## Department of Agriculture GENERAL FUND

| ITEM 40 | of the | Budget | Bill |
|---------|--------|--------|------|
|---------|--------|--------|------|

Budget page 51
Budget line No. 2

|   |                                | Budget lir     | ne No. 2         |
|---|--------------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| For Support of the Department of Agric                    |                                |                | *                |
| Amount requested<br>Estimated to be expended in 1949-50 I | Fiscal Year                    | \$4,58<br>4,54 | 86,715<br>17,173 |
| Decrease (0.2 percent)                                    |                                | <b></b> \$1    | 10,458           |
| Summary o   | of Increases                   | •              | •                |
| Cummary   | INCREASE                       | OTTE TO        |                  |
| Total   | Work load or                   | Now            | Budget Line      |
| increase  | salary adjustments<br>\$61,189 | services       | page No.         |
| Salaries and Wages \$76,369                               | \$61,189                       | \$15,180       | 51 	 32          |
| Operating Expenses  | -43,696                        | 1,500          | 51 	 33          |
| Equipment   | 26,632                         | 1.208          | 51. 34           |
| Less:   | ,                              | ,              |                  |
| Increase in Reimburse-                                    |                                |                |                  |
| ments   | 19,207                         |                | 51 38            |
| Total Increase\$10,458                                    | -\$28,346                      | \$17,888       |                  |
| RECOMMENDATIONS   |                                |                |                  |
| Amount budgeted   | ·<br>                          |                | \$4,536,715      |
| Legislative Auditor's Recommenda                          | tion                           |                | 4,403,192        |
| Reduction   |                                |                | \$133,523        |
| ANALYSIS  |                                |                |                  |
| The proposed 1950-51 expendit                             | ures for the fun               | ations of th   | a Donart         |
| me proposed 1000-01 expendit                              |                                |                |                  |
| ment of Agriculture are as follows:                       | Proposed                       | Increa<br>194  | se over          |
| 77  | expenaitures<br>1950-51        | 194            | 9-50<br>D        |
| Function  |                                |                | Percent          |
| DEPARTMENTAL ADMINISTRATION                               | \$160,251                      | \$8,387        | <del></del> 5    |
| Division of Plant Industry                                |                                |                |                  |
| Administration  | 8,940                          |                |                  |
| Bureau of Entomology                                      | ,                              |                |                  |
| General entomology  | 144,122                        | 5,216          | 4                |
| Destruction and control of beet leaf hor                  |                                | •              |                  |
| and host plants   |                                | -4,995         | 4                |
| Grape leaf skeletonizer eradication                       | 116,512                        | 11.520         | <u>—</u> 9       |
| Mexican bean beetle suppression                           | 119,738                        | -108,853       | 48               |
| Citrus white fly eradication                              |                                | -7,733         |                  |
| Oriental fruit fly survey and host tests                  |                                | 450            | . 1              |
| Bureau of Plant Quarantine                                |                                | 15,714         | 2                |
| Bureau of Plant Pathology                                 | ·                              | •              |                  |
| Plant pathology   | 79,286                         | 2,509          | 3                |
| Quick decline of citrus                                   | 33,801                         | 1,133          | 3                |
| Bureau of Rodent and Weed Control                         | and                            |                |                  |
| Seed Inspections  | `                              | -              |                  |

