

LACOME 2016-17 Cap-and-Trade Proposals

(In Millions)

	Governor	Proposed Senate Plan ^a	Difference
Continuous Appropriations ^b	\$1,200	\$1,200	_
High-speed rail	500	500	_
Affordable housing and sustainable communities	400	400	_
Transit and intercity rail capital	200	200	_
State transit assistance	100	100	_
Transportation	1,025	520	-\$505
Low carbon vehicles	460	460	_
Transit and intercity rail capital	400	_	-400
Low carbon road program	100	_	-100
Biofuel production subsidies	40	40	_
Biofuel facilities capital support	25	20	-5
Carbon Sequestration	280	235	-45
Healthy forests	150	25	-125
Wetland and watershed restoration	60	60	_
Urban forestry	30	30	_
Green infrastructure	20	100	80
Carbon sequestration in soils	20	20	_
Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy	200	230	30
Low-income energy efficiency and solar	75	75	_
UC and CSU energy efficiency	60	60	_
Energy efficiency for state buildings	30	30	_
I-Bank energy financing program	20	50	30
Conservation Corps energy efficiency	15	15	_
Short-Lived Climate Pollutants	195	50	-145
Waste diversion	100	50	-50
Wood stove replacement	40	_	-40
Dairy digesters	35	_	-35
Refrigeration unit replacements	20	_	-20
Local Climate Programs	100	400	300
Water Efficiency	90	50	-40
Community Outreach to Disadvantaged Communities	_	3	3
Totals	\$3,090	\$2,688	-\$402

 $^{^{\}mbox{\scriptsize b}}$ Continuous appropriations based on \$2 billion revenue assumption.