 26
Operating expense	-2.984	-2,984	. ,	229 27
Equipment	18,799	18,799		229 28
Inmate pay-work projects	-2,962	-2,962		229 29
Add: decreased reimbursements	1,407	1,407	Mark Mark	229 39
Total increase	\$64,334	\$44,354	\$19,980	$229 ext{ } 42$
RECOMMENDATIONS				
Amount budgeted				\$1,683,307
Legislative Auditor's recommend	ation			1,654,367
Reduction				\$28,940

Preston School of Industry is the oldest and largest of the Youth Authority school facilities. It is located near the town of Ione.

It employs the most secure degree of custody of any Youth Authority institution except the reception centers, having a high fence, gate control and perimeter horseback security patrol.

The oldest wards, 16 to 21 years, are placed here for custodial security reasons and because of the program which is largely vocational

training.

Rebuilding and some enlargement of capacity is under way and has been for several years, with seven new dormitories being activated during the current year, replacing smaller older units.

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$28,940 consists of the following amounts in the categories indicated:

				Budget
	Salaries and wages		Amount	Page Line
5	Group supervisors		\$19,980	226 65
5	positions, reducing salar	ries and wages by	\$19,980	

It should be noted that even with the recommended deletions of the above five positions, the agency will still receive the benefit of a total of three new positions involving an increase of \$2,370 in salaries and wages, in the budget year, and an increase of approximately \$18,000 on an annual continuing basis at current wage levels.

•		Bu	dget
Equipment	Amount	Page	Line
Feeding—replacement Religion—replacement	\$7,500 1.460	$\frac{225}{227}$	$\begin{array}{c} 33 \\ 15 \end{array}$
Reduction in equipment	\$8,960		

Preston School of Industry-Continued

F	er Capita Cost	:s			
Fiscal	Institution	$Per\ capita$	Increase over prior year		
year	population	cost	Amount	Percent	
1946-47	568	\$1,622			
1947-48	643	1,787	\$165	10.2	
1948-49	601	2,040	253	14.2	
1949-50	618	2,000	40	-2.0	
1950-51	655	1,933	-67	3.4	
1951-52	650	2,134	201	10.4	
1952-53	661	2,369	235	11.0	
1953-54	655	2,511	142	6.0	
1954-55	571	2,719	208	8.3	
1955-56‡	604	2,850	131	4.8	
1956-57†		2,889	39	1.4	

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

The total expenditures for support of this facility are scheduled to increase \$69,934, or 4.1 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 620 wards, an

increase of 16, or 2.7 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$2,850 to \$2,889, an increase of \$39, or 1.4 percent.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$1,337,603. This represents an increase of \$50,074, or 3.9 percent over the total of \$1,287,529 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following

factors in the amounts indicated:

22 DO COLL OF C Proposition Inc.	32,124 22,350 -4,400
Total increase in solaries and wases	50 074

A total of 268.1 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional eight proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 3 percent in staff, as compared to a 2.6 percent increase in population at this facility.

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each two additional ward increase in institu-

tional population.

The present level of staffing is one position for each 2.3 wards.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility:

[†] Budget request. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Preston School of Industry-Continued Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Inmate

m: , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				Increas	
Fiscal Total Total	tal annual		Level of	prior	year
year employees m	an-hours	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1946-47 220.5	183,336	568	851		
	131,213	643	671	\$180	-21.1
	158,208	601	762	91	13.6
	145,066	618	720	-42	5.5
1950-51 247.2	139,027	655	670	50	-6.9
1951-52 248.0	140,448	650	678	8	1.2
1952-53 259.8	161,405	661	698	20	2.9
1953-54 263.2	167,443	655	714	16	2.3
1954-55 248	140,448	571	771	57	8.0
1955-56‡ 268.1	176,146	604	788	17	2.2
1956-57† 276.1	190,354	620	791	3	0.4

* Forty-hour week became effective.

Budget requests.

† Budget requests. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 791 hours per ward.

This is three hours, or .4 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 121 hours, or 18 percent, above the minimum level of service of 670 hours, experienced in 1950-51 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The eight proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

		Budget	
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Care and welfare			
Custodial and personal care			
*5 Group supervisors	\$19,980	226	65
Education and religion			
3 Youth Authority teachers (effective May 1, 1957)	2,370	226	67
8 Totals	\$22,350		

* Recommended for deletion.