178,234

157,678

30,803

 $5,\!574$  180

991

-\$101,334

Rodent and weed control and seed inspec-

Predatory animal control

ment \_\_\_\_\_

Totals, Division of Plant Industry\_\_\_\_ \$1,792,051

Bureau of Chemistry Spray Residue Enforce-

|                                     | Proposed       | Increas           | $e\ over$     |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Function                            | expenditures   | 1949              | -50           |
| Division of Animal Industry         | 1950-51        | Amount            | Percent       |
| Administration                      | _ \$8,940      |                   |               |
| Bureau of Livestock Disease Control | 1.7            |                   |               |
| Livestock disease control           | _ 759,169      | \$59,580          | 9             |
| Bovine brucellosis control          | $_{-}$ 422,136 | 26,231            | 7             |
| Bureau of Dairy Services            | _ 119,646      | -3,897            | 3             |
| Bureau of Meat Inspection           | _ 603,379      | 11,744            | 2             |
| Totals, Division of Animal Industry | \$1,913,270    | \$93,658          | 5             |
| Division of Marketing               |                |                   |               |
| Administration                      | \$8.418        | <b>\$402</b>      | 5             |
| General marketing service           |                | 3,294             | 9             |
| Bureau of Market News               | _ 285,250      | 5,656             | $\frac{2}{2}$ |
| Bureau of Agricultural Statistics   | _ 48,137       | 871               | . 2           |
| Bureau of Fruit and Vegetable       |                |                   |               |
| Standardization                     | _ 230,910      | 488               |               |
| Bureau of Weights and Measures      | _ 59,089       | 5,106             | 8             |
| Totals, Division of Marketing       | _ \$671,143    | \$5,605           | 1             |
| Totals, Support                     | _ \$4,536,715  | \$10,458          | 0.2           |
| REVENUES, GENERAL FUND              |                |                   |               |
| Licenses and fees                   | _ \$109,710    | -\$1,180          | 1             |
| Miscellaneous income                |                | $-\varphi_{I,IO}$ |               |
| Miscellaneous income                | 1,110          |                   |               |
| Total Revenues                      | \$110,885      | -\$1,180          | —1            |

## Mexican Bean Beetle Suppression

The suppression of the Mexican bean beetle in Ventura County was undertaken in 1946. The program has been successful, and certain portions of the area have been relieved of control measures where findings have indicated apparent eradication. However, in August, 1949, a new infestation was found approximately two and one-half miles west of a known infestation. An amount of \$119,738 is budgeted for the continuation of this project, a reduction of \$108,853 or 48 percent below the expenditure for the Fiscal Year 1949-50.

## Oriental Fruit Fly

Chapter 1241, Statutes of 1949, appropriated \$34,800 for survey of Oriental fruit fly and host plant tests. The budget provides \$35,250 for continuation of this work for the 1950-51 Fiscal Year, an increase of \$450 or 1 percent over the appropriation for the 1949-50 Fiscal Year.

## Livestock Disease Control

The increase of \$59,580 or 9 percent in the budget for livestock disease control is due to an increase in the amount budgeted for bovine tuberculosis indemnities and the planned increase in laboratory facilities.

Chapter 1090, Statutes of 1949, provides for increasing the indemnity rate of the bovine tuberculosis provisions of the Agriculture Code from \$25 to \$50 for grade animals and \$50 to \$75 for purebred animals. The new rates became effective as of October, 1949, and will result in an increased cost of \$91,250 for the Fiscal Year 1949-50 and \$120,000 for the Fiscal Year 1950-51. The total amount budgeted for bovine tuberculosis indemnities for 1950-51 is \$250,000, an increase of \$33,750 or 15.6

percent over the amount of \$216,250 estimated to be expended in the 1949-50 Fiscal Year.

The Bureau of Livestock Disease Control budget provides for expanded level of service as of January 1, 1951, with the opening of the new diagnostic laboratory at Fresno and the enlargement of the laboratory at Petaluma to include complete livestock as well as poultry pathological work. Six new positions are proposed for the Fresno laboratory and one new position for the expansion of the laboratory at Petaluma. The livestock disease control function has a total budget of \$759,169 and provides for five diagnostic laboratories at the following locations:

Sacramento, Sacramento County San Gabriel, Los Angeles County Petaluma, Sonoma County Turlock, Stanislaus County Fresno, Fresno County

### Bovine Brucellosis Control

The bovine brucellosis control program was authorized by Chapter

1460 of the 1947 Statutes. This act provides, in part, as follows:

"260.1. On and after January 2, 1948, all female dairy type calves shall be vaccinated by an official veterinarian or an accredited veterinarian authorized by the department \* \* \*, provided further that male dairy calves and any beef calves, at the option of the owner may be so vaccinated.

"261.4. No owner or other person in charge of dairy type calves shall be obligated by this article to pay for any vaccination or marking

of calves provided for by this article."

The act provides for mandatory vaccination of female dairy type calves at state expense. Beef type calves and male dairy type calves may be vaccinated at the owner's request, but the statutes do not provide that the State shall pay the cost of these vaccinations.

