

September 23, 2008

# **Proposition 6** Police and Law Enforcement Funding. Criminal Penalties and Laws: Safe Neighborhoods Act

### LEGISLATIVE ANALYST'S OFFICE

Presented to:

Senate Public Safety Committee Hon. Gloria Romero, Chair

Assembly Public Safety Committee Hon. Jose Solorio, Chair





## Summary of Major Provisions and Fiscal Effects of Proposition 6

### **Major Provisions**

- Establishes required state spending levels for certain new and existing criminal justice programs.
- Increases penalties for certain crimes, as well as creates some new felonies and misdemeanors.
- Makes changes to state parole policies and other criminal justice policies.

## **Fiscal Effects**

- Would increase state operating costs by more than \$500 million annually within a few years, growing in subsequent years.
- Would also potentially result in one-time capital outlay costs of more than \$500 million for state prisons.
- Unknown net fiscal impact to other criminal justice agencies such as the courts, police, and jails.



## Required Spending Levels for Certain Criminal Justice Programs

### (In Millions)

	Current Spending Level	Proposition 6	Change
Local law enforcement <sup>a</sup>	\$187	\$406	\$219
Local juvenile programs	413 <sup>b</sup>	479	66
New offender rehabilitation programs and evaluations	—	23	23
New crime victim assistance programs	_	13	13
Other new state programs		45	45
Totals	\$600	\$965	\$365
a Local law enforcement includes funding directed to police, sheriffs, district attorneys, adult probation, and jails.			
b Includes \$93 million for the Youthful Offender B Detail may not total due to rounding.	Block Grant as auth	norized by current law	for 2009-10.



Creates new state-funded criminal justice programs and requires that certain existing programs be funded at least at their 2007-08 levels.



Requires total state spending of at least \$965 million for these programs beginning in 2009-10, an increase of \$365 million compared to 2007-08.



Funding for most programs would be adjusted annually for inflation (an increase of about \$100 million in about five years).



Dedicates most of the new state spending to local law enforcement activities, directed primarily to police, sheriffs, district attorneys, jails, and probation offices.



## **Increased Penalties for Certain Crimes**

### Proposition 6 Examples of Increased Penalties and New Crimes Created by This Measure

#### **Gang Participation and Recruitment**

- Gang members<sup>a</sup> convicted of home robbery, carjacking, extortion, or threats to witnesses would be subject to life terms in prison.
- Adds additional five years in prison for gang recruitment if the person recruited was under the age of 14.
- Doubles penalties for inmates who commit a felony as part of a gang.
- Ten-year additional penalty for gang members who attempt to commit violent crimes.
- Failure to register as a gang member with local law enforcement would be a felony or misdemeanor, depending on the underlying conviction.

#### Methamphetamine Crimes

- Defines possession of methamphetamines as a felony. (This crime currently can be prosecuted as a misdemeanor or a felony.)<sup>b</sup>
- Increases prison term for sale, possession for sale, and transportation of methamphetamines generally by one year.

#### **Vehicle Theft**

- Adds additional year in prison for car theft if theft was for purpose of selling the stolen car.
- Allows law enforcement authorities to impound vehicles for up to 60 days when a gun used in a crime is found in one.
- Generally prohibits probation for a conviction of car theft if the offender has multiple prior convictions for car theft.

#### **Other Increased Penalties and New Crimes**

- Up to four-year prison term for intimidating a witness, judge, or other person for participating in a court proceeding.
- Unauthorized removal of an offender's GPS device that is required under existing law or worn as a condition of probation or parole would be a misdemeanor or felony, depending on the underlying conviction.
- Ten additional years in prison for possession of a concealed weapon by certain convicted felons.
- <sup>a</sup> Generally as defined in Penal Code 186.22.
- <sup>b</sup> Measure does not change eligibility for some offenders for drug treatment diversion under Proposition 36.

### LEGISLATIVE ANALYST'S OFFICE



# **Fiscal Effects of Increased Penalties**

Increases criminal penalties for certain crimes, as well as creates some new felonies and misdemeanors. Would likely result in more offenders being sentenced to state prison or jail for a longer period for the crimes specified.



 $\mathbf{\nabla}$ 

Such changes—as well as the proposition's changes to parole policies—would increase state costs to operate the prison and parole system likely by at least a couple hundred million dollars annually after a number of years. Also would result in one-time capital outlay costs related to prison construction, potentially exceeding \$500 million.



Net fiscal impact to other criminal justice agencies—such as courts, police, and jails—is unknown. Although various provisions of Proposition 6 could result in increased costs to these agencies, other provisions could result in reduced costs.



## Parole Policy and Other Criminal Justice Changes

### **State Parole Policy Changes**

- Parole Agent Caseloads. Reduces average parole agent caseloads to 50 parolees per parole agent.
- Global Positioning System (GPS). Requires the state to pay the cost of GPS monitoring of sex offenders after discharge from parole.

### **Other Criminal Justice Changes**

- Hearsay Evidence. Expands the circumstances in which hearsay evidence is admissible in court.
- Gang Injunction Procedures. Makes it easier for local law enforcement agencies to bring lawsuits against members of street gangs to prevent them from engaging in criminal activity.
- Criminal Background Checks for Public Housing Residents. Requires housing agencies receiving funding under this measure to conduct criminal background checks of all public housing residents at least once per year.
- Temporary Housing for Offenders. Permits counties with overcrowded jails to operate temporary jails and treatment facilities, and requires them to meet local health and safety codes that apply to residences.
- Release of Undocumented Persons. Prohibits a person charged with a violent or gang-related felony from being released on bail or his or her own recognizance pending trial if he or she is illegally in the United States.
- Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council Membership. Changes who may participate on local juvenile justice coordinating councils.
- Juveniles in Adult Court. Expands the circumstances under which juveniles would be eligible for trial in an adult criminal court, rather than the juvenile court system, for certain gangrelated offenses.

LEGISLATIVE ANALYST'S OFFICE



# Summary of Proposition's Fiscal Effects On State and Local Governments

Fiscal Effects	Amount	
<i>State Operations.</i> Increase in net annual state costs primarily for the following:	More than \$500 million within first few years, which would grow by tens of millions of dollars annually in subsequent years.	
<ul> <li>Required spending of \$965 million for certain new and existing criminal justice programs, an increase of \$365 million.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Requirement that certain crimi- nal justice program spending increase annually with inflation.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Increased penalties for certain crimes resulting in higher prison population.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Increased parole costs due to reduced caseload requirements.</li> </ul>		
<i>State Capital Outlay.</i> Additional one-time state capital outlay costs for prison facilities.	Potentially more than \$500 million.	
Other Criminal Justice Agency Operations. Costs and savings to state trial courts, county jails, and other criminal justice agencies.	Unknown net fiscal impact.	