



Spending Plans for Jail Construction Funding: Details of Each Plan

June 2016
Page 1

Proposal	Governor	Senate	Assembly
County jail construction	\$250,000,000	—	—
Implicit bias training for law enforcement	—	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000
Underground Scholars—support for formerly incarcerated students at University of California, Berkeley	—	500,000	500,000
Community infrastructure grants	—	100,000,000	50,000,000
Children's mental health crisis services	—	80,000,000	50,000,000
Sexually transmitted disease prevention	—	10,000,000	5,000,000
Drug overdose prevention services	—	3,000,000	5,000,000
Pilot of Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion program	—	21,000,000	—
Teen pregnancy prevention	—	10,000,000	—
Adolescent Family Life Program—case management services for expectant and parenting teens	—	6,000,000	—
Pilot medical model for prison substance use disorder treatment	—	2,500,000	—
Prevention and treatment of Hepatitis B and Hepatitis C	—	2,000,000	—
Napa County jail construction	—	—	20,000,000
Regional crime task force grants	—	—	20,000,000
Assistance for homeless and exploited youth	—	—	14,000,000
Dependency counsel	—	—	11,000,000
Proposition 47 programs	—	—	10,000,000
County law libraries	—	—	10,000,000
Equal Access Fund—legal aid services and projects	—	—	10,000,000
Human trafficking	—	—	10,000,000
Court reporters in family court proceedings	—	—	9,000,000
Dependency counsel—hold harmless funding	—	—	7,000,000

(Continued)



Spending Plans for Jail Construction Funding: Details of Each Plan

(Continued)

June 2016

Page 2

Proposal	Governor	Senate	Assembly
Police station grant	—	—	4,000,000
California Appellate Projects	—	—	2,100,000
Body cameras for local law enforcement	—	—	2,000,000
San Quentin computer program space expansion	—	—	1,800,000
Workforce Investment Board—employment assistance for female ex-offenders	—	—	1,500,000
Workforce Investment Board—employment assistance for adult and juvenile ex-offenders	—	—	1,500,000
Break It To Make It—reentry program	—	—	600,000

Spending Plans for Jail Construction Funding: LAO Comments

June 2016
Page 3

- ☑ ***Similarities Between Spending Plans.*** Both houses propose to allocate nearly half of the redirected jail construction funding to the same programs. However, in some cases, the houses differ in (1) the level of funding provided to each program and/or (2) certain implementation details. For example, the funding for drug overdose prevention services would be provided to the Department of Justice under the Assembly's plan and to the Board of State and Community Corrections under the Senate's plan.
- ☑ ***Additional Funding Provided Elsewhere in Budget.*** The houses provide additional funding elsewhere in the budget for some of the same or similar programs that are proposed to receive redirected jail monies. For example, both houses provided similar levels of funding to the California Appellate Projects. However, the Senate does not propose to provide any funding for this program from redirected jail monies.
- ☑ ***Few Details on Some Programs.*** There has been little detail provided on how some of these programs would be operated. For example, it is not clear how the Community Service Infrastructure Grants would be administered or awarded. Accordingly, the Legislature may want to (1) provide more guidance on how some of the funds are to be used or (2) focus on established programs where there are fewer implementation uncertainties.
- ☑ ***Legislature May Want to Focus on One-Time Spending.*** Despite the one-time nature of the proposed jail construction funding, some of the proposed funding would be ongoing. For example, \$49 million of the Assembly's spending plan related to the judicial branch would result in ongoing General Fund expenditures. The Legislature may want to focus its spending on one-time proposals. For example, the Legislature may want to consider approving some of the new programs as pilot projects to determine whether they warrant ongoing funding in future budgets.