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

		Salaries and wages			$Per\ capita\ costs$			
			Increase				Increase	
				Per-	,			Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amoun	t cent
Administra- tion Support and	\$91,373	\$92,091	\$718	7.9	\$151	\$148	\$3	-1.8
subsistence_	108,730	110.568	1.838	16.9	180	178	-2	-0.9
Care and wel- fare Maintenance		955,048	47,173	5.2	150	154	4	2.5
and opera- tion of plant	133,990	134,834	844	0.6	222	218	-4	-2.0
Farming and processing _	45,236	45,062	174	0.4	75	73	2	· · ·
Totals	\$1,287,204	\$1,337,603	\$50,399	3.9	\$778	\$771	\$7 -	0.9

The per capita cost for salaries and wages is scheduled at \$771 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$7, or 0.9 percent under the amount of \$778 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

5 Group supervisor (Budget page 226, line 65) \$19,980

These positions are requested to provide double coverage on one shift in each of the three new 50-boy dormitories which were occupied in December, 1955.

We recommend the deletion of these five positions.

In the 1954-55 Governor's Budget the agency requested approval of the reassignment of 13 excess boys group supervisor positions to provide four-post coverage for nine dormitories. Four-post coverage provides double position coverage for one shift every day. The Legislature allowed only six of the positions to be reclassified for four-post coverage of four of the dormitories. The results achieved by the use of four posts in lieu of three-post coverage, which had been the standard staffing pattern at Preston, were to form the basis for the allowance of further four-post coverage. No justification in terms of a comparison between dormitories having four-post and dormitories having three-post coverage has been submitted. We believe that the value of four-post coverage at this institution should be demonstrated on the basis of reduced incidents and escapes, and on improved rehabilitation of wards as shown by a decreased length of stay in the institution and better parole success. None of these things have been related to the authorization of four-post coverage at any of the other Youth Authority schools where such coverage is in effect. This office has consistently questioned these increments of post coverage on the basis that the added cost was not justified upon a showing of either offsetting savings in other cost factors or in terms of improved rehabilitation success or both.

The four dormitories for which four-post coverage was allowed in 1954-55 have only been completed and occupied since September, 1955. We do not believe that additional four-post coverage should be allowed at this institution until the four-post coverage allowed by the Legislature in the 1954-55 Budget Bill has been evaluated in accordance

with the understanding upon which it was allowed.

We note from the post assignment schedule submitted by the agency in justification of its 1956-57 request that there are five dormitories staffed on a four-post basis in 1955-56. We raise the question as to the source of the additional post involving 1.6 positions utilized to staff the fifth dormitory.

We recommend that the agency and the Department of Finance determine the source of the positions utilized for four-post coverage in the fifth dormitory, and if such positions result from the reassignment

of excess positions that they be deleted.

A comparison of the post assignment schedule for 1955-56 with that for 1956-57 fails to reveal the source of the additional post in the fifth dormitory. The 1955-56 post assignment schedule shows a total of five dormitories with four-post coverage. These presumably include the four authorized by the Legislature in 1954-55. The 1956-57 post assignment schedule shows five dormitories and one assignment called "Receiving" which had not previously appeared on a post assignment schedule, with four-post coverage. Only five positions for three-post coverage of one new dormitory were allowed in 1955-56. According to the Department of Finance one additional position was budgeted for the purpose of providing nighttime coverage for two companies which

had previously been covered by one position. Due to change in location of the companies this allegedly was no longer possible.

However, this additional position does not appear to check out with post assignment schedules submitted with the agency budget for either

1955-56 or 1956-57.

We question the manner in which post assignment schedules are presented by this agency in justification of position requests. The use of these schedules is governed by directives of the Department of Finance and by directions printed on the forms themselves. Unless these forms are used properly it is not possible to ascertain whether legislative appropriations have been used in accordance with the justification which was originally advanced. Thus it is possible to secure the authorization of positions on a basis approved by the Legislature, utilize the positions for another purpose which the Legislature has not approved and then secure authorization of positions a second time on the original basis. This agency has never numbered its assignments or posts. Assignment or post names are changed frequently without regard to the instruction printed on the form in capital letters to the effect that post titles and numbers are not to be redesignated. For example, Company A appears on both the 1955-56 and 1956-57 schedules. However, it is not possible to tell whether it is the same company, or whether the Company A of 1956-57 was some other company last year or vice versa. The schedule does show that Company A is to be operated as a three-post company in 1956-57, whereas it was a four-post company in 1955-56. If the administration has decided to operate this company in 1956-57 with one less post or 1.6 positions less than it did in 1955-56, then either the 1.6 positions should be abolished or if they are to be used for another function, approval of the Legislature should be secured for the increased level of service which that function will receive. If the positions are to be utilized for the same function but are to be used at a different location, that is on another post, then it should be possible to determine from the post assignment schedule just what disposition has been made of the positions affected.

