Public Health

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

392

	Budget page 737 Budget line No. 23
For Support of the Water Pollution Control Board From the C	General Fund 🐋 🚽
Amount requested Estimated to be expended in 1950-51 Fiscal Year	\$383,952 335,086
Increase (14.6 percent)	\$48,866
Summary of Increase	

		INCREAS	E DUE TO		
	Total increase	Work load or salary adjustments	New services	Budget page	Line No.
Salaries and wages	\$50,934	\$50,934	· · · ·	739	22
Operating expense	14,874	14,874	、	739	23
Equipment	-16,942	-16,942		739	24
			<u>-</u>		
Total increase	\$48,866	\$48,866			
RECOMMENDATIONS				• •	
ILCOMMENDATIONS					

Amount budgeted Legislative Auditor's recommendation	_ \$383,95 _ 383,95	_
		-
Beduction	. Non	A

ANALYSIS

The amount of \$383,952 is requested for support of the Water Pollution Control Board in the Fiscal Year 1951-52. This is an increase of \$48,866, or 14.6 percent over the amount of \$335,086 estimated for expenditure during the current year.

Salaries and wages are scheduled to increase \$50,934, or 24.4 percent over estimated expenditures during the current year. One additional clerical position is requested on a work load basis. The increase is primarily due to budgeting authorized positions for a full year's employment.

The amount of \$2,619 requested for equipment seems in line with agency requirements.

Expenditures for state board operation show only a minor increase for 1951-52. The organization of the regional boards has of necessity been gradual and personnel have been added during the current year as expansion of activities has justified. It is contemplated that the proposed regional organization will be fully activated during the entire budget year.

Board responsibilities were delegated to the pollution control boards by legislation passed in 1949, commonly known as the "Dickey Bills." Further planning and the experience of actual operation will be necessary to determine ultimate requirements of the various boards in carrying out their responsibilities. We believe development to date has been reasonable in view of the unknown factors.

We recommend approval as submitted.

Budget nage 739

Water Pollution Control Board OTHER CURRENT EXPENSES—RESEARCH

393

	ldget page 139 ldget line No. 56
For Support of Research in Technical Phases of Water Pollution Water Pollution Control Board, From the General Fund	n Control,
Amount requested Estimated to be expended in 1950-51 Fiscal Year	
Increase (105.2 percent)	\$34,416
RECOMMENDATIONS Amount budgeted Legislative Auditor's recommendation	
Reduction	None

ANALYSIS

The amount requested will provide funds in the amount of \$41,575 to complete three investigations started during the current year. The additional \$25,566 is requested for an investigation of relationship of pollution of ground waters to rates of percolation.

The State Water Pollution Control Board has determined that research projects in process and proposed are those in which additional information is required.

We recommend approval.

ITEM 236 of the Budget Bill

Jacob Dill

Water Pollution Control Board SERVICES TO OTHER AGENCIES

	Budget line No. 74	
For Support of the Water Pollution Control Board, for Se to Other Agencies, From the General Fund	ervices	
Amount requested		
Estimated to be expended in 1950-51 Fiscal Year		
Increase	\$243,020	
RECOMMENDATIONS	· · · · ·	
Amount budgeted		
Legislative Auditor's recommendation		
Reduction	None	

ANALYSIS

This fund will provide for services from other state agencies as required by the state and regional pollution boards. The establishing of such a fund is sound administration. Provision for additional work load resulting from investigations requested by these boards need no longer be made in the various agency budgets. It will centralize records as to total cost of activities of the various pollution boards and minimize the possibility of duplication in services requested.

We recommend approval.

14-36283