The estimated number of vaccinations, by type of calves, is shown for

| 9-50 and 1950-51 as follows:         | Fisca                | $l\ Year$            |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Estimated number of vaccinations:    | 1949-50              | 1950-51              |
| Dairy type calvesBeef type calves    | $209,000 \\ 110,000$ | 198,000<br>121,000   |
| Estimated TotalCost of vaccinations: | 319,000              | 319,000              |
| Dairy type calvesBeef type calves    | \$213,030<br>112,120 | \$218,493<br>133,523 |
| Total                                |                      | \$352,016            |

Vaccination of dairy type calves is of primary importance for protection of public health. However, vaccination of beef type calves is of secondary importance for public health and cannot be fully effective unless made mandatory for all beef type calves. We therefore recommend the budget request for the Bureau of Bovine Brucellosis Control be reduced to an amount sufficient to provide bovine brucellosis control for dairy type calves only, a saving of approximately \$133,523 for the Fiscal Year 1950-51.

## Bureau of Meat Inspection

The amount requested is \$603,379, an increase of \$11,744 or 2.0 percent over the amount of \$591,635 estimated to be expended in the 1949-50 Fiscal Year.

The following tabulation shows the number of establishments operating under inspection and the number of meat inspectors:

|              | Establishments |          | Inspectors |               |
|--------------|----------------|----------|------------|---------------|
|              |                | Annual   |            | Annual        |
| As of July 1 | Number         | increase | Number     | increase      |
| 1945         | . 146          |          | 68         |               |
| 1946         |                | 32       | 87         | 19            |
| 1947         | 194            | 16       | 96         | 9             |
| 1948         | $_{-}$ 245     | 51       | 103        | 7             |
| 1949         | 264            | 19       | 95         | <del>-8</del> |
| 1950 (est.)  | . 272          | 8        | 98         | 3             |
| 1951 (est.)  | 280            | 8        | 101        | 3             |

The 1950 census will undoubtedly place the population of two additional counties at over 37,500 and make mandatory meat inspection service in those counties.

During the past year city-approved inspection has been discontinued in San Leandro, San Diego, Pasadena and San Jose. At present only three cities maintain a city-approved meat inspection service, namely Sacramento, Oakland and San Francisco.

## General Marketing Service

The amount requested is \$39,339 from the General Fund, an increase of \$3,294 or 9.1 percent over the amount of \$36,045 estimated to be expended in the 1949-50 Fiscal Year.

Two new positions are requested: Assistant marketing economist and auditor, grade 2. The assistant marketing economist will provide "an adequate number of men in training to handle nontechnical and preliminary economic and supervisory assistance and to prepare for future requirements." The additional position of auditor, grade 2, is necessitated by an increase in number of handlers of agricultural commodities from 1,800 to 3,000 for a two-year period during which time the audit staff has had but two auditors.

## GENERAL SUMMARY

The function of the Department of Agriculture is to administer and enforce the provisions of the Agricultural Code and related statutes. These laws provide regulatory and service activities for the protection of crops and livestock from infectious diseases, pest animals, weeds and injurious insects, and provide aids to insure the marketing of quality agricultural products. The department cooperates with the United States Department of Agriculture and county agricultural services.

The department is organized on the basis of an administrative office and three divisions:

1. The administrative office, headed by the director, supervises the department and handles the department's fiscal, personnel and public relations activities.

2. The Division of Plant Industry enforces quarantines and otherwise protects crops against insects, pest animals, birds, weeds and plant diseases; maintains a laboratory to develop means of treating plant diseases; performs regulatory and service functions dealing with the testing of seeds, inspection of field crop products, the manufacture and sale of commercial feeding stuffs, and the warehousing of agricultural products; enforces the provisions of the Agricultural Code relating to commercial fertilizers, agricultural minerals, economic poisons and spray residue.

3. The Division of Animal Industry maintains a program of general livestock disease prevention, including both eradication and control activities, accomplished by means of inspections, quarantines and laboratory findings; administers laws protecting and regulating California dairy products; helps protect livestock owners against theft through the registration and licensing of livestock brands; and enforces the state

meat inspection laws.