Apparently numerous changes of assignments have occurred at this institution during the current year; however, it is not possible to ascertain whether they affect policy or even actually result in added and unjustified costs in some functions. Some descrepancies can be questioned on the basis of inference and the roundabout method of checking totals; however, there is no assurance that all such changes will come to the attention of other agencies concerned with a review of budget

revisions.

For example, in the 1955-56 Governor's Budget, page 227, line 11, there is shown one position of medical technical assistant as being authorized for the years 1954-55, and 1955-56. We do not find where any such position had been authorized for this institution in 1954-55. This position only came to our attention at this time as a result of our unsuccessful efforts to reconcile the post assignment schedules of this institution for the last several years. Had this position been designated

on the post assignment schedule at the time it was created by "position classification" in relation to "name of assignment or post" and by "number of assignment" we would have raised the question of its authorization at that time. We think it is pertinent to raise the question at this time, however, since we now find in the 1956-57 Budget at page 226, line 11, that three positions of medical technical assistant are shown as being approved for 1955-56 and 1956-57. While not specifically identified by class as they should be, it appears that these positions may be included on the post assignment schedule. We believe that the agency and the Department of Finance should explain the appearance of these positions in the budget in view of the fact that we cannot find where approval of any such positions was ever requested.

We suggest that hereafter the agency follow the rules with regard to preparation and submission of post assignment schedules, if it expects to rely on such schedules for justification of position requests, and we further suggest that the Department of Finance enforce its own rules

regarding these forms.

3 Youth authority teachers (effective May 1, 1957) (Budget page 226, line 67)_____\$2,370

These teachers are requested to permit the expansion of the school

program in keeping with the expected growth of population.

We recommend approval of these positions subject to their justification before being filled, on the basis of a projection of actual a.d.a. experience; such justification to be approved by the Department of

Finance, and the Legislative Auditor.

We wish to point out again, as we did in connection with the teacher request at the Fricot Ranch School for Boys, that the school population calculations submitted in justification of this request are not based on actual a.d.a. experience but rather upon what is called total average population. This calculation is of little value inasmuch as with the data given we are unable to follow it through as a calculation to the same conclusion reached by the agency. We are of the opinion that with new classrooms and a scheduled increase in population this institution may require some additional teachers. However, we are unable to say on the basis of the data furnished us whether three is the proper number or whether some other number of teachers is more appropriate. We believe that the agency should be required to show the basis for its request before being permitted to fill these positions.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$390,629 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$2,984, or 0.8 percent, under the amount of \$393,613 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is

indicated below:

	Operating expenses			1	Per capit	$\iota \ costs$		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	Incre	ase			Incred	ise
				Per-				Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Administration	\$17,530	\$17,870	\$340	1.9	\$29	\$29		
Support and subsistence _	167,083	176,045	8,962	5.4	277	277	\$7	2.6
Care and		and the state of		:	6 To 12 TO		e facility	
welfare	27,215	$29,\!424$	2,209	8.1	45	47	2	5.4
Maintenance and opera-	e sate		- 18 - 15 T					
tion of plant Farming and	135,310	121,165 -	<i>—14,145</i> —	-10.5	224	195	—2.9 —	-12.8
processing	46,475	46,125	350	—.8	77	. 74	3 -	-3.3
Totals	\$393,613	\$390,629	-\$2,984	8	\$652	\$630	-\$22 -	-3.3

The per capita cost for operating expenses is scheduled at \$630 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$22, or 3.3 percent, under the amount of \$652 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$43,195 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$18,799 over the amount of \$24,396 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$43,195 for equipment, the sum of \$38,287 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$4,908 is for additional equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$67,919 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$43,195, a reduction of \$24,724, or 36.4 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time, except for the specific items discussed below.

Feeding-replacement (Budget page 225, line 33) ______\$10,295 Included in this amount for feeding replacement is an item to replace a dishwashing machine at a cost of \$7,500.

We recommend the deletion of the amount of \$7,500 to reduce this item to \$2,795.

The justification for this request indicates that an estimate of the cost of the repairs needed by this dishwashing machine was furnished by the Tucker Equipment Company on the second of September, 1955. The amount of this estimate was \$1,052.08. This is approximately 14 percent of the cost of a new machine. Inasmuch as this is the only factual information furnished in support of the request, we are compelled to conclude that the machine should be repaired and not replaced.

