



*March 29, 2000*

# **Governor's Proposal on Education Technology AB 1942 (Reyes)**

Presented To  
Assembly Budget Subcommittee  
No. 2 on Education Finance



# Education Technology

March 29, 2000

Page 1

GOVERNOR'S PROPOSAL	LAO PROPOSAL
<p><b>Technology Grant Program</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● \$175 million to (1) support on-line AP courses and (2) put more computers in schools with fewest computers.</li> </ul> <p><i>LAO Issues</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Requires no local buy-in.</li> <li>● Does not require approved technology plan prior to spending funds.</li> <li>● Provides computers to schools which have invested the least in computers, and have the lowest capacity to maintain them.</li> <li>● Research shows you cannot just buy computer need; integrated approach—computers, connectivity infrastructure, maintenance, and staff development integrated into the curriculum.</li> <li>● Cost of computers less than half of the lifetime costs of operating a computer.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <b>Support On-Line AP Courses.</b> Have Secretary of Education report on need; provisionally set aside \$10 million for this purpose.</li> <li>● <b>Education Technology Revolving Loan Fund.</b> Provide interest free, subsidized loans to schools. Requires local buy-in. (\$160 million)</li> <li>● <b>E-Rate Grant Program.</b> Provide start-up grant support to schools/districts to aid in the application for federal E-rate funding. (\$5 million)</li> </ul>
<p><b>Who Should Administer Program?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Secretary for Education proposed to administer grant program.</li> </ul> <p><i>LAO Issue</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Secretary is a policy advisor while the department administers programs.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Department of Education should administer alternative programs.</li> </ul>



**March 29, 2000**

Page 2

<p><b>Technology Staff Development</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● \$25 million for CSU to train teachers to use technology in the classroom.</li> </ul> <p><i>LAO Issues</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● “One size fits all” diminishes districts' ability to meet local needs.</li> <li>● Adds new program rather than expanding existing ones that serve similar purposes and appear to be effective.</li> <li>● Unclear why CSU should administer.</li> <li>● The CSU has already begun implementation without legislative authorization.</li> <li>● Training premature for schools which would receive the Governor’s Technology Grants—need computers and software prior to staff development.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Re-direct funds to a nontargeted staff development block grant which would allow districts to integrate technology staff development with other staff development efforts.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Funding Source</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● One-time funding, \$100 million of 1999-00 funding and \$100 million of 2000-01 funding.</li> </ul> <p><i>LAO Issue</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Governor unnecessarily increases Proposition 98 level in the budget year, and all subsequent years.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Use \$200 million in one-time 1999-00 funding.</li> </ul>