## STATEMENT BY THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYST TO THE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON AB 2313

JUNE 6, 1984

LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

925 L STREET, SUITE 650

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

## STATEMENT BY THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYST TO THE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE ON AB 2313

MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS:

WE HAVE REVIEWED THE GENERAL FUND REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE ESTIMATES
RELEASED MONDAY BY THE COMMISSION ON STATE FINANCE. BASED ON OUR REVIEW,
WE DO NOT BELIEVE ANY CHANGE IS WARRANTED IN THE ESTIMATES WE PRESENTED TO
THE TWO FISCAL COMMITTEES DURING THE WEEK OF MAY 14. THOSE ESTIMATES
INDICATED THAT THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE IN THE GENERAL FUND ON JUNE 30,
1985 WOULD BE \$678 MILLION, ASSUMING (1) ENACTMENT OF THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET
AND (2) APPROPRIATION OF \$951 MILLION TO THE RESERVE FOR ECONOMIC
UNCERTAINTIES. (IN CONTRAST, THE COMMISSION PROJECTS AN UNAPPROPRIATED
BALANCE OF \$904 MILLION.)

TABLE 1 COMPARES OUR ESTIMATES OF THE GENERAL FUND CONDITION WITH THOSE OF THE COMMISSION. IT SHOWS THAT MOST OF THE \$226 MILLION DIFFERENCE BETWEEN OUR ESTIMATES IS ON THE REVENUE SIDE. THE DIFFERENCE ON THE EXPENDITURE SIDE--\$35 MILLION--IS PRIMARILY DUE TO THE FACT THAT OUR ESTIMATE OF UNIDENTIFIED SAVINGS IN THE CURRENT YEAR IS \$25 MILLION LOWER THAN THE COMMISSION'S.

THE COMMISSION'S LATEST <u>ECONOMIC</u> FORECAST FOR 1985 IS SOMEWHAT LESS OPTIMISTIC THAN ITS PREVIOUS FORECAST (MARCH). THUS, IT IS CLOSER TO THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE'S LATEST FORECAST.

TO ANALYZE THE COMMISSION'S <u>REVENUE</u> FORECAST, WE APPLIED OUR OWN ESTIMATING TECHNIQUES FOR THE STATE'S THREE LARGEST REVENUE SOURCES TO THE COMMISSION'S ECONOMIC PROJECTIONS. IN DOING SO, WE USED THE SAME BASIC ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT HOW ECONOMIC VARIABLES AFFECT REVENUES THAT WE USED IN ANALYZING THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE'S MAY REVENUE FORECAST. GIVEN THESE

ASSUMPTIONS AND PAST TRENDS IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ECONOMIC VARIABLES SUCH AS PERSONAL INCOME AND TAXABLE SALES, WE ESTIMATE THAT GENERAL FUND REVENUES FOR 1983-84 AND 1984-85, COMBINED, WOULD BE ABOUT \$235 MILLION LESS THAN WHAT THE COMMISSION ESTIMATES.

THIS \$235 MILLION IS THE NET RESULT OF THREE DIFFERENCES IN THE ESTIMATES:

- o PERSONAL INCOME TAX OUR ESTIMATE IS \$175 MILLION LOWER.
  - o SALES AND USE TAX OUR ESTIMATE IS \$270 MILLION LOWER.
  - o BANK AND CORPORATION TAX OUR ESTIMATE IS \$210 MILLION HIGHER.

WE ARE NOT ABLE TO PINPOINT THE EXACT REASONS FOR THESE DIFFERENCES.
WHEN THE COMMISSION'S FINAL REPORT CONTAINING ADDITIONAL BACK-UP
INFORMATION IS RELEASED, WE MAY BE ABLE TO DO SO. AT THIS POINT, HOWEVER,
WE CONCLUDE THAT THE COMMISSION'S FORECAST DOES NOT PROVIDE A CONVINCING
BASIS FOR INCREASING THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE'S REVENUE ESTIMATE BY MORE
THAN \$140 MILLION.

WE AGREE WITH THE COMMISSION'S STAFF THAT SALES TAX REVENUES COULD BE CONSIDERABLY HIGHER THAN WHAT WE ARE ESTIMATING IF THE EXTREMELY STRONG PERFORMANCE OF TAXABLE SALES DURING THE FIRST QUARTER IS NOT COUNTERBALANCED DURING THE OTHER THREE QUARTERS OF 1984. BASED ON PAST TRENDS IN THE RATIO OF TAXABLE SALES TO PERSONAL INCOME, HOWEVER, WE EXPECT THERE TO BE SOME OFFSET DURING THIS 9-MONTH PERIOD. CONSEQUENTLY, OUR SALES TAX ESTIMATE, THOUGH STILL ABOVE THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE'S, IS CONSIDERABLY LESS THAN THE COMMISSION'S.

IN SUM, WE CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT THE MARGIN BETWEEN GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES IN THE GOVERNOR'S BUDGET AND GENERAL FUND REVENUES IS \$1.6 BILLION. IF \$951 MILLION OF THIS AMOUNT IS SET ASIDE IN THE RESERVE FOR ECONOMIC UNCERTAINTIES, THE UNAPPROPRIATED BALANCE WOULD BE \$678 MILLION. THIS IS \$265 MILLION MORE THAN THE DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE'S MAY 10 ESTIMATE, AND \$226 MILLION LESS THAN THE COMMISSION ON STATE FINANCE'S JUNE 4 ESTIMATE. (TABLE 2 PROVIDES THE DETAIL ON EACH OF THESE ESTIMATES.)

Table 1

Condition of the General Fund on June 30, 1985
As Estimated by the Department of Finance,
the Legislative Analyst's Office, and the
Commission on State Finance
(in millions)

Legislative Analyst's Office	Commission on State Finance	COSF versus LAO
\$1,363	\$1,363	
70	117	\$47
70	214	144
(\$140)	(\$331)	(\$191)
\$100	\$125	\$25
25	35	10
es (\$125)	(\$160)	(\$35)
\$1,628	\$1,854	\$226
951	951	
\$678	\$904	\$226
	Analyst's Office \$1,363  70  70  (\$140)  \$100  25  (\$125)  \$1,628  951	Analyst's on State Finance \$1,363  70 117 70 214 (\$140) (\$1331)  \$100 \$125 25 25 35 (\$160)  \$1,628 \$1,854 951 951

a. The COSF figures have been adjusted to maintain comparability with the DOF and LAO treatment of the \$28 million transfer from SAFECO to the General Lund, pursuant to the provisions of AB lxx (Ch lxx/84).

b. Lower expenditure estimates do not have minus signs.

Table 2

Components of the General Fund Revenue and Expenditure Estimates:

Department of Finance, Legislative Analyst's Office,
and Commission on State Finance
(in millions)

	DOF	LAO	COSF
Carryover from 1983-84:			
May revision estimate	\$465	\$465	\$465
Differences:			
Revenues		70	117
Expenditures		100	125
Total, carryover	\$465	\$635	\$707
Revenues:			
Personal Income Tax	\$10,000	\$10,075	\$10,180
Sales and Use Tax	9,710	9,725	9,880
Bank and Corporation Tax	3,920	3,900	3,725
All other	2,129	2,129	2,188
Total revenues	\$25,759	\$25,829	\$25,973
Expenditures:			
May revision estimate	\$24,857	\$24,857	\$24,857
Differences:			
Medi-Cal		\$24	\$27
K-12 supplemental roll		36	21
Tax relief		10	10
Unallocated		-93	-93
Other			
Total expenditures	\$24,857	\$24,832	\$24,822