We note that the justification does state that the machine has been completely overhauled each year for the past four years. If such is the case, we raise the question as to why the cost of such repairs were not included in the justification.

The actual cost of repairs to equipment which was included in miscellaneous feeding expenses in justification of the feeding request for this institution shows that \$504.45 was spent in 1954-55 for repairs to all culinary equipment. We assume that this includes the cost of the

"complete overhaul" of this dishwasher for that year. The 1955-56 estimate of equipment repairs, however, is \$568.36 as is the estimate for 1956-57. In the face of a request for replacement no money for repair of this item should be included in the 1956-57 estimate for repairs to equipment and we raise the question of why this item is budgeted at the same level as the previous two years.

We have repeatedly pointed out that replacement of equipment requests should be based on the facts of cost of repairs and cost of rehabilitation in relation to remaining useful life after rehabilitation. We cannot recommend approval of such requests based on the general statements that the machine is worn out or beyond repair, or doesn't

work properly.

Religion-replacement (Budget page 227, line 15)_____\$1,660

Included in this amount for the replacement of religious equipment is the sum of \$1,460 for an electric organ to replace an existing reed type organ.

We recommend the deletion of \$1,460 to reduce this item to \$200.

A reed type organ which cost less than \$500 new has been in use at this institution since 1941. It is now said to require extensive repairs which will be expensive due to the scarcity of artisans who can do this kind of repair work. We suggest that religious music can be utilized on some other less expensive basis than through the purchase of an electric organ with its attendant maintenance and repair and ultimate replacement costs. It is our understanding that the State does not furnish organs at the other Youth Authority schools and we raise the question of why it should be expected to do so at this school. We raise the further question as to whether the State will be requested to provide such equipment at other Youth Authority facilities if this request is granted. If the State were to supply organs for the other Youth Authority institutions at a comparable cost, it would involve an expenditure of approximately \$10,000 for this equipment.

Farming and Processing—Production and Expenditures

	1953-54	1954-55	1955-56	1956-57
Local production consumed	\$90,999	\$78,905	\$65,000	\$66,000
Surplus products sales	15,991	28,995	30,000	33,000
Total value of production	\$106,990	\$107,900	\$95,000	\$99,000
Salaries and wages	\$42,268	\$43,027	\$45,236	\$45,062
Operating expenses	50,078	51,684	46,475	46,125
Total operating costs	\$92,346	\$94,711	\$91,711	\$91,187
Gross operating profit	\$14,644	\$13,189	\$3,289	\$7,811
Equipment costs	5,418	5,375	5,803	7,717
Value of production in		What pi	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	1337
excess of expenditures	\$9,226	\$7,814	-\$2,514	\$94

The drastic reduction in the value of production in excess of expenditures for 1955-56 and 1956-57 shown by the above table, results from the reduction in the estimates for those years of the value of local production consumed. The agency has estimated in its justification of its feeding request that local production will be approximately \$15,000

Preston School of Industry—Continued Transfer and Add Add Section Serve (1) 2

less in both the current and budget years than in 1954-55. This is said to be due to price decreases and a cheaper type of vegetable produced. The budget actually shows an estimated reduction in the value of local production consumed in 1955-56 of \$13,905. This is 17.6 percent less in the face of an estimated increase in average population from 1954-55 to 1955-56 of 5.8 percent. According to the Department of Finance "Price and Cost Factors to Be Used in 1956-57 Budgets" letter of July 27, 1955, no such drastic price changes have occurred in the price of food. It does not appear reasonable that a change in the type of vegetable grown can have had much impact on the value of production since the items of meat, milk and eggs account for approximately 90 percent of the total value of production. We would also call attention to the fact that while local production consumed is expected to decline, surplus products sales are expected to increase.

This farming operation has been one which appeared to give promise of being worthwhile from an economic point of view as well as for rehabilitation of wards. However, if the estimates discussed above are at all accurate and indicative of a trend at this institution, we believe that this farming operation as well as those at Paso Robles and Fred C. Nelles should receive the closest scrutiny to determine the value of their contribution to the Youth Authority program. We point out that not all of the costs of this operation appear in the direct costs budgeted. A considerable amount of administrative, supervisory and direct custodial salary and wage costs are chargeable to this operation, but are

not budgeted as direct costs.

