



# Methamphetamine-Related Programs Various Agenda Pages and Items

June 24, 2008

*(All Funds, In Millions)*

Agenda Page	Program (Department)	2007-08	2008-09	
			Assembly	Senate
<b>Prevention</b>				
207	California Methamphetamine Initiative (DADP)	\$9.6	\$9.0	—
<b>Treatment<sup>a</sup></b>				
NIC	Proposition 36 programs <sup>b</sup>	\$60.0	\$60.0	\$60.0
NIC	Drug Court funds (DADP and Judicial Branch) <sup>b</sup>	17.0	17.0	17.0
<b>Enforcement</b>				
42-closed <sup>c</sup>	California Methamphetamine Strategy program (DOJ)	\$15.1	\$15.1	\$10.6
29, 30	California Multi-Jurisdictional Methamphetamine Enforcement Team (OES)	29.4	9.8	9.5
NIC	Clandestine Laboratory Enforcement Program (DOJ)	0.5	0.5	0.5
NIC	CrackDown Task Forces (DOJ program funded through OES)	0.2	0.2	0.2
<b>Mitigation/Clean-Up</b>				
NIC	Clandestine Lab Clean-Up Program (DTSC)	\$8.7	\$6.3	\$6.3
<b>Totals</b>		<b>\$140.5</b>	<b>\$117.9</b>	<b>\$104.1</b>
<p><sup>a</sup> The DADP administers a range of programs that serve meth users. This section is intended to reflect only those programs where data suggests a high percentage of recipients with meth-related treatments. Excluded programs serve unknown meth-related populations.</p> <p><sup>b</sup> One-half of total funding is shown because a 2005 UCLA report suggests that 50 percent of all recipients of Proposition 36 funds listed meth as their primary drug, and more generally, according to a 2007 DADP report, meth is the principal drug underlying admissions to publicly funded drug treatment programs in California.</p> <p><sup>c</sup> Conference closed issue with the Senate version.</p> <p>DADP = Department of Alcohol and Drug Treatment Programs; NIC = Not in conference; DOJ = Department of Justice; OES = Office of Emergency Services; DTSC = Department of Toxic Substances Control; UCLA = University of California, Los Angeles.</p>				