4. The Division of Marketing assists groups of farmers in their marketing problems, including the development of cooperative organizations; administers general marketing laws intended to facilitate orderly and profitable marketing of given agricultural commodities; helps protect farmers from financial loss through the enforcement of the Produce Dealers Act and the Processors Law; administers the Milk Control Act which provides for the regulation of the market milk industry, including the setting of minimum prices; compiles and disseminates farm market price information to aid in orderly marketing; obtains and issues agricultural statistics that are used in the development of public and private agricultural programs and activities; administers laws providing standards of quality for agricultural products; inspects fruits and vegetables at shipping points; and maintains general supervision over local inspectors of weights and measures.

Activities of the department which are of general public benefit are supported from the General Fund. A substantial part of the department's activities, however, are financed by charges against beneficiaries of services rendered. These charges accrue to the Department of Agricul-

ture Fund and are appropriated therefrom by the Budget Act.

## Department of Agriculture FEDERAL COOPERATIVE MARKETING RESEARCH

ITEM 41 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 68 Budget line No. 11

## For Support of Federal Cooperative Marketing Research

Cooperation with the Federal Government in marketing research under the provisions of Public Law 733 (79th Congress) and Section 1286 of the Agriculture Code.

| Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1949-50 Fiscal Year | \$90,000<br>90,000 |
|---|--------------------|
|   |                    |
| Increase  | None               |

### RECOMMENDATIONS

| Amount budgeted                      | \$90,000 |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Legislative Auditor's Recommendation | None     |
| Reduction                            | \$90,000 |

## ANALYSIS

In our analysis of the 1947-48 Budget Bill we pointed out that some of the 38 new positions requested by the Department of Agriculture to be paid for out of the General Fund, and the operating and equipment expenses associated with these new positions would undoubtedly qualify as matching money under Public Law 733 passed August 14, 1946. At that time we recommended this appropriation with the provision that such funds as are appropriated be made available by the Director of Finance only as federally approved projects are established.

The projects now in operation are as follows:

| 1. A.   | Proposed               |                   |                    |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|   | expenditure<br>1950-51 |                   | Probable duration  |
| Bureau of Agricultural Statistics  Development of new techniques in agricultural statistics, surveys of fruit tree, nut tree and vine acreage and development of statistical data or lesser crops | -<br>;<br>[            | March 15,<br>1948 | 2 years<br>or more |
| Bureau of Markets Study of marketing, utilization and move ment of agricultural commodities   |                        | March 15,<br>1948 |                    |
| Bureau of Market News<br>Development of improved techniques in<br>compiling and distributing marketing<br>data  | ı É                    | March 15,<br>1948 | 2 years<br>or more |
| Bureau of Fruit and Vegetable Standardization  Development of proper maturing stand ards, studies of fruit and vegetable packaging and improvement of seed potato certification procedures        | -                      | March 15,<br>1948 |                    |
| Bureau of Rodent and Weed Control and<br>Seed InspectionStudies to improve quality and production of seed   | 12,216                 | March 15,<br>1948 | 2 years            |
| Unallocated—Anticipated expansion of existing projects or new projects  | 15,328                 |                   |                    |
| Total   | \$180,000              |                   |                    |

Since the 1947-48 Fiscal Year, when these projects were started, the financial status of the General Fund has changed. Because of the decline in revenues for the 1950-51 Fiscal Year, it is necessary to make reductions in essential governmental services and to eliminate all nonessential services. These projects in research concerning marketing of agricultural products are helpful, but are a nonessential service and, therefore, we

recommend that this item providing the State's share of this cost be deleted from the budget, a saving of \$90,000 to the General Fund.

## GENERAL SUMMARY

Public Law 733, usually referred to as the Hope-Flannagan Act, was passed by the 79th Congress on August 14, 1946. This act authorized federal funds on a matching basis for the purpose of assisting the various states in the development of marketing research concerning agricultural products.

Chapter 1540 of the Statutes of 1947 authorized the Director of Agriculture to enter into cooperative agreements with the Secretary of Agriculture and/or the Administrator, Research and Marketing Act of 1946, to develop projects or programs designed to improve, expand and correlate the work of both agencies. Federal reimbursement under this cooperative program amounted to \$5,734 during the 1947-48 Fiscal Year and \$65,693 for the 1948-49 Fiscal Year.