Youth Authority LOS GUILUCOS SCHOOL FOR GIRLS

ITEM 76 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 230 Budget line No. 7

FOR SUPPORT OF LOS GUILUCOS SCHOOL FOR GIRLS FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1955-56 Fiscal Year	\$680,426 605,453
Increase (12.4 percent)	\$74,973

Summary of Increase

		INCREASE I	OUE TO	4.5	
$(1+\delta^2)(a_1) = (-4\delta^2)(a_1) + (4\delta^2)(a_2)$	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	
Salaries and wages	\$57,480	\$51,420	\$6,060	233	59
Operating expense	20,660	20,660		233	- 60
Equipment	277	-277	. 4:	233	61
Plus: increased reimbursements	2,890	-2,890		233	66
Total increase	\$74,973	\$68,913	\$6,060	-233	69
RECOMMENDATIONS	ing the second of the second	n en			

Amount budgeted	 3680.426
Logiclative Auditor's recommendation	672.366
Ecgloid-170 (taditor o 1000)	 0.2,000

Reduction ______ \$6,060

Los Guilucos School for Girls-Continued

This institution is a training school for girls between the ages of 13 and 17. Minimum security standards prevail. The program is largely academic education with some emphasis on home economics and arts and crafts.

ANALYSIS

The recommended reduction of \$6,060 consists of the following amount in the category indicated:

	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR		•	Buc	aget	
So	laries and wages	and the self-fitting and an ar-	Amount	Page	Line	
1 St	pervisor of academic	instruction	\$6,060	232	44	
-	والمتحمل والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع	eter and a second	and the state of t			
1	Positions, reducing	salaries and wages by	\$6,060			

Per Capita Costs

Fiscal	Institution	Per capita		ase over r year
year	population	cost	Amount	Percent
1946-47	101	\$1,676		
1947-48*	104	2,092	\$416	24.8
1948-49	113	2,067	25	-1.2
1949-50	121	1,927	140°	6. 8
1950-51	117	2,125	198	10.3
1951-52	116	2,396	271	12.7
1952-53	135	3,205	809	33.8
1953-54	107	4,279	1,074	33.5
1954-55	120	4,302	23	0.5
1955-56‡	160	3,996	306	7.1
1956-57†	190	3,785	-211	5.3

The total expenditures for support of this facility are scheduled to increase \$79,773, or 12.5 percent.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 190 wards, an increase of 30, or 18.8 percent.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$3,996 to \$3,785, a decrease of \$211, or 5.3 percent.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$517,394. This represents an increase of \$57,480, or 12.5 percent over the total of \$459,914 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 109.2 established positions A total of 1 proposed new position costing A change in estimated salary savings of	6,060
Total increase in salaries and wages	\$57,480

A total of 109.2 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional one proposed new position. This represents an increase of 0.9 percent in staff, as compared to a 18.8 percent increase in population at this facility.

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

Los Guilucos School for Girls—Continued

On the basis of the proposed budget, the agency is requesting one additional position for each 30 additional ward increase in institutional population.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level

of service extended at this facility.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Ward

			4,47			se over
Fiscal	Total	$Total\ annual$		Level of	prior	year
year	employees	man-hours	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1946-47	39.4	86,365	101	855		
1947-48*	43.6	77,434	104	74 5	110	12.9
1948-49	43.9	77,966	113	690	55	<i>—</i> 7.4
1949-50	45.7	81,163	121	671	19	-2.8
1950-51	45.7	81,163	117	694	23	3.4
1951-52	50.9	90,398	116	779	85	12.2
1952-53	75.5	134,088	135	. 993	214	27.5
1953-54	79.7	141,547	107	1,323	330	33.2
1954-55	87.8	155,933	120	1,299	24	—1. 8
1955-56‡	109.2	193,939	160	1,212	87	-6.7
1956-57†	110.2	195,715	190	1,030	182	15.0

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 1,030 hours per ward.

This is 182 hours, or 15.0 percent, below the level now scheduled for

1955-56.

It is 359 hours, or 53.5 percent, above the minimum level of service of 671 hours, experienced in 1949-50 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The one proposed new position is shown by function as follows:

							Bu	dget
Care and	d welfare:				Æ	4 mount	Page	Line
Educa	tion and r	eligion	e instru	etion		\$6,060	232	44
1	Total					\$6,060		

^{*} Recommended for deletion.

