

January 18, 2011

Hon. Kamala D. Harris  
Attorney General  
1300 I Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Sacramento, California 95814

Attention: Ms. Krystal Paris  
Initiative Coordinator

Dear Attorney General Harris:

Pursuant to Elections Code Section 9005, we have reviewed the proposed statutory initiative related to presidential electors (A.G. File No. 10-0024).

### **Major Provisions**

Under the Electoral College system to select the U.S. President, each state is assigned a certain number of electors who determine the state's presidential choice. California currently receives 55 electors. The electors of the political party that receives the highest number of votes statewide are certified as the state's presidential electors.

**Current Law.** Under existing law, each political party selects its slate of presidential electors in accordance with procedures that differ by party. Existing law provides that electors be given \$10 as compensation for their services and reimbursed for mileage incurred from traveling between their home and the State Capitol at a rate of \$0.05 per mile.

**Proposed Law.** This initiative repeals existing procedures for selection of presidential electors, and instead requires that political parties nominate an elector in each congressional district and two electors on a statewide basis. Each political party could set its own rules for determining how to choose the electors, subject to a number of requirements in the measure. Specifically, an elector must sign a pledge that he or she shall cast his or her ballot for the candidates for President and Vice-President who receive the plurality of votes in the congressional district in which the elector is nominated (or who receive the plurality of votes in the state for those electors selected on a statewide basis). The initiative also eliminates the authorization for compensation to electors or reimbursement of their travel expenses.

**Fiscal Effect**

This measure would eliminate state costs for compensation to electors and reimbursement of their travel expenses every four years. The amount of state savings would be less than \$10,000.

*Summary.* This measure would have the following fiscal effect:

- Reduced state expenses of less than \$10,000 every four years.

Sincerely,

---

Mac Taylor  
Legislative Analyst

---

Ana J. Matosantos  
Director of Finance