## Department of Agriculture CONTROL OF PULLORUM DISEASE

ITEM 42 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 70 Budget line No. 20

\$85,000

## For Cooperative Agreement With Poultry Improvement Advisory Board From the General Fund

| Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1949-50 Fiscal Year | \$85,000<br>85,000 |      |
|---|--------------------|------|
| Increase  | None               |      |
| RECOMMENDATIONS   |                    |      |
| Amount budgeted   | \$85               | ,000 |
| Legislative Auditor's Recommendation                            | <b>N</b>           | Vone |

### **ANALYSIS**

This budget item amounts to a subsidy to the Poultry Improvement Advisory Board and represents roughly the annual administrative expense of the board. Prior to 1947 this program was financed in its entirety by hatcheries participating in the plan. At the present time it is difficult for the State to finance essential state functions from diminishing General Fund revenues. We, therefore, recommend no appropriation for this purpose, which will constitute a saving of \$85,000 to the General Fund.

The Poultry Improvement Advisory Board supervises the testing of poultry for pullorum disease. This testing is done at a contract rate with the Department of Agriculture, a county laboratory and industry labora-

tories. The budget of the Poultry Improvement Advisory Board for the last two years is as follows:

#### Revenues

| No.                               | $Fiscal\ Year\ Ending\ July\ 31$ |             |           | <i>31</i>   |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
|                                   | 1948                             | 3-49        | 19.       | 49-50       |
|                                   |                                  | Percent     |           | Percent     |
| Egg Assessments                   | Amount                           | $to\ total$ | Amount    | $to\ total$ |
| Chicken hatching eggs sold or set | \$21,961                         |             | \$21,500  |             |
| Turkey hatching eggs sold or set  | 13,000                           |             | 11,500    |             |
|                                   | \$34,961                         | 17.5        | \$33,000  | 16.7        |
| Test Assessments                  |                                  |             |           |             |
| Chickens                          | \$33,134                         |             | \$30,896  |             |
| Turkeys                           | 46,374                           |             | 49,217    |             |
|                                   | \$79,508                         | 39.9        | \$80,113  | 40.4        |
| Total assessments                 | \$114,469                        | 57.4        | \$113,113 | 57.1        |
| State appropriation               | 85,000                           | 42.6        | 85,000    | 42.9        |
| Total revenue                     | \$199,469                        | 100.0       | \$198,113 | 100.0       |
| E                                 | xpenditur                        | es          |           |             |
| Salaries and wages                | \$89,700                         | 45.0        | \$94,360  | 47.6        |
| Operating expenses                | 58,445                           | 29.2        | 57,560    | 29.1        |
| Contract testing                  | 42,810                           | 21.4        | 41,900    | 21.1        |
| Equipment                         | 750                              | 37.5        | 1,000     | 0.5         |
| Total expenditures                | \$191,705                        | ${96.1}$    | \$194,820 | 98.3        |
| Reserve for contingencies         |                                  | 3.9         | 3,293     | 1.7         |
| Total                             | \$199,469                        | 100.0       | \$198,113 | 100.0       |

A 72 percent increase in fees from industry members participating in the program would make the Poultry Improvement Advisory Board a self-supporting enterprise.

## GENERAL SUMMARY

This item first appeared as a special appropriation for the 1947-48 Fiscal Year in the amount of \$85,000 from the General Fund made by

Chapter 1179, Statutes of 1947, which provided:

"It is the policy of the Legislature to assist poultry farmers in this State in the control and eradication of pullorum disease, an infectious disease of poultry which has caused severe losses among baby chicks and turkey poults within this State and has hampered the sale of hatching eggs, baby chicks and turkey poults produced by the poultry industry in this State by reason of the requirements for testing for pullorum disease enacted or made effective in other states to which California poultry products are normally shipped."

An annual appropriation of \$85,000 for the same purpose was included in the Governor's Budget for the Fiscal Years 1948-49 and

1949-50.

