MARCH 4, 2020

Overview of Proposition 98 Budget and Early Education Proposals

PRESENTED TO: Assembly Budget Subcommittee No. 2

On Education Finance Hon. Kevin McCarty, Chair



LEGISLATIVE ANALYST'S OFFICE

Update on the 2018-19 and 2019-20 Minimum Guarantee

| (In Millions) | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------|
| | 2018-19 | | | 2019-20 | | |
| | June 2019 Estimate | January 2020 Estimate | Change | June 2019 Estimate | January 2020 Estimate | Change |
| Minimum Guarantee | | | | | | |
| General Fund | \$54,445 | \$54,505 | \$61 | \$55,891 | \$56,405 | \$514 |
| Local property tax | 23,701 | 23,942 | 241 | 25,166 | 25,168 | 3 |
| Totals | \$78,146 | \$78,448 | \$302 | \$81,056 | \$81,573 | \$517 |

Current- and Prior-Year Estimates of the Minimum Guarantee Revised Upward

- Relative to June 2019 estimates, the 2018-19 guarantee is up \$302 million and the 2019-20 guarantee is up \$517 million.
- The increases reflect upward revisions to estimates of General Fund revenue and local property tax revenue.
- The state is required to make one-time payments to "settle up" to the higher guarantee in both years.



2020-21 Guarantee Grows Under Governor's Estimates

| (Dollars in Millions) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| | 2018-19 | 2019-20 Revised | 2020-21 _ Proposed | Change From 2019-20 | |
| | Revised | | | Amount | Percent |
| Minimum Guarantee | \$78,448 | \$81,573 | \$84,048 | \$2,475 | 3.0% |
| By Segment | | | | | |
| K-12 Education | \$69,253 | \$71,572 | \$74,279 | \$2,707 | 3.8% |
| California Community Colleges | 9,195 | 9,477 | 9,807 | 330 | 3.5 |
| Reserve deposit (+) or withdrawal (-) | _ | 524 | -38 | -562 | _ |
| By Source | | | | | |
| General Fund | \$54,505 | \$56,405 | \$57,573 | \$1,168 | 2.1% |
| Local property tax | 23,942 | 25,168 | 26,475 | 1,307 | 5.2 |

► Minimum Guarantee Is \$2.5 Billion Above the Revised 2019-20 Level

- Growth in state General Fund revenue and local property tax revenue each account for about half of the increase.
- The minimum guarantee is determined by "Test 1," meaning the state must provide schools a fixed share (about 40 percent) of General Fund revenue.
- ► K-12 Funding Would Be \$12,619 Per Student, an Increase of \$499 (4.1 Percent) Over the Revised 2019-20 Level

► State Constitution Requires Small Withdrawal From the Proposition 98 Reserve

- After adjusting for changes in student attendance and per capita personal income, the 2020-21 guarantee is \$38 million below the 2019-20 level. The Constitution requires the state to withdraw this amount from the reserve.
- The remaining balance in the reserve would be \$487 million.



Comments on Estimates of the Minimum Guarantee

▶ Estimates of General Fund Revenue Are Reasonable

- General Fund revenue typically is the most volatile factor affecting the estimate of the minimum guarantee.
- Across the three-year budget period, the Governor's revenue estimates are less than \$100 million below ours (roughly 0.01 percent of total tax collections).

► Changes in General Fund Revenue Would Affect the Guarantee

- Though the administration's revenue estimates are reasonable based on current conditions, some signs suggest the state economy could cool in the coming months. For example, job growth has slowed and trade activity is down.
- Each dollar of higher or lower revenue would increase or reduce the minimum guarantee by about 40 cents.
- Changes in revenue also could affect the Proposition 98 Reserve. Higher revenue probably would require larger deposits. Lower revenue probably would mean larger withdrawals.

► Changes in Property Tax Revenue Also Would Affect the Guarantee

- The administration's estimates of property tax revenue are \$671 million above ours over the three-year period.
- Some of the difference reflects additional information the administration received after we prepared our estimates. The difference between our estimates probably will decrease by May.



Overview of New Proposition 98 Spending

▶ Budget Contains \$3.7 Billion in New Spending Proposals

- Slightly more than half (\$2 billion) is for one-time activities.
- Remainder (\$1.7 billion) is for ongoing augmentations.

▶ \$3.3 Billion Allocated for K-12 Schools

- Funds a number of one-time initiatives intended to (1) improve school employee training, recruitment, and retention, or (2) address poor academic outcomes for specific student subgroups. Also funds a new special education preschool grant.
- Provides a 2.29 percent statutory cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) for the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) and certain other programs.

\$388 Million Allocated for Community Colleges

- Provides one-time funding for apprenticeships, work-based learning, and deferred maintenance, among other priorities.
- Provides a 2.29 percent COLA for apportionments and certain categorical programs. Also funds 0.5 percent enrollment growth and a few smaller ongoing augmentations.



