

Operating expenses are scheduled to increase \$395, going from \$14,705 in 1949-50 to \$15,100 in 1950-51, an advance of 2.6 percent. Printing expense has increased from \$375 to \$800. This is due to the printing of registers of actions, which is done only once each four or five years.

Law library expenditures have increased \$264 from \$1,906 in 1949-50 to \$2,170, or 13.8 percent. Normal expansion of current sets of law books accounts for 86 percent of library costs.

GENERAL SUMMARY

The Fourth District Court of Appeal consists of one division of three justices elected from the Fourth Judicial District for a 12-year term. This district covers 10 counties. Court sessions rotate every four months between San Diego, San Bernardino, and Fresno. Twenty-five percent of the filings are in San Diego, 36 percent in San Bernardino, 39 percent in Fresno.

The annual cost to the State for the added service to litigants of holding sessions in three cities is approximately \$25,000. Travel expense amounts to \$13,990, and additional clerks cost \$11,000.

This is the only district court that does not have a research staff of lawyers. Salaries of justices amount to 54.7 percent of total salary payments.

The following tabulation indicates an increase of 18.4 percent in work load in 1948-49 over 1947-48:

	1946-47	1947-48	1948-49
Filings -----	118	110	156
Transfers from Supreme Court -----	91	69	56
Total -----	207	179	212
Total business transacted -----	240	263	255

GOVERNOR

ITEM 27 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 25
Budget line No. 18

For Support of the Governor's Office From the General Fund

Amount requested -----	\$251,409
Estimated to be expended in 1949-50 Fiscal Year -----	243,377
Increase (3.3 percent) -----	\$8,032

Summary of Increases

	Total increase	INCREASE DUE TO		Budget page	Line No.
		Work load or salary adjustments	New services		
Salaries and Wages -----	\$9,032	\$9,032	---	25	33
Operating Expenses -----	---	---	---	25	34
Equipment -----	-1,000	-1,000	---	25	35
Total Increase -----	\$8,032	\$8,032			

RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted -----	\$251,409
Legislative Auditor's Recommendation -----	251,409
Reduction -----	None

ANALYSIS

The increase of \$9,032 in salaries and wages provides for normal salary increases and a continuation of the same number of positions as in the 1949-50 Fiscal Year. Expenditures for equipment have been reduced \$1,000.

The Budget Bill exempts this item from provisions of the Government Code providing for the audit of claims and the submission of a budget.

The amount requested does not reflect the full cost of this office, as expenditures for automobile operation, salary of a chauffeur, and cost of certain cars are paid by the California Highway Patrol. These costs amount to approximately \$6,500 annually. We recommend that when such services are regularly furnished that the costs be shown in the budget of the office receiving them.

GOVERNOR'S RESIDENCE

ITEM 28 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 26
Budget line No. 6

For Support of the Governor's Residence From the General Fund

Amount requested	\$12,000
Estimated to be expended in 1949-50 Fiscal Year	12,000
Increase	None

RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount Budgeted	\$12,000
Legislative Auditor's Recommendation	12,000
Reduction	None

ANALYSIS

This is the customary amount. We recommend approval of the amount requested.

**Governor
SPECIAL SECRET SERVICE EXPENSE**

ITEM 29 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 26
Budget line No. 7

*For Support of the Special Contingent Expenses of the Governor's Office
From the General Fund*

Amount requested	\$7,500
Estimated to be expended in 1949-50 Fiscal Year	7,500
Increase	None

RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted	\$7,500
Legislative Auditor's Recommendation	7,500
Reduction	None

ANALYSIS

This is the customary amount. We recommend approval.

CALIFORNIA STATE DISASTER COUNCIL

Item 30 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 27
Budget line No. 28

For Support of the California State Disaster Council From the General Fund

Amount requested	\$19,993
Estimated to be expended in 1949-50 Fiscal Year	20,266
Decrease (1.3 percent)	<u>\$273</u>

Summary of Increases

	Total increase	INCREASE DUE TO		Budget page	Line No.
		Work load or salary adjustments	New services		
Salaries and Wages	—\$296	—\$296	---	27	41
Operating Expenses	115	115	---	27	42
Equipment	—92	—92	---	27	43
Total Decrease	<u>—\$273</u>	<u>—\$273</u>			

RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted	\$20,266
Legislative Auditor's Recommendation	None
Reduction	<u>\$20,266</u>

ANALYSIS

The amount budgeted for this activity for 1950-51 is satisfactory if the present organization is to be continued.

However, we take issue with the necessity for the continuance of the organization in its present form.

We recommend that the Disaster Council as now constituted be abolished and that it be reconstituted and be composed of the following state officers:

1. Adjutant General
2. Attorney General
3. Commissioner of the Highway Patrol
4. Director of Public Works
5. Director of Public Health

It is our further recommendation that the Governor appoint the chairman and that the duties to be performed be ex officio of the respective offices of the members of the council.

The present state of progress indicates that 95 percent of the population of the State is now covered by those areas which are participating in the Disaster Council program.

California is already well ahead of most of the other states in civil defense planning and is one of the few states where a formal paid staff has been specifically designated for this function solely.

The Federal Government itself, in statements contained in Document 121, of the National Security Resources Board issued on October 5, 1949, states that it "is not prepared at this time to furnish state and local governments all of the information and guidance needed by them

from federal sources to prepare well integrated and timely civil defense plans for state and local use in emergency."

We believe that a reorganization of the council on the recommended basis will place such planning at the state level in the hands of the group who have the greatest responsibility in the event of widespread disaster by virtue of the nature of the departments designated.

In addition to the operating advantage indicated, there will result substantial savings in the reduction effected in the State Budget.

LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

ITEM 31 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 28
Budget line No. 11

For Support of the Lieutenant Governor from the General Fund

Amount requested -----	\$27,800
Estimated to be expended in 1949-50 Fiscal Year -----	25,607
	<hr/>
Increase (8.6 percent) -----	\$2,193

Summary of Increases

	Total increase	INCREASE DUE TO		Budget page	Line No.
		Work load or salary adjustments	New services		
Salaries and Wages -----	—\$107	—\$107	---	28	24
Operating Expenses -----	2,300	2,300	---	28	25
Equipment -----	---	---	---	28	26
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>		
Total Increase -----	\$2,193	\$2,193			

RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted -----	\$27,800
Legislative Auditor's Recommendation -----	27,800
	<hr/>
Reduction -----	None

ANALYSIS

The increase in operating expense of \$2,300 represents an increase of \$2,000 in travel and \$300 in automobile operation. These increases are justified on the basis that 1950-51 is a General Session year. These items show a decrease of \$1,026 over the last General Session year 1948-49. We recommend approval.

GENERAL SUMMARY

In addition to his legislative duty of presiding over the Senate, the Lieutenant Governor is a member of the Board of Regents of the University of California, a member of the Reapportionment Commission, a member of the Toll Bridge Authority, Disaster Council, State Lands Commission, and Commission on Interstate Cooperation.

We again recommend that additional responsibilities be given to the position of Lieutenant Governor in order that the State might benefit from the fullest utilization of his services.