# Department of Agriculture MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR OF BORDER QUARANTINE STATIONS AND FRUIT AND VEGETABLE STANDARDIZATION STATIONS

| ITEM | 43 | of | the | Budget B | ill |
|------|----|----|-----|----------|-----|
|      |    |    |     |          |     |

Budget page 70 Budget line No. 37

## For Maintenance and Repair of Border Quarantine Stations and Fruit and Vegetable Standardization Stations From the General Fund

| Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1949-50 Fiscal Year | \$16,795<br>15,640 |
|---|--------------------|
| Increase (7.4 percent)  | \$1,155            |

## Summary of Increases

|  |                   | INCREASE                        |                 |                |             |
|--|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
|  | Total<br>increase | Work load or salary adjustments | New<br>services | Budget<br>page | Line<br>No. |
| Maintenance and Repairs of Driveways and Grounds | \$1,700           | \$1,700                         |                 | 70             | 41          |
| Maintenance and Repairs of Buildings             | 2,380             | 2,380                           | ·               | 70             | 43          |
| Maintenance and Repairs of Facilities            | 1,835             | 1,835                           |                 | 70             | 44          |
| Total Increase                                   | \$1,155           | \$1,155                         |                 | •              |             |
| RECOMMENDATIONS                                  |                   | •                               |                 |                |             |
| Amount budgeted                                  |                   |                                 |                 | \$10           | 6,795       |
| Legislative Auditor's R                          | ecommend          | dation                          |                 | 16             | 3,795       |
| Reduction  |                   |                                 |                 |                | None        |

#### ANALYSIS

The request covers the normal annual expenditures for the upkeep of 18 border inspection stations and five fruit and vegetable standardization interior stations. The increase of \$1,700 in the item for driveways and grounds is due to extensive driveway repairs needed at Banning, Hollister and Carpinteria. The increase of \$1,835 in the item requested for maintenance and repair of facilities is due to the necessity for rewiring electrical circuits at five of the older border stations to eliminate fire hazards and provide adequate lighting.

Prior to the 1949-50 Fiscal Year these maintenance, alterations and repair items were regularly budgeted as operating expense in the capital outlay section of the budget. The capital outlay budget is now restricted to expenditures for construction, improvements and equipment.

## Department of Agriculture DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FUND

ITEM 44 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 71 Budget line No. 3

\$3,386,184

## For Support of the Department of Agriculture From the Department of Agriculture Fund, a Special Fund Agency

| Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1949-50 Fiscal Year |          |
|---|----------|
| <del>-</del>  |          |
| Increase (1.4 percent)  | \$47,485 |

## Summary of Increases

|                             | INCREASE.                       | DUE TO          |                |             |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| Total increase              | Work load or salary adjustments | New<br>services | Budget<br>page | Line<br>No. |
| Salaries and Wages \$61,323 | \$61,323                        |                 | 71             | 24          |
| Operating Expenses —1,534   | 1,534                           |                 | 71             | 25          |
| Equipment                   | -15,304                         |                 | 71             | 26          |
| Less: Reimbursements 3,000  | 3,000                           |                 | 71             | 30          |
| Total Increase \$47,485     | \$47,485                        |                 |                |             |
| DECOMMENDATIONS             |                                 |                 |                |             |

### RECOMMENDATIONS

Amount budgeted

|                                      | 40,000,201 |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Legislative Auditor's Recommendation | 3,386,184  |
| -                                    |            |
| Reduction                            | None       |

## **ANALYSIS**

The functions of the Agricultural Fund, together with the budgeted amounts for the 1950-51 Fiscal Year and the increase over the actual and estimated expenditures for the 1949-50 Fiscal Year, are as follows:

| •                                  |             | Increase over 1949-50 |         |  |
|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------|--|
| Functions                          | 1950-51     | Amount                | Percent |  |
| Nursery Service                    | \$64,375    | -\$2,927              | -4.3    |  |
| Bureau of Field Crops              | $320,\!548$ | 9,523                 | 3.1     |  |
| Bureau of Chemistry                | 233,419     | 9,902                 | 4.4     |  |
| Agricultural Pest Control          | 18,648      | 10,231                | 121.6   |  |
| Bureau of Dairy Service            | $130,\!476$ | 1,130                 | 0.9     |  |
| Bureau of Livestock Identification | 400,312     | 16,229                | 3.4     |  |
| Bureau of Markets                  | 26,318      | 1                     |         |  |
| Bureau of Market Enforcement       | $193,\!831$ | 4,899                 | 2.6     |  |
| Bureau of Milk Control             | 536,240     | -16,990               | -3.0    |  |
| Canning Tomato Inspection          | 260,808     | 1,846                 | 0.7     |  |
| Winter Seed Potato Test Plot       | $6,\!414$   | -242                  | 3.6     |  |
| Bureau of Shipping Point           |             |                       |         |  |
| Inspection                         | 1,081,089   | 542                   | 0.1     |  |
| Gasoline, Distillate and Oil       |             |                       |         |  |
| Inspection                         | 113,706     | 13,343                | 13.2    |  |
| Totals                             | \$3,386,184 | \$47,485              | 1.4     |  |

The increase of \$9,902 or 4.4 percent in the Bureau of Chemistry is attributable to the regulations governing use of insecticides and herbicides added by Chapters 1294 and 1295, Statutes of 1949.

