

July 30, 2009

Hon. Edmund G. Brown Jr. Attorney General 1300 I Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Sacramento, California 95814

Attention: Ms. Krystal Paris

**Initiative Coordinator** 

Dear Attorney General Brown:

Pursuant to Elections Code Section 9005, we have reviewed the proposed constitutional amendment related to the right of the voters to call for a state constitutional convention (A.G. File No. 09-0018).

## **Background**

State Law Distinguishes Between Constitutional Revisions and Amendments. California law distinguishes between amendments and revisions to the State Constitution. A constitutional revision generally is broader in scope than an amendment. A revision, for example, may substantially alter the basic governmental framework of the state. Constitutional amendments may be placed before the voters either by a vote of the Legislature or by an initiative petition signed by a requisite number of voters. Proposed revisions, by contrast, may be placed before voters after either a vote of the Legislature or, as described below, a constitutional convention.

Calling a Constitutional Convention. The Constitution provides that only the Legislature, with a two-thirds vote of each house, may submit to voters the question of whether to call a constitutional convention. If a majority of voters approve such a proposal, the Legislature must provide for the convention within six months. The Constitution does not specify how and under what circumstances the convention's proposals subsequently must be placed before voters.

## **Proposal**

Grants the Authority to Call a Constitutional Convention to the Electorate. This measure amends the Constitution to allow voters to call a constitutional convention through an initiative measure. The measure states that it is an amendment to the Constitution submitted through the initiative process.

*Provides Additional Authority for What Such a Call May Contain.* This measure describes what may be included in an initiative measure that calls for a state constitutional

convention. For example, the measure describes options concerning the process for electing convention delegates. It also provides that an initiative measure may provide for all parts of the existing Constitution to be opened for proposed changes by a convention. The measure requires a statewide special election three months following the convention to vote on the gathering's recommendations, as made with a majority vote of the convention's delegates.

## **Fiscal Effect**

This measure would have no direct fiscal impact, as any effect would depend on future actions by voters regarding an initiative calling for a constitutional convention. By allowing voters to pass such an initiative, however, it would make it more likely that there would be such a convention in the future. In such cases, there would be costs of convening a constitutional convention. In addition, the resulting recommendations of a constitutional convention, if approved by the voters, could change the structure of state and local governments substantially. This could result in higher or lower state and local taxes and other revenues. It also could result in more or less state and local spending on particular public programs. The fiscal effects resulting from a convention would depend on a number of factors—including the decisions of the convention itself, the response of voters to the convention's recommendations, the state's financial circumstances, and the actions of future elected state officials.

*Fiscal Summary.* This measure would have the following fiscal effect:

 No direct fiscal impact, as any effect would depend on whether and how voters used the power to call and accept the recommendations of a constitutional convention in the future. Potentially major fiscal changes in state and local governments could result.

Sincerely,	
Mac Taylor Legislative Analyst	
Michael C. Genest Director of Finance	