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

	Salaries and wages				Per capita costs			
			Incr	ease			Inc	rease
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	Percent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	Percent
Administration	\$64,374	\$69,269	\$4,895	7.6	\$402	\$364	\$38	9.4
Support and subsistence	27,150	30,877	3,727	13.7	169	162	-7	4.2
Care and welfare	330,499	374,252	43.753	13.2	2,065	1,969	96	-4.6
Maintenance ar	ıd							
plant	37,891	42,996	5,105	13.5	236	226	—10	4.5
Totals	\$459,914	\$517,394	\$57,480	12.5	\$2,874	\$2,723	-\$151	5.3

[‡] Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget. † Budget request.

Los Guilucos School for Girls—Continued

The per capita cost for salaries and wages is scheduled at \$2,723 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$151, or 5.3 percent under the amount of \$2,874 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

It is significant, in noting the rapid increase in total per capita costs at this school, that the 1956-57 per capita cost for salaries and wages alone, which now is scheduled at \$2,723, is \$327 or 13.6 percent more than the entire per capita cost of \$2,396 for all functions and categories of expenditure which prevailed in 1951-52.

1 Supervisor of academic instruction (Budget page 232, line 44) \$6,060

This position is one of three similar positions, requested for three different institutions, which are discussed in the general summary at the beginning of the Youth Authority Analysis. In accordance with that discussion, we recommend deletion of the position.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$166,140 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$20,660, or 14.2 percent, over the amount of \$145,480 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

	(Operating expense				Per capita costs				
			Incr		1.11			rease		
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	Percent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	Percent		
Administration Support and	\$9,825	\$10,800	\$975		\$61	\$56	-\$5	7.4		
subsistence Care and	76,995	90,340	13,345	17.3	481	475	6	0.1		
welfare	21,420	24,200	2,780	13.0	134	127	7	-4.9		
Maintenance an operation of	1 a									
plant	37,240	40,800	3,560	9.6	233	215	<u>—18</u>	7.7		
Totals	\$145,480	\$166,140	\$20,660	14.2	\$909	\$873	\$36	-3.8		

The per capita cost for operating expenses is scheduled at \$873 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$36, or 3.8 percent, under the amount of \$909 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$4,492 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$277 under the amount of \$4,769 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$4,492 for equipment, the sum of \$3,807 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$685 is for additional equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$11,031 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$4,492, a reduction of \$6,539, or 59.3 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

None

Youth Authority VENTURA SCHOOL FOR GIRLS

ITEM 77 of the Budget Bill

Reduction _

Budget page 234 Budget line No. 7

FOR SUPPORT OF VENTURA SCHOOL FOR GIRLS FROM THE GENERAL FUND

Amount requested Estimated to be expended		- \$700,663 - 697,137
Increase (0.5 percent)		3 526

Summary of Increase

		INCREASE	DUE TO		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	
Salaries and wages	\$15,912	\$7,452	\$8,460	238	34
Operating expense	1,390	1,390		238	35
Equipment	13,751	-13,751	· <u>-</u>	238	36
Less: increased reimbursements_	25	25		238	44
Total increase	\$3,526	-\$4,934	\$8,460	238	47
RECOMMENDATIONS					
Amount budgeted Legislative Auditor's recommend		<u> </u>		\$700,	,663 ,663
Legislative Auditor's recommend	Ja Li UII			100	,003

Older girl wards (16 years to 21) are cared for at this institution, which is the original state girls' school. The program is educational, with emphasis on vocational training since more of these girls will be employed upon parole than is the case with the younger girls at Los Guilucos School. Girls live in smaller groups of approximately 25 in separate cottages. Each cottage has its own food preparation facilities rather than a central cooking and dining installation for the entire population.

The buildings are old and in a bad state of repair, primarily because of the hillside site. A new institution has been planned for construction in the near future.

Per Capita Costs

	Institution		Per capita	Increase over prior year	
Fiscal year		population	cost	Amount	Percent
1946-47		179	\$1,764	· · · · <u></u>	
1947-48*		164	2,406	\$642	36.4
1948-49		_ 164	2,685	279	11.6
1949-50		166	2,686	. 1	0.03
1950-51		181	2,587	99	3.7
1951-52		179	2,855	268	10.3
1952-53		174	3,254	399	14.0
1953-54		172	3,746	492	15.1
1954-55		164	3,996	250	6.6
1955-56‡		170	4,338	342	8.5
1956-57†		170	4,366	28	0.6

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective.

The total expenditure for support of this facility are scheduled to increase \$4,626, or 0.6 percent.

[‡] Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget. † Budget request.

Population at the institution is anticipated to average 170 wards, which is the same number estimated for the current year.