The increase of \$10,231 or 121.6 percent in Agricultural Pest Control Operators is a new function required by Chapter 1043, Statutes of 1949.

The increase of \$13,343 or 13.2 percent in Gasoline, Distillate and Oil Inspection is due to the additional function, regulation of antifreeze compounds, added by Chapter 1430, Statutes of 1949.

## GENERAL SUMMARY

The self-supporting functions comprising the Department of Agriculture Fund obtain the revenue to carry on this work through the collection of regulatory license and assessment fees, and charges for optional inspection services.

Such activities are governed by many changeable factors, such as variations in business conditions, fluctuations in markets and crop volume; these directly affect the activities as well as the revenue of the

various functions.

During the war years when personnel was not available, most of the functions increased their cash surplus materially. The surplus on June 30, 1940, was \$591,976 as compared with \$1,826,517 on June 30, 1947.

Since that time increases in salary and operating expenses, the forty-hour week provision and the increase in overhead costs have made it necessary to raise fees in many activities.

#### POULTRY IMPROVEMENT COMMISSION

ITEM 45 of the Budget Bill

Budget page 83 Budget line No. 40

## For Support of the Poultry Improvement Commission From the Poultry Testing Project Fund

| Amount requestedEstimated to be expended in 1949-50 Fiscal Year | \$65,843<br>59,145 |
|---|--------------------|
| Increase (11.3 percent)   | \$6,698            |

## Summary of Increases

|                    |                   | INCREAS                         |                 |                |             |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
|                    | Total<br>increase | Work load or salary adjustments | New<br>services | Budget<br>page | Line<br>No. |
| Salaries and Wages | \$2,154           | \$2,154                         |                 | 83             | - 58        |
| Operating Expenses | 4,574             | 4,574                           |                 | 83             | 59          |
| Equipment          | -30               | -30                             |                 | 83             | 60          |
| Total Increase     | \$6,698           | \$6,698                         |                 |                |             |

### RECOMMENDATIONS

| OMMENDATIONS                         |          |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Amount budgeted                      | \$65,843 |
| Legislative Auditor's Recommendation | 65,843   |
| Reduction                            | None     |

### **ANALYSIS**

Construction was completed in March, 1949, of three poultry houses in addition to the five existing poultry houses. Two additional poultry houses are scheduled for completion in March, 1950, making a total of 10 poultry houses included in the Poultry Testing Project.

Net income tests have been conducted since 1939. The random sample test was started in April, 1949, and the meat fryer test was added

in December, 1949. The additional facilities and new types of poultry tests are a part of the increased level of service authorized by Chapter 954, Statutes of 1947, and Item 283 of Chapter 700, Statutes of 1949.

The \$2,154 or 8 percent increase in salaries and wages and the \$4,574 or 12.7 percent increase in operating expenses in the 1950-51 Budget reflect the additional expenditures necessitated by the two new types of poultry tests.

Revenues from entry fees, sale of eggs and poultry and miscellaneous are estimated at \$34,572 for the 1950-51 Fiscal Year, an increase of \$3,468 or 11.1 percent. Revenues amount to 52.5 percent of expenditures during the Fiscal Year 1950-51 as compared with 52.6 percent in 1949-50 and 34.9 percent in 1948-49. Additional support for the Poultry Testing Project Fund is derived from the Fair and Exposition Fund. The following is a comparison of revenues and expenditures for a 3-year period:

|  | 1948-49  |         | 1949-50  |         | 1950-51  |         |
|--|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
|  | Amount . | Percent | Amount.  | Percent | Amount.  | Percent |
| Revenues from entry<br>fees, sale of eggs and<br>poultry and miscel- |          |         |          |         |          |         |
| laneous  | \$13,501 | 34.9    | \$31,104 | 52.6    | \$34,572 | 52.5    |
| Support from Fair and  |          |         |          |         |          |         |
| Exposition Fund  | 25,235   | 65.1    | 28,041   | 47.4    | 31,271   | 47.5    |
|  |          |         |          |         |          |         |
| Total operating expenditures   | \$38,736 | 100     | \$59,145 | 100     | \$65,843 | 100     |

The above comparison shows that the commission has been able to make the poultry testing project a self-supporting enterprise to the extent of bearing approximately half the total cost.