Governor's Proposition 98 Spending Proposals

| K-12 Education Ongoing | |
|---|----------|
| | |
| | |
| COLA (2.29 percent) and attendance changes for LCFF | \$1,206 |
| COLA for select categorical programs (2.29 percent) | 126 |
| Child nutrition reimbursement rate increase | 60 |
| Other | 6 |
| Subtotal | \$1,398) |
| One Time | , |
| Educator Workforce Investment Grants | \$350 |
| Community school grants | 300 |
| Opportunity grants | 300 |
| Special education preschool grant | 250 |
| _ · | 193 |
| Grants to address school staffing shortages Teacher Residency Grant Program | 175 |
| Stipends for teachers in hard-to-staff subjects/schools | 100 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 75 |
| Inclusive Early Education Expansion Program | |
| Classified employees credentialing program | 64 |
| Literacy grants | 53 |
| County coordination grants | 18 |
| Computer science resources for educators | 18 |
| Refugee student services Other | 15 |
| | 21 |
| | \$1,932) |
| | \$3,329 |
| California Community Colleges | |
| Ongoing | |
| COLA for apportionments (2.29 percent) | \$167 |
| Enrollment growth (0.5 percent) | 32 |
| Apprenticeship instructional hours | 28 |
| COLA for select categorical programs | 22 |
| Immigrant legal and support services | 16 |
| California Apprenticeship Initiative | 15 |
| Other | 16 |
| Subtotal | (\$296) |
| One Time | |
| Funding for current-year apprenticeship costs | \$20 |
| Work-based learning initiative | 20 |
| Deferred maintenance | 17 |
| Faculty diversity fellowships | 15 |
| Other | 20 |
| Subtotal | (\$93) |
| Total California Community Colleges | \$388 |
| Total Spending Proposals | \$3,717 |



Strengths of the Governor's K-12 Spending Proposals

▶ Relies Upon a Reasonable Mix of One-Time and Ongoing Spending

- About half of the new spending specifically attributable to 2020-21 is for one-time activities.
- One-time spending provides a cushion that reduces the likelihood of cuts to ongoing programs if the guarantee falls below projections.

▶ Identifies Some Longstanding Challenges in K-12 Education

- Achievement gaps are a persistent issue for many school districts.
- Many districts report longstanding difficulty finding, training, and retaining teachers in certain subject areas.



Concerns About the Governor's K-12 Spending Proposals

▶ Many of the One-Time Proposals Are Unlikely to Address Root Issues

- Not directly connected to the underlying problems.
- Not aligned with existing state and federal efforts.
- Few details about how funds would be spent.

Budget Package Does Not Provide Much Fiscal Relief for Districts

- Districts face fiscal pressure from various sources, including rising pension costs and higher costs for serving additional students with disabilities. In addition, following several years of significant increases, school funding is now growing more slowly.
- Most districts are facing relatively tight budgets. For example, school districts' pension costs are expected to increase by \$800 million to \$1 billion next year—nearly as much as the funding increase associated with the LCFF COLA (\$1.2 billion).



Recommendations for Overall K-12 Spending

- Build a Final Budget Package That Continues to Rely Upon a Mix of One-Time and Ongoing Spending
- ► Fund a Few of the Governor's One-Time Initiatives
 - Fund a few of the proposals that (1) directly address underlying problems, (2) align with existing state and federal programs, and (3) have clear objectives and spending requirements. In some cases, adopt modifications to make the proposals less costly or better targeted.
 - Reject the other one-time proposals, freeing-up roughly \$1 billion.
- ▶ Use Freed-Up Funding to Provide Fiscal Relief for Districts
 - We think the most promising option is to pay down district's unfunded pension liabilities. Paying down these liabilities would improve the fiscal health of the pension systems and could reduce district costs on a long-term basis.
 - If interested in providing more immediate fiscal relief to districts, consider using about \$300 million to smooth out projected pension rate increases in 2020-21. Though this option lacks the potential for long-term savings, it would make district budgets easier to balance next year.



Governor's Early Education Proposals

| (In Millions) | |
|--|-------|
| Preschool facility grants | \$150 |
| Inclusive Early Education Expansion Program | 75 |
| CalWORKs caseload and average cost of care adjustments | 66 |
| 10,000 full-day State Preschool slots at non-LEAs starting April 1, 2021 | 32 |
| 621 General Child Care slots starting July 1, 2020 | 10 |
| New Department of Early Childhood Development | 7 |
| Kindergarten facility grants | -150 |
| LEA = local education agency. | |
| | |



Early Education Assessment and Recommendations

State Preschool Program Unlikely to Accommodate 10,000 Additional Slots

■ We recommend the Legislature provide a more modest amount of 1,000 slots. This would be sufficient to fund provider demand for full-day slots given more than half of the 10,000 slots provided in 2019-20 will go unused.

Unclear if New Department of Early Childhood Development Addresses Stated Problems

- We recommend the Legislature reject the Governor's proposal to create a new department to administer child care programs.
- The proposal lacks detail and clarity on how restructuring state level administration would lead to improved services to children and families.

▶ Preschool Facility Grant Program Might Address a Key Barrier to Expansion for Some Providers

■ We recommend the Legislature fund the Governor's proposed preschool facility grant program for school districts and county offices of education using \$75 million in unused State Preschool funds from 2019-20—rather than shifting funds away from kindergarten facility grants as proposed by the Governor.