This results in the per capita cost going from \$4,338 to \$4,366, an increase of \$28, or 0.6 percent.

Salaries and Wages

The total amount requested for salaries and wages for 1956-57 is \$561.309. This represents an increase of \$15,912, or 2.9 percent over the total of \$545,397 scheduled for expenditure in this category during 1955-56.

The change in salary and wage costs is attributable to the following factors in the amounts indicated:

Merit salary increases on 111.3 established positions \$1. A total of 1.6 proposed new positions costing \$1. A change in estimated salary savings of \$1. Change in estimated savings of \$	8,460
Total increase in salaries and wages \$1	5 912

A total of 111.3 positions are presently authorized. The agency is requesting an additional 1.6 proposed new positions. This represents an increase of 1.4 percent in staff, as compared to no increase in population at this facility.

The present level of staffing is one position for each 1.6 wards. With the new positions requested the level of staffing will be one position for each 1.59 wards.

The following table reflects a comparative measure of the total level of service extended at this facility.

Total Level of Service-Employee Hours Available per Ward

•						se over
	Total	$Total\ annual$		Level of	prior	r year
$Fiscal\ year$	employees	man-hours	Population	service	Amount	Percent
1946-47	 73.9	161,989	179	905		
1947-48*	85.3	151,493	164	924	19	2.1
1948-49	90.7	161,083	164	982	58	6.3
1949-50	$_{-}$ 94.7	$168,\!187$	166	1,013	31	3.1
1950-51	$_{-}$ 94.5	167,832	181	927	 86	8.5
1951-52	9 5.3 .	$169,\!253$	179	946	19	2.0
1952-53	97.5	173,160	174	995	49	5.2
1953-54	_ 102	181,152	172	1,053	58	5.8
1954-55	105.3	187,013	164	1,140	87	8.3
1955-56‡	111.3	197,669	170	1,163	23	2.0
1956-57†	$_{-}$ 112.9	200,510	170	1,179	16	1.4

^{*} Forty-hour week became effective. ‡ Estimated as shown in 1956-57 Budget.

† Budget request.

Under the proposed budget request for 1956-57, the level of service will average 1,179 hours per ward.

This is 16 hours, or 1.4 percent, above the level now scheduled for 1955-56.

It is 274 hours, or 30.3 percent, above the minimum level of service of 905 hours, experienced in 1946-47 during the period of 11 fiscal years, reflected in the above table.

The 1.6 proposed new positions are shown by function as follows:

		Bu	dget
Functions and positions	Amount	Page	Line
Care and welfare:			
Education and religion:			
0.3 Chaplain—Catholic (increase to one-half time).	\$2,028	236	76
0.3 Chaplain—Protestant (increase to one-half time	e) 2,028	236	78
Maintenance and operation of plant:			
Maintenance of structures:		4	
1 Plumber	4,404	237	53
1.6 Total	\$8 460		

Functional and Per Capita Distribution of Salaries and Wages

		lalaries and	wages			Per capit	$a\ costs$	
			Incre	ise			Incre	ase
Contract to the property	1.7			Per-				Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Àmount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Administration	\$66,520	\$67,138	\$618	0.9	\$391	\$394	\$3	0.9
Support and sub-								
sistence	66,263	66,649	386	0.6	390	392	2	0.6
Care and welfare	373,426	383,431	10,005	2.7	2,197	$2,\!256$	59	2.7
Maintenance and operation of								
plant	39,188	44,091	4,903	12.5	230	259	29	12.5
Totals	\$545,397	\$561,309	\$15,912	2.9	\$3,208	\$3,301	\$93	2.9

The per capita cost for salaries and wages is scheduled at \$3,301 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$93, or 2.9 percent over the amount of \$3,208 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

0.3 Chaplain Catholic (increase to one-half time)
(Budget page 236, line 76) \$2,028
0.3 Chaplain Protestant (increase to one-half time)
(Budget page 236, line 78) \$2,028

These positions are requested to increase the authorized positions of chaplains at this institution from 0.2 position to 0.5 position for both the Protestant and the Catholic faiths. It is stated that voluntary services are not to be available to the extent that they have been in the past and that other youth authority institutions have at least 0.5 chaplain positions provided.

We recommend approval of the positions.

Apparently the religious program at this institution has been for a priest and a minister to provide certain minimum religious services and some counseling with an order of nuns and voluntary lay workers supplementing the chaplain services by religious education and counseling without remuneration. It is unclear from the justification submitted whether the institution believes that this combination of sources of religious service has not provided a service comparable to that at other institutions or whether the voluntary portion of the services will be unavailable unless it is compensated for or whether such voluntary services will be completely unavailable.