## GENERAL SUMMARY

The Poultry Improvement Commission was created by Chapter 950, Statutes of 1939, and consists of 10 members, seven appointed by the Governor and three ex officio members: Director of the Department of Agriculture, Chief of the Division of Poultry Husbandry of the University of California and the Chief of the Veterinary Division of the University of California.

The commission has authority to conduct a poultry testing project for the purpose of providing California poultrymen with information as to sources of breeding stock, and feeding and management practices which are recognized as economically sound for California.

The enabling act provides, in part, as follows: "The commission may provide for the collection of fees from exhibitors in such amount as, in the opinion of the commission, will make the poultry testing projects self-perpetuating and self-supporting."

The poultry testing project is located on U. S. Highway 99, four miles north of Modesto, Stanislaus County.

## Poultry Improvement Commission AUGMENTATION OF THE POULTRY TESTING PROJECT FUND

| AUGMENTATION  | OF THE PO                     | ULTRY TESTING P                         | ROJECT FUN                            | D                     |               |
|---|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| ITEM 46 of the Budget Bill  |                               |   | Budget i<br>Budget l                  | page 83<br>ine No. 4  | <b>!</b> 1    |
| For Augmentation of the I   |                               |   |                                       | and Exp               | oosi-         |
| Amount requested<br>Amount transferred in                               | 1949-50 Fisc                  | eal Year                                |                                       | \$33,988<br>15,825    |               |
| Increase (114.9 percen  | nt)                           |   |                                       | \$18,183              |               |
| RECOMMENDATIONS Amount budgeted   |                               |   |                                       | \$35                  | 3,988         |
| Legislative Auditor's   | Recommend                     | ation                                   |                                       | 38                    | 3,988         |
| Reduction   |                               |   | ·<br>                                 |                       | None          |
| ANALYSIS  |                               |   |                                       |                       |               |
| All estimated expendit Bill. The unbudgeted su June 30, 1951, is estima | arplus of th<br>ted at \$15,0 | e Poultry Testin                        | em 44 of t<br>ng Project              | rne Bud<br>Fund a     | iget<br>is of |
| DE  | -                             | ADMINISTRATION                          |                                       |                       |               |
| ITEM 47 of the Budget Bill  |                               | -                                       | Budget i<br>Budget                    | page 92<br>line No. 2 | <b>22</b> :   |
| For Support of Departmen  | ital Administ                 | ration From the G                       | eneral Fund                           | ı                     |               |
| Amount requested<br>Estimated to be expen-                              |                               | Diggs Very                              | \$                                    | 243,296               |               |
|   |                               |   |                                       |                       |               |
| Increase (10.9 percent  | )                             |   |                                       | \$23,963              |               |
|   | Summary                       | of Increases                            |                                       |                       |               |
|   |                               | INCREASE                                | DUE TO                                |                       |               |
| •   | Total<br>increase             | Work load or salary adjustments \$8,524 | New<br>services                       | Budget<br>page        | Line<br>No.   |
| Salaries and Wages  |                               |   | \$16,104                              | 92                    | 39            |
| Operating Expenses<br>Equipment   | _ 3,670                       | 3,670                                   |                                       | 92                    | 40            |
| Less:   | 3,845                         | 5,561                                   | 1,716                                 | 92                    | 41            |
| Increase in Reimburse-<br>ments   | 490                           | -490                                    | ·                                     | 92                    | 44            |
| Total Increase  | \$23,963                      | \$6,143                                 | \$17,820                              |                       |               |
| RECOMMENDATIONS   | . •                           | •                                       |                                       |                       |               |
| Amount budgeted   |                               |   |                                       | •                     | 3,296         |
| Legislative Auditor's   | Recommend                     | ation                                   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 224                   | 4,476         |

\$18,820

Reduction \_\_\_