We are recommending approval of the increase in any event, however, in order to insure the institution of the same level of religious service as other comparable institutions. With the same appropriation

available, the institution may be able to recruit half-time chaplains as is the case at other institutions. If the combination of sources of religious services is continued but on a paid rather than a voluntary basis, we would suggest that the agency examine the question of whether this method is as effective in relation to ward rehabilitation as the single half-time chaplain would be.

1 Plumber (Budget page 237, line 53)_____\$4,404

This position is requested to maintain the 35-year-old steam, water, sewer and gas lines in view of the fact that no major replacements are being requested pending construction of a new institution.

We recommend approval of the position.

In view of the age and condition of the plumbing facilities of this institution we are recommending the approval of this position with the hope that his services can prevent excessive emergency repairs during the remaining time this institution will be in use. However, we wish to point out that the cost of this position is in addition to the special maintenance appropriation in the minor capital outlay portion of the budget which has been made also to take care of emergency situations which may develop as a result of the extremely bad condition of the buildings and facilities at this institution. The addition of this position to the already excessive per capita cost of this institution should again re-emphasize the desirability of proceeding with the construction of the new school as rapidly as possible.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are scheduled at \$138,825 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$1,390, or 1 percent, over the amount of \$137,435 estimated to be expended in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The request and per capita cost by function for operating expenses is indicated below:

	o	perating es	vpenses			$Per\ capit$	a costs	
		5.3	Incre	ase			Incred	ase
			100	Per-				Per-
Function	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent	1955-56	1956-57	Amount	cent
Administration	\$10,800	\$11,100	\$300	2.8	\$63.	\$65	\$2	2.7
Support and subsistence	80,535	80,605	70	0.1	474	474	<u> </u>	-
Care and welfare	19,715	20,575	860	4.4	116	121	5	4.3
Maintenance and operation of					t .			
plant	26,385	26,545	160	0.6	155	156	1	0.6
Totals	\$137,435	\$138,825	\$1,390	1.0	\$808	\$816	\$8	10.1

The per capita cost for operating expenses is scheduled at \$816 for 1956-57. This is an increase of \$8, or 10.1 percent, over the amount of \$808 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Equipment

Equipment expenditures are scheduled at \$12,349 for 1956-57. This is a decrease of \$13,751 under the amount of \$26,100 estimated for expenditure in 1955-56.

Out of the total of \$12,349 for equipment, the sum of \$9,899 is for replacement items and the further sum of \$2,450 is for additional

equipment.

The budget as originally submitted by this facility requested \$29,652 for equipment. Modification of this amount after review to \$12,349, a reduction of \$17,303, or 58.4 percent, results in a level of expenditure for the purpose that appears reasonable and ample to meet agency requirements at this time.

Department of Education GENERAL ACTIVITIES

ITEM 78 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 240 Budget line No. 6

Amount requested Estimated to be expended in 1	.955-56 Fiscal	 Year		\$2,733 2,660	
Increase (2.7 percent)	·		-	\$72	,247
Sı	ummary of Inc	rease			- '
		INCREASE I	OUE_TO		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	t Line No.
Salaries and wages	_ \$79,668	\$64,794	\$14,874	258	ξ
Operating expense	_ 2,608	—18,668	21,276		10
Equipment		-25,774	18,650	258	11
Increased reimbursements		-2,905	·	258	27
Total increase	\$72,247	\$17,447	\$54,800	258	30
RECOMMENDATIONS					
Amount budgeted				\$2,733	.241
Legislative Auditor's recomm	endation			2,728	,009

ANALYSIS

The budget request of the State Department of Education is \$2,733,-241. This is \$72,247, or 2.7 percent, greater than the estimated expenditure in the 1955-56 Fiscal Year.

The Department of Education consists of five divisions. These divisions and their 1956-57 budget requests and budget increases are as follows:

20 20220 1121		
Division	Request	Increase
1. Departmental Administration 2. School Administration 3. Instruction 4. State Colleges and Teacher Education 5. Special Schools and Services	762,584 698,785 538,231	-\$375 $-13,009$ $-16,707$ $+52,591$ $+57.347$
SubtotalIncome	\$2,766,641	\$79,847 —7,600
Total	\$2,733,241	\$72,247

From the above table it can be readily seen that the increases occur in the Division of State Colleges and the Division of Special Schools.